## Coughlin, S. S., Smith, S. A., & Fernández, M. E. (Eds.). (2017). Handbook of community-based participatory research. Oxford University Press. 304 pp.

Review by Rosemary Adaji

ground in health promotion ef- to the CBPR process. forts, particularly those focused on addressing inequities in dis-Stephen Coughlin, Selina Smith, and Maria decision making highlighted in Chapter 1. Fernández, who have done a laudable job of organizing contributions from several leading researchers into 17 chapters, each expressing the multidimensional nature of collaborations that implement CBPR.

CBPR framework in public health settings, addressing issues of health disparities and processes and challenges involved in utilizing CBPR. In Chapter 1, the authors describe CBPR as research driven by equitable partnerships of all parties involved (i.e., academic researchers, organizational representatives, and relevant community members; p. 1). CBPR incorporates strengths and insights from all partners to frame the health problem being investigated and develop sustainable solutions or interventions. This chapter emphasizes the principle of shared decision making between researchers and community members as a major strength of CBPR in addressing health disparities. The authors also note that a shared decision-

ommunity-based participatory search process. Overall this chapter provides research (CBPR) has gained a concise, easy-to-understand introduction

Chapter 2 discusses various methodologiadvantaged populations. However, many cal considerations for CBPR and evaluaresearchers in the field have yet to fully tion studies specifically addressing issues explore the strengths and insights that related to measurement, bias, and validity. CBPR offers for framing and optimizing Study designs highlighted in this chapter health solutions. I found the Handbook of include focus groups, interventions, quasi-Community-Based Participatory Research experimental designs, and frameworks for to be a useful resource for guiding such dissemination and implementation research explorations. It provides thoughtful dis- (e.g., RE-AIM framework). The authors also cussion of an extensive range of contexts make a clear distinction between communiwith evidence-based examples of how ty-placed research—in which members are health researchers can shift their lenses not equitable partners—and CBPR, which from an outsider's view to more collab- occurs across a continuum of communityorative approaches to inquiry that promise engaged research performed by true colto increase the value of research products laborative partnerships, further reinforcing for all partners. The book is edited by the importance of the principle of shared

Chapter 3 provides a nice follow-up to the methodology discussions highlighted in the second chapter. In this chapter the authors note that CBPR is a process of using specific research methods and methodology in com-The book focuses on the application of the munity research. These methods involve activities like approaching communities, participating in community activities, and inequities. The first three chapters provide building community trust. As the authors a general overview of the concept and the note, such procedures are often not considered adequately rigorous when assessed against standards of traditional forms of scientific research. As a result, individuals engaged in such work often face challenges that the authors discuss in three domains: challenges in the ethical review process, challenges for promotion and tenure, and challenges in implementing specific phases of a CBPR project. The authors conclude with recommendations that encourage more publications detailing the context and processes of CBPR implementation to advance collective understanding of how best to evaluate CBPR methods.

making process is an important first step. For the remainder of the book, contributions that researchers must take in order to es- focus on the application of CBPR in diverse tablish and sustain trust throughout the re- settings to address public health concerns,

of CBPR. With the exception of Chapter 5, other chapters were explicit in providing information and examples of how CBPR chapters an easy read. has been used to reduce health disparities and promote health. In Chapter 6, the authors discuss the importance of working with faith-based organizations (FBOs) in order to build community members' readiness and capacity for CBPR collaborations. This is important because values and belief systems play an important role in shaping individuals' lifestyles, which in turn affects their health. The authors note that FBOs are useful in enhancing sustainable evidencebased health interventions.

It was particularly interesting to see discussions about ethnic, minority, and immigrant groups in Chapters 7 and 8. Traditional approaches to research tend to ignore the insights that diverse groups bring from their distinctive cultures. As a result, there is a gap in the understanding of social determinant factors affecting health in these groups. The two chapters provide case studies of the application of CBPR methodologies with Asian American and Native American groups, and with Latino immigrant populations. Considering issues of representativeness and generalizability in research, espereal-world impact, as well as guidelines summarizes key points from each chapresearchers can gain insights when congroups of participants.

The authors of Chapters 9 through 15 contribute useful discussions about utilizing CBPR approaches to address the prevention of specific diseases or health concerns: cardiovascular diseases and diabetes mellitus,

beginning with Chapter 4, which focuses and cervical cancer screening, environon the significance of place, location, and mental exposures, HIV, and social expodistance and their roles in contextualizing sures like interpersonal violence. These health problems. I did not find this chapter chapters typically open by giving context an easy read because it contained unfa- to the burden of the disease and risk facmiliar technical terms, which may pose a tors, highlighting existing preventive or challenge for others, including individuals intervention measures, then providing exwho are new to the field. Ethical issues are planations of how CBPR methodology can the focus of Chapter 5. In terms of the flow be incorporated to address the problems, of the volume, it might have been more supported by evidence-based case studies. appropriate to include this chapter before In addition, each case study gives a detailed Chapter 4. Addressing ethical consider- description of the process of engaging the ations of CBPR immediately after Chapter diverse partners/stakeholders who are in-3's discussion of methodological chal- volved, as well as challenges encountered lenges would have better rounded out the and how they were overcome. The strengths volume's introduction and general overview and results of equitable partnerships and shared decision-making echo throughout. Overall, the flow of content made these

> One major improvement in the advancement of health care research is the introduction of the translational approach—the concept of improving the understanding and application of research findings from clinical science to practice-based research in order to improve public health. In Chapter 16, the authors note that the lack of positive relationships between academic community centers and potential community partners poses a barrier to the effective translation of research to practice. However, they highlight a program, the Clinical and Translational Science Awards program, a U.S. National Institutes of Health initiative that supports activities that engage communities in health studies and clinical research. They suggest that researchers may find it worthwhile to investigate the benefits of this program and how it can support their work, noting that it promises "paradigm-shifting community-engaged, translational research aimed at improving health and alleviating suffering in diverse communities" (p. 251).

Finally, in the concluding 17th chapter, cially in the United States, these examples Steven Coughlin highlights important provide evidence-based practices that have trends evident throughout the book. He for considerations from which traditional ter, reiterating the overall strengths and insights described in Chapter 1 that CBPR ducting research that incorporates diverse offers in promoting sustainable evidencebased approaches to health promotion. In addition, he identifies gray areas and possible future directions for CBPR. This was a good way to end the book, inciting the reader's curiosity to want to further explore its potential.

infant mortality, colorectal cancer, breast With prevailing conversations about health

phasis is placed on equitable collaborations ment. and the benefits of academic researchers' shifting their views from individuals as There are a couple ways in which the bottom-up approach where the participation of community members is embedded in each research component, from identifying the health concern through the dissemination of research findings. This is just one of many examples of successful evidencebased CBPR referenced in the book, and the concise visualization of the distinction between top-down and bottom-up approaches offered in this chapter (p. 175) makes it a worthy mention.

As a nascent scholar in the field of epitopics and several issues that they highlight case studies. as important to note in the work of CBPR. The editors deserve credit for having crafted Overall, this book is a worthwhile read, and done in transdisciplinary settings. It was systems thinking.

promotion and disease prevention research also helpful to see examples of challenges requiring transdisciplinary collaborations, and opportunities that may be faced by CBPR approaches introduce a new paradigm researchers engaged in CBPR, and those that adds value to the conversation. This who may be considering a career that intheme was evident throughout the book. It corporates this framework specifically, with is particularly useful to see how much em- regard to promotion and career advance-

research subjects to partners who can im- Handbook of Community-Based Participatory prove the efficacy of the research products Research might have been improved. From and processes through democratization of the title of the book, for example, it is not knowledge production. Chapter 11 outlines evident that the content caters exclusively an example of how the efficacy of an in- to the public health audience. Considering tervention for reducing racial disparities in that it is a handbook and that CBPR applies colorectal cancer screening was enhanced in other fields, it might have been useful using the CBPR framework. The example for the editors to explicitly state this focus nicely showcases the advantage of using the in the title so that it would more effectively attract scholars and practitioners of public health. Second, the case studies may have emphasized racial health disparities at a cost of better attending to other dimensions of disparities like socioeconomic status and location (although Chapter 4 offers some insights on disparities in geographical contexts). Health disparities and inequities transcend racial and ethnic diversities. For example, if one assesses disaggregated data, one will find that disparities can occur at various structural levels in any population. demiology, with a keen interest in CBPR Therefore, defining disparities and disadapproaches, I have a sincere appreciation vantaged populations primarily in terms of for this book. I recommend this book as an race/ethnicity somewhat limits the story of introductory read for any practitioner who the strength of CBPR. Even so, this critique is interested in population health research. does not detract from the book's solid con-Even though the book comprises contribu- ceptualization of how the CBPR framework tions from several authors, they seem to can be translated depending on the areas of be in unison in the way they present their interest and the lessons learned from the

such an even volume. More importantly, the in addition to being a particularly useful authors come from varying backgrounds. resource for public health researchers and Therefore, the call for a paradigm shift in community members challenged by health thinking about health disparities research disparities, it should also be incorporated is not a reflection of a bias expressed from in academia as either a required or supplea specific standpoint, but rather represents mental reading for programs that teach views grounded in evidence from work health promotion, disease prevention, and



## About the Reviewer

Rosemary Adaji is a doctoral candidate of epidemiology and biostatistics at Michigan State University and currently works as a graduate research assistant with the BEACON Center for the Study of Evolution in Action. Her research interest focuses on identifying social determinants of breast cancer risk and survival in West African women. She received her M.S. in Epidemiology from Michigan State University.