Berkey, B., Meixner, C., Green, P. M., & Eddins, E. A. (Eds.). (2018). Reconceptualizing faculty development in service-learning/ community engagement: Exploring intersections, frameworks, and models of practice. Sterling, VA: Stylus. 348 pp.

Review by Paul H. Matthews

chapters of this edited volume worthwhile, cesses around the genesis and organization providing me both a deeper understanding of the volume, which they characterize as a of some of the breadth and possibilities inherent in this work as well as several new ideas and challenges to the status quo of my faculty development activities. However, although the book's dozen chapters and ancillary introductions individually provide interesting standalone reading, they do not always cohere across the volume. Likewise, the editors' goal of providing description and analysis of approaches to faculty development around S-LCE is more fully accomplished than their simultaneous "invitation for the reader to reconceptualize our work in educational development and S-LCE" (p. xxvii) in transformative ways.

Structurally, the book includes 12 chapters, organized into four parts. It also includes a Foreword by L. Dee Fink, and both a Preface by coeditors Emily Eddins and Patrick Green, and a "narrative introduction" chapter from all four editors. Prior even to the introductory components, a chart of case studies presents the range of institutions and "takeaways" included in three midbook chapters, further priming the reader to assume that description of programming will be the primary focus of the volume. There is also a three-page listing of the assorted acronyms used by the authors across the chapters, including numerous institution-specific program names, which might have been better placed at the end, with the author biosketches and index.

In framing their volume, coeditors Becca community-engaged teaching, scholarship, Berkey, Cara Meixner, Patrick Green, and documentation, and activities. The authors

s a service-learning and commu- Emily Eddins note the ubiquity of faculty nity engagement (S-LCE) profes- educational development responsibilities sional with a substantive faculty among the roles of most community endevelopment portfolio, I found gagement professionals. Their Introduction reading and reflecting on the not only addresses the editors' thought procollaborative ethnography with self-reflective chapter contributions, but also includes a shared narrative inquiry and analysis of their own positionality that goes somewhat afield from the stated focus on faculty development. They do eventually circle back to their thesis of S-LCE professionals as hybrid "third-space professionals" (Whitchurch, 2013) occupying a "borderland" (Anzaldua, 1987) of educational development in order to connect and manage the range of needs, responsibilities, and constituents inherent in the role. They further extend a "narrative invitation to you, the reader, to reflect on your own experiences, values, and identity within the context of your own professional narrative" (p. xxv), encouraging the reader not only to reflect but actually to write reflective responses to the editors' prompts.

> Part 1, "The Landscape of Faculty Development and Community Engagement," includes two chapters, beginning with Marshall Welch and Star Plaxton-Moore's excellent contribution. They effectively argue that the role many community engagement professionals now play goes beyond just "faculty" development. This more comprehensive role entails "professional educational development for multiple stakeholders and contexts" (p. 29, emphasis in original), also supporting administrators, community partners, and others. Likewise, this work encompasses

219

of a conceptual wheel representing these Community Engagement," constitute the contexts and stakeholders. They further bulk of the volume's case studies of proflesh out this model with a competencies- gram models. In Chapter 3, Emily Gravett based chart that includes factors to con- and Andreas Broscheid prepare the reader sider, impact measures, and the locus of with a good, if basic, summary of types, rechange for each. Welch and Plaxton-Moore sources, outcomes, benefits, and drawbacks also provide a useful overview of the state of of possible short- and long-term faculty the field, with a literature review on S-LCE development programming. Appropriately, faculty development as well as a survey of they draw upon models for instructional professionals based on themes from the design, advocating for starting from desired review, sketching out who takes part in learning objectives of faculty development development activities, common structures to determine the most appropriate educathereof, and frequent program content and tional activities and programming. Gravett structures. They note that many reported and Broscheid's typologies could be helpful activities appear ungrounded in research in developing a self-assessment or checklist on adult learning theory, and point out the for centers and engagement professionals contradiction that although S-LCE profes- interested in surveying their own faculty sionals generally cover assessment in what development offerings. In fact, I found their programs teach, most programs do not myself literally checking next to each type actually implement effective assessment of professional development offered by our themselves—"both a pedagogical and ethi- university (e.g., workshops, faculty learncal issue" for the field (p. 54). Finally, the ing communities, incentive and recognition authors advocate for rethinking the role of programs) and likewise interrogating the the S-LCE professional development from possibility of new programming ideas (e.g., "developing and delivering effective 'workshops' limited to a few hours on the 'nuts' and bolts' of course construction to using collective action to transform the institution and advance the public purpose of higher education" (p. 55). I found this recommendation, as well as their consideration of competency-based models, promising directions for the prospective "reconceptualizing" of educational development suggested by the book's title, and wish more of the volume had been structured to build on this chapter's lead.

In Chapter 2, Timothy Eatman draws upon his extensive experience with Imagining America to further take up the call for transformation. Reflecting on the changing U.S. higher education landscape, he considers how professional development, especially a "faculty as co-learners" model (p. 68) oriented toward publicly engaged scholarship, might help enhance the agency of faculty of all types to contribute productively to their institutional imperatives as well as to the public good. After providing examples of initiatives and campuses experiencing success in promoting publicly engaged scholarship through faculty development, Eatman concludes by advocating for the "power of story" (p. 75) both in strengthening faculty development models and in transforming and humanizing higher education.

The five chapters of Part 2, "Models of ing), Indiana University Purdue University-

position the S-LCE professional at the hub Faculty Development in Service-Learning/ faculty/student partnerships, roundtables) based on their helpful overview.

> In Chapter 4, institutional case studies from Boise State University, Georgia College & State University (GCSU), and Portland State University profile contexts, implemented program models, outcomes, and lessons learned from each site. Although case studies sometimes can run the risk of being too specific to be useful, here the authors take care to contextualize each setting but also to extrapolate and reflect, and in all three cases the framing of how their professional development offerings changed across time as programs matured was illuminating. I particularly benefited from the reflection on the framing of Boise State's programming as being responsive to differing faculty profiles (fast-track, planners, and deep planners), as well as to phases of their trajectory (entry, practice, advanced, and mentor phases). Portland State's evolution from focusing on individual faculty practitioners to broader group and structural supports (e.g., the engaged department) and GCSU's focus on "practitioner development"—including community partners as well as faculty—were likewise notable takeaways for consideration in my own practice. Chapter 5 takes a deeper dive into faculty learning communities (FLCs), with case examples of FLCs from the University of San Francisco (focused on community-engaged learn

Indianapolis (public scholarship), and Johns any professional development program-Hopkins (an online community of practice). ming. Thus, the chapter reads more like These examples, especially those showing an extended teaching philosophy narrative how FLCs can bring about campuswide than a targeted contribution for advancing change (e.g., promotion and tenure mate- reader understanding of educational derials, curriculum change) led me to recon- velopment per se. Chapter 10, "Reciprocity sider some of the goals and activities of the and Partnership," feels similarly out of learning community our office facilitates place. Although the authors (Gabriel Ignacio as well, with an eye toward enhancing Barreneche, Micki Meyer, and Scott Gross) its impact and scope. Three more insti- provide a solid review/overview of common tutional cases (Saint Joseph's University, principles and challenges in community-Marquette University, and University of campus partnerships, fleshed out with ex-Central Florida) are featured in Chapter 6 amples from their own institutions, there as "mission-driven, low-cost creative prac- is only limited consideration given to the tices" (p. 159). This chapter overcame my faculty development aspects of this work. In initial skepticism, providing ideas and in- between, in Chapter 9, Stephanie Stokamer sights into value-added program elements uses Pacific University as the setting to such as faculty mentoring (Saint Joseph's), consider how institutional characteristics, development of common critical reflec- priorities, and culture influence community tion prompts and rubrics to support fac- engagement work, and subsequently, faculty course management (Marquette), and ulty development that supports this work. embedding service-learning training into Given that this chapter was also essentially larger university professional development a case study, it might have been more effecconferences (UCF).

Coeditors Meixner, Berkey, and Green return in Chapter 7, the concluding chapter The final two chapters comprise Part in Part 2, framing it as a transition between 4, "Engendering Change in Educational the case studies and the more conceptual Development," beginning with Chapter chapters in the second half of the volume. 11's focus on connecting faculty develop-They provide a short overview of the his- ment to scholarship. Sherril Gelmon and tory of "educational development," again Catherine Jordan structure their chapter highlighting this more inclusive term en- around findings from a study on community dorsed by the Professional Organizational engagement professionals' perspectives on Development (POD) Network. Finally, they why they undertake publication and other draw similarities between and advocate scholarly work. The authors advocate for the for more intentional collaboration among value of creating scholarship about S-LCE educational development professionals educational development activities, includand offices (e.g., centers for teaching and ing in collaboration with faculty, students, learning) supporting pedagogical develop- community partners, and professionals on ment more broadly, and the related efforts other campuses. They note that "by creof community-engagement professionals ating, delivering, and studying the impact who work with faculty, staff, students, and of faculty development programs, S-LCE community partners in service-learning professionals have the opportunity to apand engagement-specific activities.

Part 3, "Challenges and Opportunities in Pedagogy and Partnerships," includes three chapters that were, for me, the least successful in contributing directly to the overall volume's focus. In Chapter 8 Chirag Variawa reflects on teaching engineering courses using a service-learning component and suggests that structured frameworks such as universal instructional design are helpful in designing such courses. Including faculty voice in a book about faculty development. In the volume's final chapter, Richard would be a welcome perspective, but in this Kiely and Kathleen Sexsmith present their case the author does not appear to have "transformative model for faculty developtaken part in—nor indeed to reference— ment in S-LCE . . . intended to assist fac-

tive earlier in the volume, for instance prior to the other cases in Part 2.

proach their work in a scholarly manner as well as to develop scholarship from their programmatic activities" (pp. 273-274). Their chapter also provides direct advice for those engagement professionals interested in undertaking such work, including an initial list of potential research questions around faculty development, considerations around publication, and advocacy for the importance of such scholarly work in one's role and position expectations.

ulty and S-LCE professionals with critical as a whole does not necessarily equip the reflection on their own assumptions about reader to do so, instead primarily describedge generation (including scholarship of contemplate a broader range of stakeholdimportant for faculty to gain a deep under- less a chapter authored from this perspecof andragogy or adult learning theory should in educational development program – likewise be applied in designing educational ming would have been a welcome addition, dertaken with an integrative, metacognitive such programs. Perhaps most surprisingly, their assumptions and principles en route entirely, focusing instead on ancillary serto transforming them.

Taken as a whole, then, Reconceptualizing Faculty Development in Service-Learning/ Community Engagement has substantial value for those who do the work of educational development in these spaces. It not only helps the reader survey the "lay of the land" through a diversity of cases and examples, but also spurs us to consider what we may be missing, overlooking, or not yet striving for in our professional development efforts. However, although many of "transformation." the chapter authors call for "transformation" of and through this work, the volume

what constitutes robust S-LCE theory and ing and categorizing faculty development practice" (p. 283). The authors argue that activities. Even within that descriptive faculty development should go beyond a space, some elements are lacking, and the traditional focus on pedagogy and instead focus is clearly on the S-LCE professional's intentionally integrate considerations of role and experience. For instance, despite teaching, community partnerships, knowl- recommendations by several authors to teaching and learning), and institutional ers for educational development, such as culture within a context of relationship graduate students or community partners, building and boundary crossing. They sug- very little is posited to consider what such gest that dissonance and reflection are a reconceptualization might entail, much standing of the field's "threshold concepts" tive. Likewise, a section or chapter focused (p. 288) of reciprocity, reflexivity, position- on the voices and experiences of faculty ality, and critical reflection, and that tenets members or other recipients/participants development activities for faculty. Finally, rather than coverage restricted to targeting they suggest that this work should be un- the experiences of professionals who lead lens that fosters critical awareness across some chapters seem to lack an orientation these four areas, helping faculty surface toward the topic of educational development vice-learning topics that do not advance the overall agenda of the volume. Still, as part of the broader understanding of the important roles and responsibilities of community engagement professionals in higher education (e.g., Dostilio, 2017; Post, Ward, Longo, & Saltmarsh, 2016), this book is successful in stimulating deeper thinking around the challenges, possibilities, and practices of educational development as a tool for not only enhanced teaching and learning, but perhaps even for institutional change and

About the Reviewer

Paul H. Matthews is a senior academic professional faculty member at the University of Georgia, serving as associate director of the Office of Service–Learning. He leads the office's applied research activities and its professional educational development for faculty, graduate students, and others. He received his Ph.D. in language education from the University of Georgia.

References

- Anzaldua, G. (1987). Borderlands/la frontera: The new mestiza. San Francisco, CA: Spinsters/ Aunt Lute.
- Dostilio, L. D. (Ed.). (2017). The community engagement professional in higher education: A competency model for an emerging field. Boston, MA: Campus Compact.
- Post, M. A., Ward, E., Longo, N. V., & Saltmarsh, J. (Eds.). (2016). Publicly engaged scholars: Next generation engagement and the future of higher education. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Whitchurch, C. (2013). *Reconstructing identities in higher education: The rise of third space professionals.* London, UK: Routledge.