Considering the Role of a Bridge Person in a **Community-University Partnership to Address Food Insecurity Among Migrant Families**

Maria Mayan, Bethan Kingsley, Sandra Ngo, Dragana Misita, and Rhonda Bell

Abstract

Community-university partnerships are increasingly being used to address complex, systemic problems, such as food insecurity. However, this form of research is highly labour intensive and requires substantial time and energy. Several community-university partnerships have begun to appoint individuals who act to 'bridge' such partnerships to navigate complex social and political environments, and stimulate action. However, few examples exist that highlight the specific nature of these positions. To address this gap, the current paper describes the multiple and complicated roles played by a bridge person in supporting a project developed in response to food insecurity among migrant families. We outline three major roles that required varying forms of labour: 1) Solving Problems (Adaptive Labour), 2) Navigating Scarcity (Political Labour), and 3) Responding to Urgency (Emotional Labour). We intend to highlight the ambivalent spaces bridge people operate within and the implications for these individuals and the community-university partnerships they intend to support.

Keywords: community-based participatory research, community-university partnership, bridge, broker, food insecurity, migrants

et al., 2016; Levkoe & Stack-Cutler, 2018). scarcity, and responding to urgency.

esearchers and community stake- Although the value of a bridge person in holders have increasingly turned CBPR projects is widely recognized, few to community-university part- examples exist that highlight the specific nerships and community-based nature of this position (Ward et al., 2009). participatory research (CBPR) to Despite valuable insights from the literaaddress complex, systemic problems (Abma ture, there remains scant documentation et al., 2019; Israel, Schulz, et al., 2018). To describing the role of bridge positions in this end, CBPR is highly labor intensive and community-university partnerships, the requires substantial time and energy (Abma contextual and relational factors affecting et al., 2019). Many partnerships may find it their success, or the experiences of the indidifficult to build and maintain momentum viduals in these positions (Levkoe & Stackto sustain projects over time (Israel, Krieger, Cutler, 2018; Steenbergen & Warren, 2018). et al., 2006). To address this problem, some We describe the multiple and complicated community-university partnerships ap- roles adopted by a bridge person in supportpoint a specific individual, referred to here ing a project developed to respond to a food as a "bridge person," who is dedicated to insecurity crisis among migrant families in building and nurturing partnerships, sup- Edmonton while seeking to find longer term porting the generation and mobilization solutions. Specifically, we describe how, of locally relevant knowledge, navigating during the first 2 years of the project, three complex social and political environments, major roles of a bridge person developed and stimulating action and change (Belone organically: solving problems, navigating

The Role of Bridging in CBPR

Across the literature, varying terms are used to describe the bridging role that might be played in a community-university partnership. We introduce some of these terms to highlight how the varying positions are conceived, and we outline the attributes necessary in such positions to enable a level of responsiveness to both community and university needs and to facilitate the mutual benefit desired in a partnership (Abma et al., 2019). Belone et al. (2016) have referred to a "bridge person" in the CBPR literature as an individual who is generally hired by a university to work closely with the community to support a project and/or intervention. Even though we haven't chosen to use it here, the term more often used to describe this intermediary role in the literature is "broker." Levkoe and Stack-Cutler (2018) referred to a broker as an individual or an organization that supports campuscommunity engagement by nurturing relationships and sharing knowledge between community and university partners. Knowledge brokering appears to be the most common form of brokering described in the literature, intended to close the "know-do gap" by generating relevant knowledge and aiding the process of transferring research findings into practice (McCall et al., 2017).

The specific role of knowledge brokers is to connect knowledge producers with knowledge users to facilitate knowledge transfer, exchange, and application to inform policy and practice (Lomas, 2007). Ward et al. (2009) further described a knowledge broker as an agent who acts as a go-between to serve the needs of multiple individuals or organizations with the primary purpose of making research and practice more accessible to each other. They suggested that the three main roles of a broker are knowledge management, linkage and exchange, and capacity building. As linking agents, brokers foster positive relationships between researchers and decision makers (McCall et al., 2017).

Most recently, Levkoe and Stack-Cutler ate within. Similarly, this literature often (2018) reviewed a sample of brokering portrays success within a bridge position initiatives to understand how brokers con- as a matter of being in the right place at tribute to successful community-university the right time and fails to acknowledge the partnerships. They distinguished brokers by muddled process of developing trusting retheir structural allegiance (e.g., communi- lationships within CBPR projects (Mayan & ty-based vs. university-based), by dimen- Daum, 2015). Further, although it is genersion (which varies in terms of the level of ally accepted that research can be a messy engagement: deep vs. light), by the type of process, particularly when using CBPR applatform used (physical vs. virtual), by the proaches, there is little acknowledgment or

scale of activities (local vs. national), and by the area of focus (specific vs. broad). As Levkoe and Stack-Cutler suggested, initiatives with deep engagement and a physical platform are the most resource intensive of all the forms of brokering yet have the potential to be the most responsive and accessible to community needs. Although they did not speak to brokering, Strand et al. (2003) have also defined three roles a researcher might adopt in a social change effort: initiator, consultant, or collaborator. The researcher as initiator manages the social change project as well as the research; the consultant—the role most often filled by researchers—manages only the research and does so at a distance; and the collaborator is a full participant in the social change project, but primarily as a researcher or educator.

To effectively navigate the role of bridging in a community-university partnership while being responsive to community needs, a bridge person must possess a range of attributes. These include interpersonal and group development skills, leadership and facilitation, and the ability to manage projects, mediate and negotiate expectations, and translate ideas and concepts (e.g., Levkoe & Stack-Cutler, 2018; Steenbergen & Warren, 2018). Pedagogical leadership skills are also needed for highly intensive projects to facilitate labor distribution, without which a bridge person can end up assuming all the social change roles themselves (Strand et al., 2003). In complex projects, a bridge person must also have a high tolerance for uncertainty and the ability to adapt since the process and outcomes of a project are rarely clear and depend on flexibility (Weerts & Sandmann, 2010).

Although the academic literature provides important context for the current article, the literature describing the role of a bridge person tends to remain at a conceptual level. Consequently, these positions are presented as largely uncomplicated and do not reflect the complexity of the projects they operdiscussion about the messiness of research to experience lower incomes and subsequent practice (Fletcher et al., 2014).

this particular project, we first describe food 2011; Tarasuk, 2001). insecurity as a pervasive problem that provided a complex and unique context within which the bridge person was required to work.

Food Insecurity

food insecurity has steadily risen in Canada at the University of Alberta spanning apparticularly lone parent families headed studies formed the basis for the project de-

in published accounts (Cook, 2009). Rather, food insecurity than the national Canadian the literature presents linear processes and average (Food Banks Canada, 2015; Sword neat final "products" with few, if any, ref- et al., 2006). Food deprivation has a range erences to divergences, conflicts, and failed of negative social and health impacts across attempts. Bradbury (2019) highlighted the the life span, including adverse physical and problematic nature of presenting CBPR as mental health, social isolation, and stigma uncomplicated, stating that it "is not a neu- (Tarasuk, Mitchell, & Dachner, 2013a, 2013b; tral affair, neither ethically nor politically" Vozoris & Tarasuk, 2003). In light of in-(p. xii). In proposing research as linear and creasing rates of food insecurity and these politically neutral, we miss crucial oppor- associated impacts, addressing food insetunities to learn as a broader community of curity has become a matter of urgency for community agencies and researchers.

This article attempts to respond to this gap Despite widespread agreement about the by describing the role of a bridge position social and physical harms of food insecurity in a community-university partnership and the need to shift the current state, adthat sought to address food insecurity for dressing food insecurity is far from simple migrant families in Edmonton. Specifically, and cannot be achieved through isolated, we highlight the contextual and relational short-term charity approaches (Levkoe, factors that affected this bridge position and 2011). Rather, the long-term structural the experiences of the person working in challenges associated with pervasive food this role. We hope that through providing a insecurity require longer term, meaningclearer definition of the role, we can enable ful, multifaceted approaches (Levkoe & other partnerships intending to hire a Wakefield, 2011; Riches, 2002; Tarasuk, bridge person to improve the quality of their 2001). Strong partnerships and networks, partnerships while better supporting the including community-university partnerindividuals who take on the complex work ships, are capable of facilitating such crossof bridging these partnerships and forg- sectoral and multifaceted approaches and ing deeper community connections. Before have been positioned as a way to generate describing our community-university part- collective action and mobilize actors across nership and the role of the bridge person in food systems (Dodd & Nelson, 2018; Levkoe,

Our Community-University Partnership

To foster intentional connections across multiple social systems to address food insecurity, we established a community-uni-The unique and complex issue of food in- versity partnership between the University security made a bridge person all the more of Alberta ENRICH research team and the essential in this project. Food insecurity Multicultural Health Brokers in Edmonton, is defined by a lack of access to culturally Alberta. With an appreciation for the value desirable and nutritious food due both to of research to inform their practice, the financial constraints and an inadequate Multicultural Health Brokers has had a food supply (Riches, 2002). The rate of long-standing relationship with researchers over past decades due to neoliberal poli- proximately 15 years. The partnership was cies that have scaled back social security built on years of collaboration on a variety (Tarasuk, Mitchell, & Dachner, 2016). It is of community-based research projects (e.g., a significant and persistent problem that Gokiert et al., 2012; Quintanilha, Mayan, affects nearly one in eight Canadian house- Ngo, et al., 2018; Quintanilha, Thompson, et holds (Tarasuk, Li, et al., 2018). Families, al., 2015; Yohani et al., 2019). One of these by women, are more likely to experience scribed in the current article and involved food insecurity due to insufficient struc- focus groups with Northeast African women tural supports and assistance that result in to understand their perceptions of what less available income (Sword et al., 2006). constitutes a healthy pregnancy and their Further, migrant families are far more likely own experiences during pregnancy, which

Mayan, Thompson, & Bell, 2016).

The overarching mission of the Multicultural Health Brokers is to enhance the health and families face.

This particular project was part of a larger research study focused on promoting healthy pregnancy weight gain. In this particular segment of the project, we sought to determine, develop, and implement strategies to support desired maternal health and pregnancy outcomes for pregnant and

brought to light the high levels of food in- local food system, and provide support to security many experienced (Quintanilha, the university and the Multicultural Health Brokers.

The Bridge Position

well-being of migrant families. The orga- The broad and challenging purpose of the nization offers programs (e.g., Parenting bridge position on this project was both in Two Cultures), home visitations, family to develop innovative strategies to adintervention, counseling, English language dress the same-day food needs of migrant learning, and employment programs. In families and to find longer term approaches total, the Multicultural Health Brokers for addressing food insecurity. The bridge employs a staff of more than 80 commu- person (herein referred to as the community health workers who support 23 eth- nity resource coordinator, or the CRC) would nocultural communities and serve up to provide some much needed and dedicated 2,000 families a year. Community health capacity to the initiative. Out of the roles workers translate, mediate, and facilitate identified by Strand et al. (2003), the bridge understanding between migrant women position in our project most aligned with and health or social service providers. They that of the initiator because she was hired to also have typically emigrated from the same develop and manage the social change iniregion as their clients and thus are able tiative, integrate knowledge where it would to provide important insights for service be valuable, and do so in collaboration with providers about the barriers that migrant others directly involved in the partnership along with external stakeholders. The CRC role had three specific objectives: (1) find a short-term solution to same-day food needs, (2) act as a bridging agent across the community-university partnership and assist the CBPR process, and (3) nurture and support a food rescue microsystem to set the stage for a longer term solution.

postpartum migrant women. As mentioned, The CRC was hired in May 2016 and was we performed numerous interviews with selected through a joint hiring process bewomen who, when asked about their nutri- tween the ENRICH research team and the tion during pregnancy, described a range of Multicultural Health Brokers. The hiring stressors and barriers that prevented them committee was looking for someone who from accessing and consuming healthy had strong relational and administrative foods (Quintanilha, Mayan, Thompson, & skills, the ability to work across cultures, a Bell, 2016). Through this research and a general understanding of maternal health, recognition that families were struggling some experience with CBPR, and an awarewith severe food insecurity, the focus of the ness of the issue of food insecurity and partnership shifted from behavioral strat- strategies to address it. The CRC position egies to the structural barriers preventing was funded through an 18-month research maternal health and good pregnancy out- grant with a modest operating budget. comes. Our first effort was to address the The CRC was given temporary space as lack of same-day food availability. Although well as administrative support at both the charity-based programs are limited in ad- Multicultural Health Brokers office and the dressing the root causes of food insecurity university. This meant the CRC was equally (Pettes et al., 2016; Riches, 2002), it was accountable to the community-based organecessary to deal with the crisis of a lack nization and the university research team. of same-day food with the aim of finding Further, having "on site" space in two lolonger term strategies over time (Levkoe cations was essential for the bridge person & Wakefield, 2011). Recognizing the scale as it supported a deep level of engagement of this endeavor and the need to foster a that enabled connections and coordinastrong partnership (Levkoe & Stack-Cutler, tion across the partnership and fostered 2018), we hired a full-time bridge person to collective decision-making (Belone et al., develop actionable and relevant responses 2016). For example, the CRC had a touchto the food insecurity faced by families, down workspace in a busy, open area of mobilize actors and resources across the the Multicultural Health Brokers, and the community health workers would often see both in Edmonton and across Canada, met adapt the initiative in meaningful ways.

Having a workspace at the university also sessions to exchange ideas, discuss chaluniversity enabled contextual learning, she also acted as a bridge to connect varirapid knowledge exchange, and collaborawhat it could achieve.

Once hired, the CRC immediately began to attend parenting groups, workshops, and monthly meetings, and had one-on-one conversations with many of the community health workers to learn what was needed and what might work in this particular context. Food insecurity strategies that had been explored in the past were discussed within the partnership, and the CRC reached out to key partners within the Multicultural support team collectively decided a food Health Brokers to develop an understanding about the histories, struggles, and successes of those strategies. In particular, the immediately increasing women's access to Northeast African community health workers offered significant guidance throughout the project and, along with the executive director and university researchers, formed a rapidly redistribute it to families. With the support team to ensure the strategy chosen new contacts she had made across the city, (a) was culturally appropriate and relevant, (b) respected the dignity of clients as much as possible, and (c) had the potential to be their surplus food to the Grocery Run. The sustainable.

In addition to having these conversations fied through a survey distributed to families to gain local understanding, the CRC also and through informal conversations with researched and explored potential strate- community health workers. To support the gies adopted in other contexts that could implementation of the program, the CRC be developed to increase women's access to also accessed a large number of volunteers culturally appropriate and nutritious foods. through the university's alumni association She additionally reached out to community and provided operational training in the programs, businesses, and governments collection and redistribution of food.

her working and use the opportunity to ask with stakeholders, attended forums and questions, voice their concerns, and share workshops, toured facilities, and joined the feedback about the program. They also used Edmonton Food Council. Through these exthese conversations as a way to directly ad- periences, she developed a better sense of vocate for the families they worked with. what was happening locally, nationally, and Being so close to the community health internationally to address food insecurity; workers and families also allowed the CRC assessed the resources that would be needed to develop relationships that could not have for each proposed strategy; and ascertained been fostered otherwise, and improved her what assets were already available. These ability to quickly identify problems and actions enabled her to create an inventory of missing or inadequate resources, such as space, funding, food storage, relationships with industry and business, and human meant the CRC could sometimes step away capital (mostly voluntary). Through this from the program to create space for a initial research, the CRC generated practideeper level of reflection. To facilitate cally useful knowledge she would present this reflection, the CRC and the univer- to the support team and families to make sity research team held weekly debriefing collaborative decisions about the best possible approach. In performing this founlenges, and brainstorm possible program dational work, she not only facilitated colimprovements. Having shared space at the laboration between the community-based community organization in addition to the organization and university research team, ous individuals (e.g., community members, tive problem-solving. Ultimately, it also organizational staff, policymakers, volunimproved the quality of the partnership and teers), resources (e.g., foods and funding), organizations (e.g., the major "players" in food insecurity), and multiple knowledges (e.g., practice-based, experiential, research-generated). The bridge position in this project thus reflected what Weerts and Sandmann (2010) have described as a community-based problem solver, "on the front lines of making transformational changes in communities" (p. 643).

> Through the initial work by the CRC, the rescue program—the Grocery Run—was the best course of action in the short term for culturally appropriate and nutritious foods. The premise of the program was to "rescue" food that would otherwise be discarded and the CRC found a number of local businesses who were willing to redirect and donate CRC primarily targeted fresh produce, the desire and need for which had been identi

After a substantial amount of foundational and community health worker schedules, the program grew rapidly from an initial more realistic given their time limitations to support this documentation process and challenge our own thinking. The notes that were produced through these The CRC made several adaptations to the described below.

Solving Problems: Adaptive Labor Using a **Developmental Design Approach**

Due to the complexity of food insecurity, the partnership decided a developmental process would aid in the design, imple- Additional challenges arose relating to food

work, the first Grocery Run took place in keeping these conversations as casual and September 2016. Within the first year, spontaneous as possible was crucial and 20 families to 110 families per week. We tions. In addition, weekly meetings were documented our learning during these held between the research team and the early development and implementation Multicultural Health Brokers support team phases of the Grocery Run using a number to troubleshoot, share learning, and keep of fieldwork data collection techniques, such everybody updated. At the end of each week, as participant observation and informal in- the CRC would provide a summary of weekly terviewing (Mayan, 2009). Specifically, the events to the support team via email. The CRC maintained reflective and procedural team would then meet in person to review notes to document her process, experienc- the items raised. The CRC facilitated these es, challenges, and reactions. The support collaborative conversations, presenting team—which included the CRC, university each arising concern and guiding the supresearchers, community health workers, port team in generating potential solutions. and, where possible, the executive director Through this process the team collectively of the Multicultural Health Brokers—would discussed and agreed upon possible modialso engage in frequent reflective conversa- fications, which the CRC then implemented and tested in the weeks that followed.

methods were reviewed during the writ- program during the first year of operaing of this article and led to four further tion, including changes in how food was individual interviews with the CRC after she distributed. For example, food distribution had left the position. After reviewing the was initially scheduled for Thursday afinformation generated through these reflec- ternoons after a parenting group to make tive processes and using a broad thematic pickup easier for families. However, many analysis approach (Braun & Clarke, 2012), families communicated that they faced we created three themes to describe the challenges with transportation and time, major roles that the CRC assumed organi- which made collecting food at a particular cally during the first 2 years of the project: time every week exceptionally difficult. As solving problems, navigating scarcity, and a result, the CRC connected with volunteers, responding to urgency. Each of these roles food donors, and community health workrequired different forms of labor that are ers, first transitioning to an extra day of food distribution, and then to distributing several days a week. In addition, the CRC worked with community health workers so that they could take food with them on home visits, so that some families did not have to travel at all.

mentation, and adaptation of what was an distribution, specifically equitable distribuexperimental program. The CRC's position tion. Initially, the CRC had built as much was essential to support this developmen- choice into the program as possible because tal approach. Without a dedicated person she felt it was important for families to be to focus on the strategy, opportunities for able to choose the amount and types of change would have been missed and ad- food that made the most sense for them. aptations would have taken far more time However, offering this choice inadvertently to implement. The CRC was subsequently set up a competitive process that created tasked with iteratively solving problems as a sense of panic and significant levels of they presented themselves, requiring a form stress for families, leading them to arrive of adaptive labor. Knowledge was generated as early as possible to obtain the most and integrated as and when it was neces- in-demand items. It also almost entirely sary. As mentioned, the CRC frequently emptied the week's food inventory in only sought input from community health work- a few hours, leaving some families without ers and program participants through in- food. When the CRC asked families about formal conversations and surveys. Because this experience, one woman drew parallels of the often unpredictable nature of client between the Grocery Run experience and

to each family and could be picked up at ties. any point during the collection "window", so families were not at risk of losing out. Removing the element of choice was not ideal, but it led to the more equitable disunderlying the need for this modification continued to create significant political tension in the program for families, community health workers, and the CRC, and required additional labor on the part of the CRC.

Navigating Scarcity: Political Labor

and the matter of food insecurity more and externally to navigate these challenges to families and to maintain relationships.

As described previously, the CRC identified numerous challenges with food distribution early into the program through her own observations and through conversations with community health workers. These challenges required a level of political astuteness by the CRC. In addition to the challenges already identified, the environment of scarcity contributed to concerns about the composition of the food bag donations and fears of inequity. Due to the variations in the types and quantities of food rescued each week, not all families received the same food each time, and families became concerned about inequity and possible favoritism. In response to these concerns, the CRC tried to be as transparent as possible about how food was sorted and distributed, In addition to having to navigate these polioften driving across the city to purchase tics in an internal space, political tensions translucent bags so people could see what external to the program also required large was in each hamper. However, the random amounts of labor on the part of the CRC pattern of donations received each week relating to equitable food distribution. For made it impossible to allocate the same example, the CRC became a representative products to all families. For example, the for the partnership and, in doing so, at-CRC might receive three donated pineapples tended stakeholder consultations to inform one week, which was obviously not enough various food security strategies and polifor equal distribution. Consequently, food cies locally and nationally (e.g., the Healthy hampers were never the same from week Eating strategy, the Food Policy for Canada,

being in a refugee camp where they had to to week. Learning about the tension this compete for food or be left with nothing. inconsistency created, the CRC had conver-Unwittingly, the Grocery Run had become sations with the executive director and the reminiscent of a highly stressful situation support team, who collectively agreed she for many families, and the CRC felt respon- would create a form to track the allocation sible for recreating this environment. With of specific food items in an attempt to more this information, she immediately modified fairly distribute sought-after items (such as the program so that food was divided into sugar, oil, sweet breads, diapers, and baby predetermined hampers that were assigned formula) between families and communi-

An additional political issue related to volunteers who helped to pack hampers but who also received food through the protribution of food. However, the scarcity gram. Other families sometimes asked these volunteers for additional food or to change what was going into their bags, putting the volunteers in an impossible position and creating significant stress. As she was always present during distribution hours to coordinate the process, the CRC observed these requests and their effects firsthand. She brought the issue to the support team, who decided it would be better if commu-Due to the political nature of the program nity health workers submitted the number of families who needed food to the CRC via broadly, the CRC was required to perform text, email, or in person each week so that substantial amounts of labor both internally food bags could be packed in advance rather than during distribution hours, to avoid the sensitively to reduce the potential for harm opportunity for such requests. The CRC also encouraged community health workers to attend the Grocery Run or send volunteers from their community so that they could fully understand the process and see for themselves the efforts that were going into supporting fairer distribution. Without the CRC bridging the communication between all stakeholders involved and facilitating these logistical changes, these adaptations to the program would have been exceptionally difficult to execute. Despite the need for more resources (i.e., time and volunteers) to support this process, health workers and families reported that they found the new system both more convenient and equitable and that it, for the most part, helped to develop a sense of trust in the program and the CRC.

a city food hub). These formal contributions With only one CRC dedicated to the strategy, to policy were essential for further connect- the ability to scale up and meet families' the country in working toward longer term community health workers was substanalready crowded food charity landscape and thus as competition "taking away" donations from other food charity programs.

As a result, the bridge person was required to engage in a form of political work that appeared typical of navigating a complex environment characterized by scarcity and the ever-present competition for food. Despite an internal recognition of both the necessity and the limitations of the Grocery Run, this external criticism of the program made it highly contentious and required a great deal of care on the part of the CRC to consistently justify the need for its existence while advocating for a broader In addition to the emotional exhaustion

Responding to Urgency: Emotional Labor

The final role of the CRC that emerged in this project related to the emotional investment that was required for the success of the Grocery Run, and how this was closely intertwined with the other two forms of labor already articulated. Because the project required a relational approach, both as a basis for appropriate CBPR and because this particular project relied on strong networks of people and resources, it created substantial emotional labor for the CRC. The stress inherent to the position was heightened by the sense of urgency that resulted from an immediate need for food and the scale of work required to address this need. This sense of urgency led to the CRC feeling emotionally and physically exhausted and weakened the long-term sustainability of the position. Further, the need for food among families was so great that the The environment of scarcity that led to the demand far outweighed the CRC's ability to need for the Grocery Run in the first place meet this demand. On some days, the dona- meant that the three forms of labor required tion bags for families were sparse, far from of the bridge person—adaptive, political, providing enough food to last the week. This and emotional—were inevitably interredearth created significant levels of stress for lated. The same scarcity of resources that everyone involved with the program and the led to migrant families not having enough families who depended on it.

ing the CRC with key individuals and learn- needs was consistently limited. The reing about other important initiatives across sulting pressure on both the CRC and the strategies. Many stakeholders were open to tial, such that they felt unable to set percollaborating and sharing their knowledge sonal boundaries. Aside from the emotional and resources. Some, however, perceived impact of this inability to meet families' the Grocery Run as a new start-up in an needs, the CRC also often felt she was working 24/7 trying to meet the basic demands for food, which, at the same time, never really felt like an achievable goal. After several months of being constantly available to her own detriment, the CRC started to set boundaries in an attempt to mitigate some of this pressure. For example, she asked for a work phone that was separate from her personal phone and set specific work hours, outside which she would no longer be available for program-related matters. She also started to learn the fine line between being accommodating to individual requests and putting herself and the program at risk.

strategy toward food insecurity. As a result, experienced by the CRC in relation to the the CRC was required to take on even more nature of the program, working across responsibilities to share insights from the two organizations also required a degree project and increase awareness of the food of emotional labor because, lacking a clear insecurity experienced by migrant families. mandate to follow, she felt torn in terms of strategic priorities and was not always entirely certain of her role. It was often unclear who the CRC was accountable to, which protocols were to be used as guidelines, and whose specific organizational goals she was striving to achieve. Because she was not fully embedded within the university or the Multicultural Health Brokers, the CRC largely worked alone and, although she was in constant collaboration with community health workers, families, volunteers, and researchers, she experienced a sense of isolation. The CRC also found decision-making often became her sole responsibility rather than a joint responsibility because consultation was burdensome for community health workers and did not always lead to a clear path forward. As a result, she often felt uncertain in making decisions, a feeling that was exacerbated because some decisions had significant ramifications.

social security (and therefore food) also re-

as many people as possible was a significant the project more specifically. source of stress because the consequences of not doing so were substantial. This need for allocation of resources put additional pressure on the relationships between the bridge person and the community health workers, who were specifically trained to navigate and squeeze limited resources out of systems for the families they serve, while the bridge person conversely tried to create and maintain these (albeit adaptable) systems in order to distribute the limited food available to as many families as possible. All the while, she was no less aware of the consequences of reaffirming these systems on a week-by-week basis, such as when she had to say "no" to last-minute requests for food. This ever-present underlying tension created a level of exhaustion for families, staff, and the CRC.

Discussion

The literature that discusses the role of a bridge person in a CBPR context predomi- In addition to the narrow ways knowledge nantly describes it in relation to knowledge is often discussed, the model of knowledge exchange—that is, the ways the bridge role exchange described in the bridge literature can support the transfer and application seems mostly linear. Although the cocreof knowledge to inform practice in a com- ation of knowledge is recognized as useful, munity-university partnership and make this conception of knowledge exchange knowledge and practice more accessible resembles an integrated knowledge transto each other. Literature on the topic also lation approach more closely than a CBPR recognizes that the form of bridge posi- approach, focusing primarily on the applitions will vary depending on the nature cation of knowledge rather than striving for of the partnership and the challenge to be social justice (Jull et al., 2017). The bridge addressed. Factors determining the form person is thus generally positioned as the of the bridge position include whether the holder of knowledge that is generated in

sulted in limited resources being available community-based organization, the level of to fund staff at the Multicultural Health engagement by the bridge person, the scale Brokers relative to the amount of work of the endeavor and the platform used, and needed. The community health workers how broad or specific the project focus is. always had three or four times the amount The attributes required in this position are of work they could possibly achieve in the also context specific, yet several skills are work hours available to them. As a result, deemed fundamental to supporting a project they were always trying to be responsive well, including leadership and facilitation, to families in an environment of crisis project management, interpersonal skills, and unpredictability. For example, new the ability to communicate, and a tolerance families were always arriving in Edmonton for uncertainty. Our experiences in this and needed immediate settlement sup- project were consistent with the literature port. Emergencies (for example, relating in some ways but diverged in others, which to health, housing, or subsidies) could also created a number of learnings that can arise at any moment. This environment re- contribute to expanding our understandquired adaptability from all staff, including ing of bridge positions in CBPR. Some of the bridge person, and resulted in a compe- this learning will be discussed relating to tition for resources that demanded fraught the function of knowledge in our project political navigation and was emotionally compared with the literature, after which taxing for everyone involved. Further, the we will describe what we learned about the need to develop a process that worked for adaptive, political, and emotional nature of

> In the academic literature about bridge positions, knowledge largely appears to be understood as theoretical and empirical, with the bridge person tasked with applying this knowledge in practice. However, as can be seen from this project, the CRC relied on multiple forms of knowledge that were generated through both formal and informal research methods and were primarily practical and experiential in nature. This focus on empirical knowledge in the literature therefore appears to play into scientific discourses that privilege certain kinds of knowledge above others in a hierarchy of evidence (Greenhalgh et al., 2018). With a recognition that a full range of knowledge forms were fundamental to the success of the initiative described in this article, we call for a broadening in the ways evidence is conceptualized and legitimized in the bridge literature so that a wider collection of knowledges is recognized as valuable.

position is situated at the university or a a university setting, tasked with support-

fails to account for the circular and collectruly aiming for research that facilitates anattendant to power, we will need to be clear about the social justice aims of a project and blur the lines between knower and known so that individuals in bridge roles are positioned as colearners who facilitate the cirremainder of our learnings will next be disand emotional nature of the project, drawlearning converges with or adds to previous understanding about bridge positions.

the bridge person in our project, we described the need for a cyclical and developmental approach to the project. An iterative, the local food system into conversation with developmental process was essential with one another. In attempting to navigate this such a complex project so that strategies politically fraught and competitive envicould be altered as they were being implemented (Janzen et al., 2016; Patton, 2008). Such flexibility also enabled the partnership to respond to a range of unexpected while creating partnerships where they felt challenges, such as the need to modify the food distribution process. Because she its own internal politics that were created acted on site, the bridge person was able and heightened by the scarcity of resources to lead and coordinate adaptations that, although sometimes significant and burdensome, were crucial to the success of the project. Carpenter and Brock (2008) have referred to the need for adaptive capacity to ensure a system can adjust to internal demands and external factors and avoid rigidity. Operating as its own microsystem, this project required a high level of adaptive capacity to respond to pervasive and changing demands. As the only individual dedicated solely to the initiative, the bridge person acted almost single-handedly to support this adaptive capacity. A high level of (adaptive) labor thus was needed to facilitate this process and ensure the initiative was adequately responsive. The full The third role, responding to urgency, or extent of the labor involved in such bridge emotional labor, described the emotional positions must therefore be recognized so investment required for the implementathat adequate resources can be allocated to tion and adaptation of the Grocery Run, and initiatives and the bridge person receives the implications for the CRC. The emotional necessary support. Further, although adapt- risk of the CRC position in this initiative ability was fundamental to the success of was evident, first, in the burden of respon-

ing the unidirectional application of this the program, there was an equal need for knowledge in practice. The resultant pater- structure and stability. Although a tolerance nalistic understanding of the bridge position for uncertainty has been acknowledged as is at odds with the principles of CBPR and an essential trait of any CBPR work and for the bridge person specifically (Weerts & tive generation of knowledge in ways that Sandmann, 2010), the movement between continually shape the bridge person and these two states—adaptability and stabilexpand their own understanding. If we are ity—must be considered because it requires the bridge person to know when to be flextioppressive processes and outcomes and is ible and when some level of order and consistency is helpful.

The second role, navigating scarcity, or political labor, highlighted the political nature of the project, which was heightened in a culation and generation of multiple forms complex environment characterized by of knowledge to support social change. The scarcity and competition. The competitive nature of the food security movement cussed in relation to the adaptive, political, in particular has been associated with the institutionalization of large food charity ing on specific literature to show how this organizations (Levkoe, 2011; Riches, 2002), which reflects the larger nonprofit industrial complex within a neoliberal climate (Smith, 2017). In this project, some agencies In regard to the adaptive labor required of felt threatened by the Grocery Run in ways that undermined the CRC's attempts to collaborate and bring essential partners within ronment, the CRC needed to work within and outside it simultaneously, maneuvering around and avoiding the tensions possible. Further, the program itself had and an environment perpetually in a state of crisis. Political sensitivity and astuteness have been identified as particularly essential to practicing CBPR (Belone et al., 2016; Israel, Eng, et al., 2013), yet this field is rarely described in terms of the broader nonprofit industrial complex in which bridge people (and community-based participatory researchers in general) have increasingly found themselves. Communityuniversity partnerships may benefit from research focused on the experiences within community-based research projects in this context and the ways partnerships navigate these complexities.

meet an often impossible demand for food 2018). and, second, in the sense of isolation and confusion that resulted from not being fully embedded in a particular organization. The emotional nature of the position reflected the general experience of the community health workers in Multicultural Health Brokers; however, it was also distinct in the ways that the CRC was required to create

Although the bridge person possessed a range of attributes that contributed substantially to her ability to fulfill her reparticular the experiences of someone at- Kingsley & Chapman, 2013). tempting to address a complex issue as part of a community-university project.

To strengthen a bridging role, a community-university partnership should therefore In summary, the current article described have a more explicit understanding of the a CBPR project developed to respond to the position—its expressions and functions— complex issue of food insecurity and highbefore starting such projects. As highlighted lighted the multiple and unexpected roles in this article, to more fully support indi- played by a bridge person in supporting the viduals in these positions, greater attention project. Three roles reflected the adaptive. is needed to explore the emotional conse- political, and emotional nature of the projquences of this work and suggest potential ect, which had direct implications for the strategies for preventing burnout. We go bridge person. In a complex environment, further and suggest that, with a project the CRC was required to invest adaptive as complex and labor intensive as the one labor and be responsive to the community described here, a bridge team is needed in a continually (and necessarily) changing to avoid putting the sole responsibility on environment. The position also demanded one individual (Kislov et al., 2017). We do, various forms of political labor that neceshowever, acknowledge that the high finan- sitated a level of sensitivity and astuteness cial cost of additional personnel, combined within a competitive and politically fraught with the limited resources afforded research environment reflective of the broader nonprojects, may prevent this possibility for profit industrial complex. Finally, numer-

sibility placed primarily on one person to many partnerships (Levkoe & Stack-Cutler,

The descriptions of the required roles have highlighted that the bridge work involved in this project was far from being a neutral and uncomplicated process, and was instead logistically, politically, and emotionally messy. In addition, individuals tasked with filling bridge positions are at risk of processes that were challenged every week. feeling emotionally isolated if (or when) The CRC needed not only the ability to work the process proves more challenging than highly independently, but also a level of portrayals in the literature have led them to emotional maturity that enabled her to set expect (Lee-Treweek, 2000). The emotional boundaries and navigate the difficulty of labor, and the ways it is interconnected with never being able to meet the needs of either the adaptive and political labor necessary in families or the community health workers. a scarcity environment, needs to be more fully understood if community-university partnerships are to fully support the individuals in these positions.

sponsibilities, the role still left her emo- In this article, we have shared our own tionally and physically exhausted. This level context-specific stories as a source of of stress, in addition to its personal impact learning for other community-university on the CRC, also served to undermine the partnerships engaging in complex CBPR likelihood the role can be filled by the same projects (Levkoe & Stack-Cutler, 2018). person over the long term, which has im- Specifically, we documented the ambivalent plications for the quality of a CBPR project spaces the bridge person in this project op-(Israel, Krieger, et al., 2006). In the litera- erated within, in which she learned to neture, experiencing a sense of isolation as an gotiate and adapt between multiple desires emotional risk has been discussed briefly and agendas to become an "architect" of (Kislov et al., 2017). However, the emo-community change (Weerts & Sandmann, tional risks of social research are scarcely 2010). By providing transparent accounts documented and need more attention (Lee- of the intersections between practice and Treweek, 2000). This article goes some way research, we can incorporate and apprecitoward responding to this gap by describing ate messiness and nonlinearity as part of a the emotional and political labor involved rigorous process that leads to trustworthy in research projects of this kind, and in and transformational knowing (Cook, 2009;

Conclusion

in community-based research projects and environments. understand the many ways bridge people

ous emotional risks associated with the may be required to negotiate extremely bridge position resulted in the CRC feeling difficult environments characterized by emotionally and physically exhausted and competing political interests and high impacting the likelihood that she would be emotional costs. Only by paying attention to able to stay in the position long-term. As these dynamics can we adequately support reflected by these three forms of labor, we those who fill bridge positions and ensure must acknowledge the messiness inherent they are best able to navigate such complex



About the Authors

Maria Mayan is a professor in the School of Public Health and associate director of the Community-University Partnership at the University of Alberta.

Bethan Kingsley is a research associate at the Community-University Partnership for the Study of Children, Youth and Families at the University of Alberta.

Sandra Ngo is a policy analyst at the Government of Alberta, and served as the community bridge person with ENRICH and the Multicultural Health Brokers Cooperative.

Dragana Misita is a registered dietitian and the ENRICH research program coordinator at the University of Alberta.

Rhonda Bell is a professor of human nutrition in the faculty of agricultural, life, and environmental sciences at the University of Alberta.

References

- Abma, T., Cook, T., Madsen, W., Wright, M. T., Banks, S., Dias, S., & Springett, J. (2019). Participatory research for health and social well-being. Springer.
- Belone, L., Lucero, J. E., Duran, B., Tafoya, G., Baker, E. A., Chan, D., Chang, C., Greene-Moton, E., Kelley, M., & Wallerstein, N. (2016). Cwommunity-based participatory research conceptual model: Community partner consultation and face validity. Qualitative Health Research, 26(1), 117–135. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732314557084
- Bradbury, H. (2019). Foreword: An invitation to knit our worlds together. In T. Abma, S. Banks, T. Cook, S. Dias, W. Madsen, J. Springett, & M. T. Wright (Eds.), *Participatory research for health and social well-being* (pp. vii–xiii). Springer.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2012). Thematic analysis. In H. Cooper, P. M. Camic, D. L. Long, A. T. Panter, D. Rindskopf, & K. J. Sher (Eds.), APA handbook of research methods in psychology: Vol. 2. Research designs: Quantitative, qualitative, neuropsychological, and biological (pp. 57–71). American Psychological Association.
- Carpenter, S. R., & Brock, W. A. (2008). Adaptive capacity and traps. *Ecology and Society*, 13(2), Article 40. http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol13/iss2/art40/
- Cook, T. (2009). The purpose of mess in action research: Building rigour through a messy turn. Educational Action Research, 17(2), 277-291. https://doi.org/10.1080/09650790902914241
- Dodd, W., & Nelson, E. (2018). Shifting discourse and practice on food banks: Insights from a community–university partnership. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 31, 881–893. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-018-0012-0
- Fletcher, F., Hammer, B., & Hibbert, A. (2014). "We know we are doing something good, but what is it?": The challenge of negotiating between service delivery and research in a CBPR project. *Journal of Community Engagement and Scholarship*, 7(2), 19–30. https://tinyurl.com/33x3dc98
- Food Banks Canada. (2015). Hunger count 2015: A comprehensive report on hunger and food bank use in Canada, and recommendations for change. https://www.foodbankscanada.ca/getmedia/6173994f-8a25-40d9-acdf-660a28e40f37/HungerCount_2016_final_singlepage.pdf.aspx?ext=.pdf
- Gokiert, R., Georgis, R., Chiu, Y., & Chow, W. (2012). Early childhood developmental screening: Does culture play a role? In *Knowledge translation in Alberta: Health research with impact* (pp. 23–25). Alberta Innovates—Health Solutions. https://citeseerx.ist. psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.682.3507&rep=rep1&type=pdf#page=25
- Greenhalgh, T., Thorne, S., & Malterud, K. (2018). Time to challenge the spurious hierarchy of systematic over narrative reviews? *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 48(6), Article 12931. https://doi.org/10.1111/eci.12931
- Israel, B. A., Eng, E., Shulz, A. J., & Parker, E. A. (2013). Introduction to methods for CBPR for health. In B. A. Israel, E. Eng, A. J. Shulz, & E. A. Parker (Eds.), *Methods for community-based participatory research for health* (pp. 4–37). Jossey-Bass.
- Israel, B. A., Krieger, J., Vlahov, D., Ciske, S., Foley, M., Fortin, P., Guzman, J. R., Lichtenstein, R., McGranaghan, R., Palermo, A.-g., & Tang, G. (2006). Challenges and facilitating factors in sustaining community-based participatory research partnerships: Lessons learned from the Detroit, New York City and Seattle Urban Research Centers. *Urban Health*, 83(6), 1022–1040. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11524-006-9110-1
- Israel, B. A., Schulz, A. J., Parker, E. A., Becker, A. B., Allen, A. J., III, Guzman, J. R., & Lichtenstein, R. (2018). Critical issues in developing and following CBPR principles. In N. Wallerstein, B. Duran, J. G. Oetzel, & M. Minkler (Eds.), Community-based participatory research for health (pp. 31–44). Jossey-Bass.
- Janzen, R., Ochocka, J., & Stobbe, A. (2016). Towards a theory of change for community-based research projects. *Engaged Scholar Journal: Community-Engaged Research*, Teaching and Learning, 2(2), 44–64. https://doi.org/10.15402/esj.v2i2.165
- Jull, J., Giles, A., & Graham, I. D. (2017). Community-based participatory research and integrated knowledge translation: Advancing the co-creation of knowledge. *Implementation Science*, 12, Article 150. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-017-0696-3

- Kingsley, B. C., & Chapman, S.-A. (2013). Questioning the meaningfulness of rigour in community-based research: Navigating a dilemma. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 12(1), 551–569. https://doi.org/10.1177/160940691301200129
- Kislov, R., Wilson, P., & Boaden, R. (2017). The "dark side" of knowledge brokering. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 22(2), 107-112. https://doi. org/10.1177/1355819616653981
- Lee-Treweek, G. (2000). The insight of emotional danger: Research experience in a home of older people. In G. Lee-Treweek & S. Linkogle (Eds.), Danger in the field: Ethics and risk in social research (pp. 114–131). Routledge.
- Levkoe, C. Z. (2011). Towards a transformative food politics. Local Environment, 16(7), 687-705. https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839.2011.592182
- Levkoe, C. Z., & Stack-Cutler, H. (2018). Brokering community-campus partnerships: An analytical framework. Gateways: International Journal of Community Research and Engagement, 11(1), 18-36. https://doi.org/10.5130/ijcre.v11i1.5527
- Levkoe, C. Z., & Wakefield, S. (2011). The community food centre: Creating spaces for a just, sustainable, and healthy food system. Journal of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Community Development, 2(1), 249-268. https://doi.org/10.5304/jafscd.2011.021.012
- Lomas, J. (2007). The in-between world of knowledge brokering. British Medical Journal, 334(7585), 129–132. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39038.593380.AE
- Mayan, M. (2009). Essentials of qualitative inquiry. Left Coast Press.
- Mayan, M., & Daum, C. H. (2015). Worth the risk? Muddled relationships in communitybased participatory research. Qualitative Health Research, 26(1), 69-76. https://doi. org/10.1177/1049732315618660
- McCall, J., Mollison, A., Browne, A., Parker, J., & Pauly, B. (2017). The role of knowledge brokers: Lessons from a community based research study of cultural safety in relation to people who use drugs. Canadian Journal of Action Research, 18(1), 34-51. https:// journals.nipissingu.ca/index.php/cjar/article/view/320
- Patton, M. O. (2008). Developmental evaluation: Applying complexity concepts to enhance innovation and use. Guilford Press.
- Pettes, T., Dachner, N., Gaetz, S., & Tarasuk, V. (2016). An examination of charitable meal programs in five Canadian cities. Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved, 27(3), 1303–1315. https://doi.org/10.1353/hpu.2016.0121
- Quintanilha, M., Mayan, M., Ngo, S., Chiu, Y., & Bell, R. (2018). Developmental evaluation of a novel food security strategy to support pregnant and postpartum newcomer women in Edmonton. The Journal of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology, 31(S1), 961.11-961.11. https://faseb.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1096/ fasebj.31.1 supplement.961.11
- Quintanilha, M., Mayan, M. J., Thompson, J., & Bell, R. C. (2016). Contrasting "back home" and "here": How Northeast African migrant women perceive and experience health during pregnancy and postpartum in Canada. International Journal for Equity in Health, 15, Article 80. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-016-0369-x
- Quintanilha, M., Thompson, J., Bell, R., & Mayan, M. (2015). Exploring sociocultural factors during pregnancy and postpartum among African-immigrant women within the context of a community-based organization. Canadian Journal of Diabetes, 39(Suppl. 1), Article S22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjd.2015.01.095
- Riches, G. (2002). Food banks and food security: Welfare reform, human rights and social policy. Lessons from Canada? Social Policy & Administration, 36(6), 648-663. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1467-9515.00309
- Smith, A. (2017). Introduction: The revolution will not be funded. In INCITE! Women of Color Against Violence (Ed.), The revolution will not be funded: Beyond the non-profit industrial complex (pp. 1–18). Duke University Press.
- Steenbergen, D. J., & Warren, C. (2018). Implementing strategies to overcome socialecological traps: The role of community brokers and institutional bricolage in a locally managed marine area. Ecology and Society, 23(3), Article 10. https://doi.org/10.5751/ ES-10256-230310

- Strand, K., Marullo, S., Cutforth, N., Stoeker, R., & Donohue, P. (2003). *Community-based research and higher education*. Jossey–Bass.
- Sword, W., Watt, S., & Krueger, P. (2006). Postpartum health, service needs, and access to care experiences of immigrant and Canadian-born women. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic & Neonatal Nursing*, 35(6), 717–727. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1552-6909.2006.00092.x
- Tarasuk, V. (2001). A critical examination of community-based responses to household food insecurity in Canada. *Health Education and Behavior*, 28(4), 487–499. https://doi.org/10.1177/109019810102800408
- Tarasuk, V., Li, T., Mitchell, A., & Dachner, N. (2018). Commentary—The case for more comprehensive data on household food insecurity. *Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention in Canada*, 38(5), 210–213. https://doi.org/10.24095/hpcdp.38.5.03
- Tarasuk, V., Mitchell, A., & Dachner, N. (2013a). Household food insecurity in Canada, 2013. Research to Identify Policy Options to Reduce Food Insecurity (PROOF). http://proof.utoronto.ca/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/foodinsecurity2013.pdf
- Tarasuk, V., Mitchell, A., & Dachner, N. (2013b). Household food insecurity in Canada 2011. Research to Identify Policy Options to Reduce Food Insecurity (PROOF). http://www.nutritionalsciences.lamp.utoronto.ca/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/Household-Food-Insecurity-in-Canada-2011.pdf
- Tarasuk, V., Mitchell, A., & Dachner, N. (2016). Household food insecurity in Canada, 2014. Research to Identify Policy Options to Reduce Food Insecurity (PROOF). https://proof.utoronto.ca/resources/proof-annual-reports/
- Vozoris, N. T., & Tarasuk, V. S. (2003). Household food insufficiency is associated with poorer health. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 133(1), 120–126. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/133.1.120
- Ward, V., House, A., & Hamer, S. (2009). Knowledge brokering: The missing link in the evidence to action chain? *Evidence & Policy: A Journal of Research, Debate and Practice*, 5(3), 267–279. https://doi.org/10.1332/174426409X463811
- Weerts, D. J., & Sandmann, L. R. (2010). Community engagement and boundary-spanning roles at research universities. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 81(6), 632–657. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2010.11779075
- Yohani, S., Kirova, A., Georgis, R., Gokiert, R., Mejia, T., & Chiu, Y. (2019). Cultural brokering with Syrian refugee families with young children: An exploration of challenges and best practices in psychosocial adaptation. *Journal of International Migration and Integration*, 20, 1181–1202. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12134-019-00651-6