Supporting University-Community Partnerships: A Qualitative Inquiry With Contingent Academics to Understand Their Scholarship of Engagement

Cecile H. Sam, Brent C. Elder, and Stacey Leftwich

Abstract

Using a qualitative interview design and the conceptual framework of an engaged campus (Furco, 2010), this article examines the engaged scholarship of contingent academics in a university-community partnership with several professional development schools in the United States. This article highlights some facets that make their engaged scholarship different from traditional scholarship, and the challenges in meeting responsibilities to both the community and university. The purpose of this article is to extend our understanding of community-engaged scholarship and help higher education institution administrators think about policies to support contingent academics participating in other community partnerships.

Keywords: university-community partnerships, contingent academics, higher education policies, engaged scholarship

Stanton, T., 2008). One of the mechanisms Snow et al., 2016). for regional development is universitycommunity partnerships (Buys & Bursnall, As policymakers, funders, and universities McGrath, 2018) that take the form of long- community partnerships, it is important term, mutual working partnerships between for researchers to explore the work that

any research universities to focus on a problem of practice (Darlinghave made a scholarship Hammond, 2017; Latham & Wedwick, 2009; of engagement one of the Zenkov et al., 2016). Partnerships with core components of their these schools can be beneficial for both mission—to take the intel- parties—the university continues to meet lectual, resource, and human capital found its mission for regional development, and within the university and apply them to local schools and districts can address their key issues that affect regional development specific concerns with some positive edu-(O'Meara, 2010; Puukka & Marmolejo, 2008; cational outcomes (Coburn & Penuel, 2016;

2007; Coburn & Penuel, 2016; Murphy & become more interested in universitythe higher education institutions and the academics perform in these partnerships. surrounding community stakeholders. In This understanding can inform instituthe case of education departments and tional policies around the work (Buys & programs in countries such as the United Bursnall, 2007; Kajner et al., 2012; Murphy States, Canada, Australia, Finland, and & McGrath, 2018). Depending on the model, Singapore, this partnership can involve the responsibilities of maintaining a sucworking with schools via collaboratively de- cessful partnership from the university side veloped immersive residency models where can fall on contingent academics (Kezar & academics and school leaders use research Maxey, 2015; Ward, 2003). In the United responsibilities may lead to unemployment (Austin, 2003; O'Meara, 2010).

termed the "professional development within institutional structures. school" network at a public research university in the northeastern United States. In this network, contingent academics are titled "professors-in-residence," and they are situated within 11 local schools. We designed a qualitative inquiry study and asked the following questions:

- academics trying to conduct a scholarship of engagement in these professional development schools?
- How can the university support contingent academics who are conducting engaged scholarship in a universitycommunity partnership?

To answer these research questions, we first provide a review of the literature. Next, we provide the conceptual framework for this research: the engaged campus (Furco, 2010), situating academic work within that framework. We follow with a description of the methodology and our findings. This article ends with a discussion of how to think about institutional policies as a means for institutions to support community-engaged scholarship through these partnerships.

Review of the Literature

to regional engagement (Kroll et al., 2013). teaching, research, service, or any combina-

States and Canada, contingent academ - For this article, we focus on a scholarship ics work full time or part time and are on of engagement, or engaged scholarship contract rather than tenure track (Kezar & (Barker, 2004, p. 125), in the form of a Sam, 2010). This employment model has university-community partnership. Boyer parallels in "fixed-term contracts" in the (1990) argued for the value of engaged United Kingdom and "casual" or "session scholarship in his seminal work Scholarship employment" in Australia. Even for ten- Reconsidered. This scholarship involves a ured and tenure-track academics, finding mutually beneficial relationship between a way to meet university and partnership academics and the community, and it is responsibilities can be difficult, especially an "integration of teaching, research, and if the institutional policies do not support service" (Sandmann, 2008, p. 96). In the the work (Sandmann et al., 2008). For field of education, engaged scholarship can contingent academics who do not have the involve a partnership with schools to help protection of tenure, being unable to meet solve problems of practice in a local context.

Sandmann (2008) has argued that engaged scholarship is currently in the fourth stage This article uses the conceptual lens of the of its evolution, the "institutionalization engaged university (Furco, 2010) to explore of the scholarship of engagement within the work of contingent academics and their and across academe" (p. 98). At this stage, scholarship of engagement. More spe- institutions have generally recognized the cifically, it looks at contingent academics value of engaged scholarship, and the chalworking in an immersive residency model lenge is determining how to integrate it

Even though many higher education institutions have made public and civic engagement part of their mission, the result sometimes has been described as "tokenism" where programs and initiatives have "little or no real effect on the broader, overall mission and work of the academy" (Fitzgerald What are the experiences of contingent et al., 2012, p. 23). This may reflect the lack of alignment between the public engagement mission of the institution and existing policies such as academic reward structures. The literature indicates that many academic reward structures do not place an equal value on engaged community scholarship compared to more traditional scholarship, to the extent that some academics have perceived that they are being discouraged from engaged scholarship (Buys & Bursnall, 2007; Checkoway, 2013). When institutional tenure and promotion policies fail to align with a public scholarship mission, indicating that institutions may not value engaged scholarship, junior academics seeking tenure may be deterred from conducting such work (Buys & Bursnall, 2007; O'Meara, 2010).

For those higher education institutions that want to maintain their mission of community engagement but will not or cannot align their tenure-track academic reward There are numerous ways to explore what structures, there is another option: concommunity engagement means for a higher tingent academics. In the United States, education institution's mission. The form of contingent academics are full-time or partsuch work ranges from community service time limited contract employees engaged in tion of the three (Gerhke & Kezar, 2015). American counterparts. Often these positions lack job security and protections of academic freedom. In terms of degree attainment, Laurence (2013) found that in 4-year institutions, approximately 30% of contingent academics had a doctoral degree, and 46.5% reported having only a master's. There is also some crossover of contingent academic employment and graduate students. In a study on contingent academics, the American Association of University Professors (2018) found that at research institutions, graduate students make up a large percentage of contingent appointments, replacing part-time positions.

staff for unbundling the professional com-Matthews and Wilder (2018) noted that a own academic community. substantial number of contingent academics fulfill service-learning roles. Some institutions explicitly unbundle engaged scholarship by using the designation "professor of practice" for fixed-contract academics who are engaged in that type of work (Ernst et al., 2005; Willets, 2017).

stitutional and social support for their work schools or any similar university-comconditions similar to those of their North tions and their respective academic staff,

Regarding a scholarship of engagement that includes some combination of teaching, research, and service, aside from a recent phenomenological study conducted by Matthews and Wilder (2018), little empirical research has focused specifically on the contingent experience. Levin and Shaker (2011) argued that contingent academics tend to be overlooked. Much of the literature on scholarship of engagement already focuses on tenure-track academics (e.g., O'Meara et al., 2013; O'Meara et al., 2011; Sandmann, 2008). When they are included in research, the differences in their experience are not highlighted or the employment Universities have used contingent academic status of the participant is unknown (e.g., Buys & Bursnall, 2007; O'Meara & Niehaus, ponents of academic labor—teaching, re- 2009). Matthews and Wilder (2018) found search, and service—into disparate parts that those non-tenure-track academics who (Austin, 2003; Gerhke & Kezar, 2015). A engaged in service-learning communitygrowing trend may also be unbundling engaged scholarship experienced isolation, types of scholarship, relegating engaged difficulty conducting scholarship, lack recscholarship to contingent academics. ognition for their work, and a need for their

Professional Development Schools as an Example of University-Community **Partnership**

Current literature on immersive residency models, such as professional development schools, in the United States focuses on Although once considered a small popula- ways schools and universities collaborate tion, contingent workers now constitute to improve existing teacher education and the majority of academic appointments practice (Zenkov et al., 2016) or student outin the United States (Kezar & Sam, 2010). comes (Castle et al., 2008). Similar univer-Similar trends can be seen in the increase sity-community partnership models can be of casual contracts in the United Kingdom found in other countries, such as Australia, and Australia (Cavalli & Moscati, 2010; where "university faculty are working with Loveday, 2018). Broadly speaking, contin- teams of teachers and student-teachers in gent academics have experienced an other- schools—undertaking curriculum planning, ness that separates them from tenure-track school improvement strategies and reacademics (Haviland et al., 2017). The exist-search" (Darling-Hammond, 2017, p. 300). ing literature on contingent academics has This emphasis on either teacher education examined their experiences in academia or school-level research often means that with regard to teaching (Kezar, 2013), ser- the literature focuses on elementary or secvice (Levin & Shaker, 2011; Waltman et al., ondary education rather than the university 2012), and scholarship (Kezar, 2013; Ott & side of the work. Likewise, there is a dearth Cisneros, 2015). Contingent academics in of higher education research that examthe United States and Canada often lack in- ines academics' experience engaging with across all three missions of higher education munity partnership in education, though (Kezar & Sam, 2010, 2013; Haviland et al., Coburn et al. (2013) described work that 2017). Research on two-tiered academics is making inroads in that area. With over in Australia (Kimber, 2003) and the United 1,000 school sites throughout the United Kingdom has found that casual contract States alone (Schwartz, 2002) working in academics may be experiencing working partnership with higher education institufuture policy.

Theoretical Framework

The Engaged Campus

To understand the experiences of academic staff as professors-in-residence, we use Furco's (2010) engaged campus as a theoretical framework. Furco (2010) connected the traditional three categories of academic Supported by the literature on engaged work (teaching, research, and service) to the scholarship (O'Meara et al., 2011; Sandmann, community. The result is three overlapping key components: (a) community-engaged this study is part of a larger communityteaching, (b) community-based research, based participatory research inquiry (Beh et and (c) community service and outreach. al., 2013; Stanton, C. R., 2014) to improve At the center of the engaged campus where the policies and practices for all academics the three circles converge is what Furco engaged in professional development school (2010) described as a community service- work. This larger inquiry used documents based capstone experience that includes a that included qualitative memos from pro-"strong research component" and seeks "to gram orientations and retreats, agendas, provide service to the community to address and minutes from monthly meetings. There an important, identified community need" (p. 382).

Furco (2010) defined community-engaged teaching as providing students opportunities to learn from and within the broader community while simultaneously being able to give back to it. In this article, community engagement can include experiences differences, we situated a smaller inquiry like district-school internships or clinical candidates. *Community-based research* differs engagement because it encourages members of the community as well as universityagenda by identifying the genuine interests of the community (Furco, 2010; Stanton, C. R., 2014). Lastly, in community service and Review Board clearance was obtained. outreach, scholars within the university utilize their expertise to provide assistance to the community via volunteerism or outreach programs.

For this article, we locate professional development school work at the center of Furco's (2010) engaged campus. We frame this capstone experience as four "nonnegotiable" responsibilities that each person must meet in their role as a professorin-residence. These responsibilities were established by the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (2008) and guided the National Association of Each professional development school in the

it is important to understand this work for were adopted as core principles: (a) provide practicum, student teaching, and internship experiences; (b) support and enable the professional development of school and higher education academics; (c) support and enable inquiry directed at the improvement of practice; and (d) support and enhance student achievement.

Methodology

2008) and the above theoretical framework, were also mid- and end-of-year progress reports. From these document-based data we recognized that despite a large overlap in experiences with tenure-track academics, contingent academics also varied in significant ways.

Recognizing a need to investigate these within the larger project. This particular experiences and clinical practice for teacher study utilizes qualitative interview design (Bogdan & Biklen, 2007; Patton, 2002) to from more traditional conceptions of public delve further into the contingent academic experience. These interviews allowed contingent academics to voice their own expebased academic staff to shape the research rience and their needs for conducting engaged scholarship, separate from those who have different appointments. Institutional

Context of Study

The site of study is a college of education housed within a 4-year public research university in the northeastern United States. In alignment with its mission, the college established a partnership with 11 schools. At this university, the Office of Educator Support and Partnerships is charged with supporting this model of engaged scholarship and is the main point of contact for all schools and their respective academic staff.

Professional Development Schools (2008) network has one person who is a profesnine essentials of professional development sor-in-residence, and that person must be school work. These four nonnegotiables employed by the university. In order to be

residence as part of their scholarship. Thus, from these interviews. a majority of professors-in-residence are fixed-term academics.

Participants

tailing their research, teaching, and service. (Patton, 2002). Common questions in the degree.

One participant had received a doctoral degree, and five held dual roles as doctoral liked in your position as a professor-instudents and contingent academics. Among residence? the five, one held the position of professorcontingent academic hires in a similar po- via email. sition. It is also important to note that several participants in this study had also held other academic fixed-contract positions as instructors prior to being professors-inresidence. See Table 1 for an overview of (2006) steps for thematic analysis. Data participant demographics.

Data Sources

For this article, we collected data from all axial coding, and selective coding (Bogdan participants using three sources. First, as & Biklen, 2007), which resulted in the part of the broader community-based par- identification of eight significant themes ticipatory research inquiry, we conducted a pertaining to the participants' experience. round of in-person, informal conversational We analyzed all data systematically and interviews (Fontana & Frey, 2000; Patton, collaboratively to ensure intercoder reli-

a professor-in-residence, the person must the participants about their general experibe employed in an academic capacity (i.e., ences working as professors-in-residence teaching or research). These academics can at their respective schools. We chose this be tenure track, tenured, or on a fixed-term approach because unstructured interviews contract. Even though a doctoral degree is allowed the participants to focus on any not required, research experience is pre- aspect of their work they wanted to discuss ferred. At the time of this study, very few and offered the researcher freedom to ask pretenured or tenured academics have been questions as they arose from the immediate willing to take the position of professor-in- context (Patton, 2002). Brent kept memos

The next source of data was an additional round of in-person audio-recorded interviews. For this round, we chose a semistructured guided interview approach The nine participants for this study were (Patton, 2002) because it allowed us to furall professors-in-residence working in ther explore some of the emerging themes this university-community partnership. that arose from the first interview analysis. All participants shared several sets of de- At the same time, the semistructured format scriptors. First, each participant was a also gave the participants flexibility to talk contingent academic with a fixed-term about any experiences that might not have 10-month contract. Similar to other types been directly listed in the protocol and alof academics in the institution, for renewal lowed us to pursue different avenues of ineach person had to submit a portfolio de- quiry based on those particular experiences Their employment responsibilities included second round reflected some of the themes teaching at the university and participa- that were emerging in the initial data analtion in departmental service. Second, each ysis: (a) What advice would you give to an participant had a teaching certification and incoming professor-in-residence who has extensive teaching experience in elementary never done any professional development or secondary school settings. Third, each school work before? (b) What do you wish participant was required to hold a master's you knew about your roles/responsibilities as a professor-in-residence prior to beginning your current position? (c) What types of supports would you like/would have

in-residence a year prior to becoming a Finally, we conducted a third round of doctoral student. It is important to note that structured written interviews (Patton, despite the dual academic identity of these 2002). This third round of interviews was five participants, they still had the same designed as a follow-up with the particiteaching, research, and service require- pants from the previous rounds of interments that are expected of all university views, and any clarifying questions occurred

Data Analysis

The analysis followed Braun and Clarke's analysis was an iterative process (Braun & Clarke, 2006) occurring between rounds and informing the next round of data collection. We coded data in three phases: open coding, 2002). During these sessions, we spoke with ability (Patton, 2002) and organized and

| Table 1. Description of Contingent Academics and Their Teaching and Research Experience | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|
| Contingent academic | Past K-12 teach- ing experience | Experience teaching in higher education | Number of years as a professor- in-residence | In a PhD program (y/n) |
| Contingent Academic 1: Grace | 14 years | 1 year | 1 year | N |
| Contingent Academic 2: Erica | 8 years | 1 year | 2 years | Y |
| Contingent Academic 3: Macy | 6 years | 4.5 years | 2 years | Y |
| Contingent Academic 4: Derrick | 10 years | 7 years | 2 years | Y |
| Contingent Academic 5: Nora | 10 years | 1 year | 1 year | Y |
| Contingent Academic 6: Wayne | 6 years | 3 year | <1 year | N |
| Contingent Academic 7: Kelly | 5 years | 2 years | 2 years | Y |
| Contingent Academic 8: Lori | 6 years | 2 years | <1 year | N |
| Contingent Academic 9: Richard | 6 years | 3 years | 2 years | N |

maintained the data with Dedoose software At the school level, the participants were a (Lieber & Weisner, 2015).

Results

Below, we thematically present the results based on the data, using interview excerpts from the nine contingent academics.

Navigating Multiple Roles in One Position

The participants in this article had to play multiple roles that spanned the school and a series of numerous roles such as "supervisor of clinical practice interns, facilitators of [culturally responsive pedagogy] professional development, researcher, teach onsite courses, liaison between the university and school" (Macy).

resource for the teachers and administration, providing professional development and on-site support to teachers. At the university level, the participants were also academics who belonged to departments, taught undergraduate and/or master's level courses, and were expected to produce research. However, participants also had roles that were at the nexus of the school and university settings (Gauntner & Hansman, 2017). For example, they were key in the college's student-teaching program. They university settings. When asked to describe were responsible for student-teacher placetheir role, most respondents answered with ment and providing those student-teachers with various supports and experiences. To develop a comprehensive professional development plan for school and other higher education academics, the contingent academics also established themselves as liaisons between the schools and the university. Supporting people on various Some of the roles described were specific levels required them to develop nurturing, to either the school or university setting. delicate, and vital relationships between student-teachers, the university, and the schools.

Having so many roles and responsibilities can be challenging. When asked about the sources of tension that they experienced, respondents also spoke about having these different roles. For example, Erica enumerated, "Wearing several hats as a trainer and a colleague of teachers; balancing school needs and [university] perceptions of what a [professor-in-residence] is; being a PhD student and a professor; politics at [the university] and at [the district]."

Aligning Scholarly Expertise and Community Interest

Research is a core part of a scholarship of engagement, but unlike traditional scholarship, engaged research is driven by community needs. However, community needs and academic staff expertise and interests may not necessarily be aligned. The data indicated that some contingent academics needed more time to find ways to connect their expertise with the needs of the school. In the following excerpt, Macy articulated how she took a year to accomplish this task.

Having been [at the school] a year and working really hard building relationships is finally paying off. I feel like I am doing what the school needs me to do, and that's great. . . . I was able to tie [the professional development] in with what I am passionate about, which is research on racial linguistics related to race, ethnicity, and language.

Misalignment between the scholars and the community interest may cause several issues. First, the scholars may not be able to conduct research that is within their expertise, or they must devote already limited time to learning a new content area. Second, community needs may remain unfilled, which defeats the purposes of engaged scholarship. Nora described a misalignment between her research interests and the needs of her school:

One of the things that I am grappling with is that my concentration in my PhD program is urban education, and my work is not in an urban context. So, moving forward, as we place professors-in-residence in schools, thinking about their research interests and how that is in alignment with the need at the school.

Although Nora felt her background and expertise did meet her school's needs, she also felt that the suburban school with a majority of middle-class students did not fulfill her own interest in urban education. Because she specifically wanted to gain experience in urban schools, this misalignment could keep her from engaging in the type of scholarship she desires and limit her productivity as a researcher.

Investing Time With the Community

All scholarship takes time. From development of studies to implementation and final production, it is a labor-intensive process. In terms of a community service-based experience, contingent academics have reported investing a large portion of time trying to be recognized as members of the school community, or at the very least to develop trust among the community members (Kajner et al., 2012). One participant, Erica, articulated how long it took to be recognized: "Prior to going to spring break, I was finally included as a true member of the community." Once she felt accepted, she felt she could take her work with the school further.

In interviews, contingent academics consistently discussed the need to develop trusting relationships with school partners as they strove to meet their responsibilities. Grace underscored the importance of building trusting relationships. She stated,

So, what I think that's going really well is the connection with the principals and with the teachers. I really understand the relationship and how it's supposed to be. They have to fully trust me before they accept me in their classrooms or even as part of their school community.

Grace further explained how gaining trust was a gradual process. She believed the teachers and administrators valued her expertise and thus began welcoming her into various school spaces as a trusted and valuable resource.

Almost all the contingent academics reported that it took at least several months and up to an entire school year to develop rela-

the scholar, which may ultimately under- ceptions: mine the goal of the engaged research.

Having Rich Opportunities for Research

One of the potential benefits of situating academic labor within an engaged campus is the opportunity for academics to combine service, teaching, and research. This means that ideally professors-in-residence should be able to produce research from their respective sites. Macy is one participant who recognized the potential for research in her position:

I'm like a kid in a candy store when it comes to data. It's flying off the walls. It's all over the place. Also, as someone growing as a novice researcher, this is the best situation for me. I appreciate way more now than I did [last year] . . . I am also co-authoring with teachers at my [site].

Once she realized her school was a source of rich data, Macy engaged teachers in the research process, began coauthoring relationships, and encouraged teachers to present their collaborative research at a symposium, highlighting how she was able to connect her teaching, research, and service in her work.

One of the challenges that such opportunisearch component with the rest of the work. look for 3/4 time faculty?" Erica explained, "I feel like I don't have a lot of time that I wish I had to dedicate myself to the research. It's always a balancing act. Research is always a part of my job, like needed to conduct their research. However, working as a professor-in-residence also port, as Erica illustrated: required her time and attention.

Needing Research Support

Despite the rich potential for research, not everyone may be able to utilize that oppor-

tionships within the community. However, tunity equally. As universities continue to the "publish or perish" framework of some unbundle professional academic labor into research institutions, as well as some of different components (Gehrke & Kezar, the outcome-driven decision making that 2015), staff performing engaged scholaroccurs when evaluating the success of ship may have varying levels of expertise. programs, squeeze contingent academics In this study, all of the contingent academbetween institutional protocols demanding ics had extensive teaching and professional justification for investment of resources and experience in schools, but less experience communities where groundwork cannot be conducting research. Grace, who had the rushed. Not investing the appropriate time most professional experience, had the least can result in the community shutting out research experience. She described her per-

> So, the research piece is big. How do we even get started? What should it look like? How do I set achievable expectations for myself while trying to get this big idea out there? And, how do I do this without a background in research? I feel like I'm at a disadvantage. Where do I even start? How do I get in the door? Being new to the university as well. Not necessarily knowing who to go to when different supports are needed.

Being contingent academic staff at the institution may also play a role in an inability to find research support. Macy explained, "Learning to do something while meeting the expectation of actually doing the work is a constant battle. As a part-time [academic], certain supports are not available, such as funding." Research indicates that contingent academics often are unfamiliar with institutional resources, or such resources may be unavailable to the staff (Kezar & Sam, 2010). Some of the resources the university offered did not address the needs of contingent academics specifically—for example, several contingent academics described how they wanted help applying for grants, but "some services are not provided to us because of our level" and they would ties for research present is balancing the re- like to know "how does that grant process

Some participants were able to tap into their doctoral studies resources to find support if I want my job, it has to happen. . . . " even those who had more research experi-The other responsibilities that come with ence reported still needing research sup-

> Honestly, research has always been the area in which I needed the most support. I think now, being in the PhD program, I am a little bit more supported just because I am getting

the actual instruction in terms of how to do the research. I still feel like I am asked to fly the plane, and at the same time of being given the tools to build the plane.

All the contingent academics recognized that conducting research was a core aspect of their engaged scholarship. What they wanted was support for their work.

Needing Connections With Other Scholars

Given the different roles that the participants experienced, the data also indicated that they would have liked to connect more with other academics at the university. This collaboration could be either among contingent academics or tenure-track academics. The administrative office provided opportunities for all of the professors-in-residence to collaborate with one another during consistent meeting times and planned monthly events. That data indicated that some contingent academics needed such opportunities to collaborate. When asked about what Instead of broader collaborative opportunithe university could do to help her work, brainstorm with other professors-in-resthat their work differs greatly from that of another could be useful.

to work with others extended to more residence] mentorship." traditional academics at the university. responsibilities. Derrick explained, "I work one-size-fits-all. with the other [professors-in-residence] to help facilitate their ability to create publishable research." Some of the contingent academics went on to coauthor conference The findings from this study answer the reproposals and/or copresent at research conferences, but this was not the norm among most. Even with the connections that they made working with scholars, a need for more remained. Derrick voiced this as "I don't think I have enough exposure to others' work."

Needing Mentorship and Guidance

As evidenced by the results thus far, engaged scholarship is an immense and complex undertaking. Even though the data indicate a desire to connect with others to learn from one another, there is also a need for mentorship and guidance. At times, especially for a new professor-in-residence, navigating the university's expectations could be overwhelming. Nora expanded on her experiences:

I think that the expectations across the board are very clear, but what it looks like in every school is very different. So, [group meetings do not] always feel that helpful. I am just being honest. It feels overwhelming. Especially when people are sharing what they are doing in their school and it doesn't look like anything else of what is going on in anybody else's school.

ties, Nora wanted a more specific one-to-Kelly wanted "more time to collaboratively one mentorship process where "working in pairs would help, because you could know idence." This particular need may reflect what someone else is doing in a situation a little bit closer to what you're doing." other academics in the institution, so that Seasoned professors-in-residence also connecting with other academics with simi- wanted mentorship. Richard noted that lar responsibilities and learning from one one of the supports he wanted for his work was "a mentor to help you through the process—not an informal mentor but a formal The participants expressed that the need structure for peer-to-peer [professors-in-

Grace spoke about wanting to connect with University program administrators proacademics to help meet the needs of her vided broader collaborative opportunities specific professional development school: but, at her stage, Nora did not always find "We actually reached out [to] the univer- this structure helpful. Nora's excerpt unsity departments to see if anyone would be derscores the need for flexibility in uniinterested in coming in." This need also in- versity support for this work. Contingent cludes finding ways to fulfill their research academics' needs may change and are not

Discussion

search questions by highlighting the complexities that are part of being a community-based engaged scholar. First, this article illustrates that university-community partnerships can offer rich opportunities and potential for a mutually beneficial relationship between academic staff and the community when the proper alignment among needs, interests, and experience exists

(Kajner et al., 2012; McNall et al., 2009). they must consider some academic reward However, without the proper support, rich systems that support this type of nontraopportunities for research and collaboration ditional scholarly work. The data indicate can be missed. Second, within a universi-that academics performing community ty-community partnership, academic staff work in schools require more time to situate had to navigate multiple roles and respon- themselves in the school context. Academics sibilities housed within one position that unfamiliar with this type of work may have spanned two contexts. Though traditional unrealistic timelines for academic deliverdefinitions of unbundling of academic labor ables. For fixed-term employees, recognizinvolve the separation of teaching, research, ing their engaged scholarship could entail and service (Gerhke & Kezar, 2015), another offering multiyear fixed-term contracts, type of unbundling may be called for: one differentiating productivity to better reflect that delegates to some academics the public the work, and including other stakeholder scholarship work that other academic staff feedback to determine renewal. may not be incentivized to do.

nature of the work, the contingent academroles and building relationships in the proport of the work through institutional poli-Furco, 2010; Sandmann, 2008).

In terms of policy support, the institutionalization of a scholarship of engagement may be even more important if universities assign (either de facto or purposefully) the work of engaged scholarship to contingent academics. This study answers the second research question by finding that there are key areas where institutions can provide more support, especially in terms of the research component of the position. The literature on contingent labor already reflects a lack of institutional policies that provide support and resources (Kezar & Sam, 2010). In addition, research indicates that universities in general do not value engaged scholarship as much as traditional scholarship (Checkoway, 2013; O'Meara, 2010), making contingent academics conducting engaged scholarship that much more vulnerable to being overlooked.

Our findings yield several implications for academics (e.g., pretenured academics; institutional policy. First, if institutions O'Meara, 2013). The differences between want to incorporate engaged scholarship, community-engaged scholarship and more

Because this study was embedded in a Finally, we found that to meet their institu- broader community-based research methtional responsibilities that combine teach- odology (Beh et al., 2013), the needs of the ing, research, and service into a communi- contingent academics did not go unadty-based research experience (Furco, 2010), dressed. We incorporated feedback from the contingent academics had to establish the contingent academics and made adthemselves in two contexts: their respec- justments throughout the year. These adtive professional development school site justments resulted in the second and third and the university. Despite the challenging policy suggestions. The second policy suggestion is for the institution to find a way to ics seemed more comfortable navigating the develop policies for structured opportunities and mentorship for professors-in-residence fessional development school setting and in to work with and learn from other scholars. need of more support at the university level. Such opportunities can include convening If universities want the idea of an engaged scheduled, structured meetings where acacampus to move beyond the tokenism noted demic staff can connect and learn from one by Fitzgerald et al. (2012), one of the ways another. It also could include one-on-one to begin is through institutionalizing sup- mentorship programs between newer and more established scholars. The third policy cies and structures (Buys & Bursnall, 2007; suggestion is to have the institution create policy to share some of the responsibility for the logistical supports that the contingent academics need to carry on their work. The way that these contingent academics experienced navigating numerous roles showed how engaged scholars can be overwhelmed by their university-side responsibilities. Universities could institutionalize a scholarship of engagement by establishing a centralized higher education administrative office or administrator(s) to provide logistical support for engaged academics (Sandmann, 2008).

Conclusion

Though this study documents the working experiences of contingent academics in the professor-in-residence position, their experiences also reflect much of the literature on other academics conducting engaged scholarship, especially those who are newer

traditional forms can make it difficult for task. Even though many academics may be could also be made available to pretenured Even if institutions employ contingent labor or newer engaged scholars.

academics (regardless of the type of con- interested in scholarship that both aligns tract) to navigate the institutional policies with their interests and benefits the broader and structures designed for traditional re- community, without proper support and insearch. Support for contingent academics centives, it may not be an attractive option. specifically to carry on the work of engaged scholarship, they must be aware of the As colleges and universities push to become complexities involved in such positions and engaged campuses and incorporate engaged be willing to reevaluate their structures to scholarship as part of their overall mis- ensure that the relationships that the instision, it is not enough to set the directive tution is building with community through and expect academics to accomplish the these scholars are healthy and sustainable.



Conflict of Interest Statement

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

About the Authors

Cecile H. Sam is an assistant professor in the Department of Educational Services and Leadership at Rowan University.

Brent C. Elder is an assistant professor in the Department of Interdisciplinary and Inclusive Education at Rowan University.

Stacey Leftwich is an associate professor in the Department of Language, Literacy and Sociocultural Education at Rowan University and currently serves as the executive director of the Office of Educator Support and Partnerships.

References

- American Association for University Professors (2018, October 11). Data snapshot: Contingent faculty in US higher ed. https://www.aaup.org/news/data-snapshot-contingent-faculty-us-higher-ed#.W8VOm2hKhPZ
- Austin, A. E. (2003). Creating a bridge to the future: Preparing new faculty to face changing expectations in a shifting context. The Review of Higher Education, 26(2), 119-144.
- Barker, D. (2004). The scholarship of engagement: A taxonomy of five emerging practices. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 9(2), 123-137. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/890
- Beh, A., Bruyere, B. L., & Lolosoli, S. (2013). Legitimizing local perspectives in conservation through community-based research: A photovoice study in Samburu, Kenya. Society & Natural Resources, 26(12), 1390–1406. https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.20 13.805858
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101.
- Bogdan, R., & Biklen, S. K. (2007). Qualitative research for education: An introduction to theories and methods (Vol. 5). Pearson.
- Boyer, E. L. (1990). Scholarship reconsidered: Priorities of the professoriate. Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.
- Buys, N., & Bursnall, S. (2007). Establishing university-community partnerships: Processes and benefits. Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management, 29(1), 73-86. https://doi.org/10.1080/13600800601175797
- Castle, S., Arends, R. I., & Rockwood, K. D. (2008). Student learning in a professional development school and a control school. *The Professional Educator*, 32(1), 1–15. https:// files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ802012.pdf
- Cavalli, A., & Moscati, R. (2010). Academic systems and professional conditions in five European countries. European Review, 18(S1), S35-S53. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S1062798709990305
- Checkoway, B. (2013). Strengthening the scholarship of engagement. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 17(4), 7-22. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/ jheoe/article/view/1066
- Coburn, C. E., & Penuel, W. R. (2016). Research-practice partnerships in education: Outcomes, dynamics, and open questions. Educational Researcher, 45(1), 48-54. https:// doi.org/10.3102/0013189X16631750
- Coburn, C. E., Penuel, W. R., & Geil, K. E. (2013). Research-practice partnerships: A strategy for leveraging research for educational improvement in school districts. William T. Grant Foundation.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2017). Teacher education around the world: What can we learn from international practice? European Journal of Teacher Education, 40(3), 291–309. https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2017.1315399
- Ernst, B., Hollinger, D. A., & Knight, J. (2005). Professors of practice. Academe, 91(1), 60.
- Fitzgerald, H. E., Bruns, K., Sonka, S., Furco, A., & Swanson, L. (2012). The centrality of engagement in higher education. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 16(3), 7-28. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/949
- Fontana, A. & Frey, J.H. (2000). The interview: From structured questions to negotiated text. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), Handbook of qualitative research (2nd ed., pp 645-672). Sage Publications.
- Furco, A. (2010). The engaged campus: Toward a comprehensive approach to public engagement. British Journal of Educational Studies, 58(4), 375-390. https://doi.org/10. 1080/00071005.2010.527656
- Gauntner, J., & Hansman, C. A. (2017). Boundary-spanner role conflict in public urban universities. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 21(1), 104–131. https:// openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/1319

- Gehrke, S., & Kezar, A. (2015). Unbundling the faculty role in higher education: Utilising historical, theoretical and empirical frameworks to inform future research. In M. B. Paulsen (Ed.), *Higher education: Handbook of theory and research* (Handbook of Theory and Research 30). Springer International. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12835-13
- Haviland, D., Allenman, N.F., & Allen, C.C. (2017). 'Separate but not quite equal': Collegiality experiences of full-time non-tenure-track faculty members. *Journal of Higher Education*, 88(4), 505–528. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2016.1272321
- Kajner, T., Fletcher, F., & Makokis, P. (2012). Balancing head and heart: The importance of relational accountability in community–university partnerships. *Innovative Higher Education*, 37(4), 257–270. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10755-011-9206-8
- Kezar, A. (2013). Non-tenure-track faculty's social construction of a supportive work environment. *Teachers College Record*, 115(12), ID 17242. http://www.tcrecord.org/Content.asp?ContentId=17242
- Kezar, A., & Maxey, D. (2015). Revealing opportunities and obstacles for changing non-tenure-track faculty practices: An examination of stakeholders' awareness of institutional contradictions. *Journal of Higher Education*, 86(4), 564–594. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2015.11777375
- Kezar, A., & Sam, C. (2010). *Non-tenure track faculty in higher education* (ASHE Higher Education Report Series). Jossey-Bass.
- Kezar, A., & Sam, C. (2013). Institutionalizing equitable policies and practices for contingent faculty. *Journal of Higher Education*, 84(1), 56–87. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2013.11777278
- Kimber, M. (2003). The tenured "core" and the tenuous "periphery": The casualisation of academic work in Australian universities. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 25(1), 41–50. https://doi.org/10.1080/13600800305738
- Kroll, H., Schricke, E., & Stahlecker, T. (2013). Scope and determinants of the "third role" of higher education institutions in Germany. *Higher Education Policy*, 26(2), 263–284.
- Laurence, D. (2013). A profile of the non-tenure-track academic workforce. *ADFL Bulletin*, 42(3), 6-22.
- Latham, N., & Wedwick, L. (2009). Teacher candidates' attitudes that influence preparation choice: Traditional versus professional development school options. *School–University Partnerships*, 3(1), 90–99.
- Levin, J. S., & Shaker, G. G. (2011). The hybrid and dualistic identity of full-time non-tenure-track faculty. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 55(11), 1461–1484. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764211409382
- Lieber, E., & Weisner, T. (2015). *Dedoose* (Version 6.1.18) [Computer software]. Dedoose. https://www.dedoose.com
- Loveday, V. (2018). Luck, chance, and happenstance? Perceptions of success and failure amongst fixed-term academic staff in UK higher education. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 69(3), 758-775. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.12307
- Matthews, P., & Wilder, S. (2018). "Cutting edge" or "ridiculously isolated"? Charting the identities, experiences, and perspectives of full-time, non-tenure-track faculty engaged in service-learning. International Journal of Research on Service-Learning and Community Engagement, 6(1), 1-14. https://ijrslce.scholasticahq.com/article/7002-cutting-edge-or-ridiculously-isolated-charting-the-identities-experiences-and-perspectives-of-full-time-non-tenure-track-faculty-engaged-in-service-learning
- McNall, M., Reed, C. S., Brown, R., & Allen, A. (2009). Brokering community-university engagement. *Innovative Higher Education*, 33(5), 317–331. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10755-008-9086-8
- Murphy, D., & McGrath, D. (2018). A success/failure paradox: Reflection on a university—community engagement in Australia. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 40(4), 321–341. https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2018.1482102

- National Association of Professional Development Schools. (2008). 9 essentials. https:// napds.org/nine-essentials/
- National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education. (2008). Professional standards for the accreditation of teacher preparation institutions. http://www.ncate.org/~/media/ Files/caep/accreditation-resources/ncate-standards-2008.pdf?la=en
- O'Meara, K. (2010). Rewarding multiple forms of scholarship: Promotion and tenure. Handbook of engaged scholarship: Contemporary landscapes, future directions: Vol. 1. *Institutional change* (pp. 271–294). Michigan State University Press.
- O'Meara, K., Lounder, A., & Hodges, A. (2013). University leaders' use of episodic power to support faculty community engagement. Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning, 19(2), 5–20. http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.0019.201
- O'Meara, K., & Niehaus, B. (2009). Service-learning is...: How faculty explain their practice. Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning, 16(1), 1–16.
- O'Meara, K., Sandmann, L. R., Saltmarsh, J., & Giles, D. E., Jr. (2011). Studying the professional lives and work of faculty involved in community engagement. Innovative Higher Education, 36(2), 83–96. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10755-010-9159-3
- Ott, M., & Cisneros, J. (2015). Understanding the changing faculty workforce in higher education: A comparison of full-time non-tenure track and tenure line experiences. Education Policy Analysis Archives, 23(90), 1-28. doi:10.14507/epaa.v23.1934
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). Qualitative education and research methods (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Puukka, J., & Marmolejo, F. (2008). Higher education institutions and regional mission: Lessons learnt from the OECD review project. Higher Education Policy, 21(2), 217-244. https://doi.org/10.1057/hep.2008.7
- Sandmann, L. R. (2008). Conceptualization of the scholarship of engagement in higher education: A strategic review, 1996-2006. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 12(1), 91-104. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/520
- Sandmann, L. R., Saltmarsh, J., & O'Meara, K. (2008). An integrated model for advancing the scholarship of engagement: Creating academic homes for the engaged scholar. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 12(1), 47-64. https://openjournals. libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/524/524
- Schwartz, W. (2000). The impact of professional development schools on the education of urban students. Eric Clearinghouse on Urban Education. https://www.ericdigests.org/2001-2/ urban.html
- Snow, D. R., Flynn, S., Whisenand, K., & Mohr, E. (2016). Evidence-sensitive synthesis of professional development school outcomes. School-University Partnerships, 9(3), 11-33. https://signalisation2000.com/napds/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/93-snow.pdf
- Stanton, C. R. (2014). Crossing methodological borders: Decolonising communitybased participatory research. Qualitative Inquiry, 20(5), 573-583. https://doi. org/10.1177/1077800413505541
- Stanton, T. (2008). New times demand new scholarship: Opportunities and challenges for civic engagement at research universities. Education, Citizenship, and Social Justice, 3(1), 19-42. https://doi.org/10.1177/1746197907086716
- Waltman, J., Bergom, I., Hollenshead, C., Miller, J., & August, L. (2012). Factors contributing to job satisfaction and dissatisfaction among non-tenure-track faculty. The Journal of Higher Education, 83(3), 411–434. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.20 12.11777250
- Ward, K. (2003). Faculty service roles and the scholarship of engagement (ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report Vol. 29, No. 5). Jossey-Bass.
- Willets, K. R. (2017). Square peg round hole. In M. Massé & N. Bauer-Maglin (Eds.), Staging women's lives in academia (pp 111–121). SUNY Press.
- Zenkov, K., Shiveley, J., & Clark, E. (2016). Why we must answer the question, "What is a professional development school?" School–University Partnerships, 9(3), 1–10.