# **Resourcing Community Partnerships Through Academic Libraries**

Benjamin A. Wiggins, Kate Derickson, and Glenda Simmons Jenkins

## Abstract

Institutional missions of colleges and universities are increasingly focused on community partnerships: embracing a commitment to conducting research with, rather than simply about, communities. As researchers who have partnered with communities know well, these relationships depend upon both material and informational resources that are not always easy to marshal. In this article, we draw on our recent experience in a "research sprint" to argue that academic libraries and librarians are demonstrably primed to lead universities toward a fuller inclusion of community partners in academic research. We find that academic libraries are uniquely well suited to become a productive force for researcher-community partnership given their expertise in teaching research inquiry skills, facilitating collaborative work throughout the research process, providing space and other material resources for research, and curating the too-often-hidden intellectual resource of research support staff.

Keywords: community partnership, academic libraries, librarians, academic research

agencies that fund government-subsidized ment of wild rice. All projects were comresearch. To communicate their value to mitted to conducting research with, rather legislatures, public colleges and universities have historically highlighted their these partnerships included the priorities of contributions to workforce development communities that have not historically been or partnerships with government agencies represented in university-based research and corporations. But if our institution—the processes. University of Minnesota—is indicative of broader trends, higher education is increasingly finding value in and providing material support for community partnerships.

In its latest round of funding aimed at ad- the challenges that precarious and adjunct vancing the research goals of the campus faculty face doing this work; Wallerstein & strategic plan, Minnesota pledged three Duran, 2010). As federal research dollars million dollars for projects under the aegis dwindle, competition for these funds intenof the Grand Challenges Research Initiative. sifies. Faculty are encouraged to "do more This initiative seeks to address critical so- with less," a formulation that strains even cietal challenges, or "grand challenges," the most traditional research. Community-

nstitutions of higher education are with integrative research that includes a under enormous pressure to demon- substantial community-engagement distrate their relevance as politicians mension. These projects ranged in topic look to limit public funding for col- from addressing disparities in criminal leges and universities and roll back justice systems to the sustainable managethan solely about, communities. Moreover,

> Doing research with communities raises significant challenges for tenured and tenure-track faculty members balancing increasing workloads (to say nothing of

resources that may not be easy to come by academic librarians passively as collectors or through mainstream funding sources (Israel curators of the products of engaged scholaret al., 2001).

Effective collaborations require careful attention and time from faculty to develop shared understanding of urgent problems and to develop research that is both relevant to the community and feasible for the faculty member. Rebuilding trust with communities, understandably skeptical of university-based researchers who have studied and pathologized them, requires a welcoming and accessible space for collaboration. Yet lack of access to the internet, printing, and other seemingly mundane issues can make it difficult to participate in campusbased collaborations. More substantively, for community members—whose needs and interests might go beyond what individual faculty can provide—the expertise and knowledge housed in the university can be opaque.

In a time when universities must show increasing relevance to broader publics, how and lead universities toward a fuller inclucan institutions of higher education foster sion of community partners in academic and support new research-driven collabora- research. tions with communities that have not had access to shaping university priorities? Our experience suggests that an organization well positioned for connecting and sustaining community research partnerships already exists within every academic institution: the library.

Libraries act as the foundational link between the public and academic research (American Library Association, 2015). Traditionally that relationship has simply been centered on access—libraries offering the public access to academic research, government documents, and a range of the University of Kansas Libraries, research other information (Harris & Weller, 2012). That access mission, however, was only necessarily fundamental to public libraries (Taylor et al., 2019). Academic libraries, laborative research (McBurney et al., 2020; on the other hand, have had both explicit Wiggins et al., 2019). Benjamin Wiggins barriers (e.g., affiliation requirements for (Author 1) coorganized the research sprint database searching) and implicit barriers of Derickson and Simmons Jenkins, which (e.g., guarded entrances in buildings set set out to kick off a broad project called the deep inside sprawling campuses). Moreover, CREATE Initiative. This initiative supports sociologist Nicholas Rowland and librarian applied research with urban communities Jeffery Knapp (Rowland & Knapp, 2015) have traditionally excluded from an active role drawn attention to the dispiriting fact that in the academic research process and is fothe field of engaged scholarship has rarely cused on the intersection of environmental considered the role academic librarians have and social justice. Through our partnerships or could play in partnering with faculty to with community-based organizations, our meaningfully engage communities. In that work flips the traditional academic model by research, Rowland and Knapp argue that the centering the research priorities of groups

engaged research requires different kinds of engaged scholarship field tends to envision ship (e.g., books and journal articles) rather than as peer colleagues who are scholars in their own right and who are as engaged with their communities as they are with their own research and research support. However, even as academic librarians have long been practicing community engagement, they have not necessarily articulated those pursuits in their own scholarship. But as librarian Pamela Louderback has argued, colleges and universities have increasingly begun to affirm their commitment to community partnership in their mission statements, and "if academic libraries are to help their parent institutions fulfill this mission, our profession must evolve and make adjustments in how we operate" (Louderback, 2013, p. 20). In this reflective essay, we—a faculty member, an academic librarian, and a community partner—reflect on our recent partnership to argue that academic libraries are demonstrably primed to make that shift

#### The Sprint

In January 2019, the authors experimented with what a community member-faculty member-librarian partnership would produce. Over the course of 3 days, the University of Minnesota Libraries hosted a "research sprint" for six of the aforementioned Grand Challenges Research Initiative teams, including the team led by Kate Derickson (Author 2) and Glenda Simmons Jenkins (Author 3). Developed by sprints are events in which a research team works directly with a team of librarians in a group space for 3 days of intensive, colthat have not traditionally shaped academic vations into a format that would be of value agendas or benefited from the expertise of to community members and contribute to university researchers.

Although the research sprint we describe here acted as a sort of inauguration of the funded CREATE project, this faculty-community collaboration took years to develop. Derickson has worked with the Gullah/ Geechee community—the descendants of Africans who were enslaved along the east Organized by Wiggins and two other librarcoast of the United States—for the last 8 ian colleagues, the research sprint paired years. Since 2012, Simmons Jenkins has Derickson, Simmons Jenkins, and their served as a member of the Gullah/Geechee collaborators (five undergraduates, an-Sustainability Think Tank, itself an inno- other Gullah/Geechee community member, vative approach to community-researcher and a professor of public policy) with four partnerships designed to mobilize academic librarians who possessed relevant subject research to support the sustainability priorities of the Gullah/Geechee people.

After emancipation, many Gullah/Geechees bought and farmed land on the Sea Islands off the Southeastern mainland United States and maintained a blend of their unique language and culture. In 2006, the U.S. Congress passed legislation designating the Gullah/Geechee National Heritage The research sprint provided an invalu-Corridor from Jacksonville, North Carolina, able opportunity for sustained exploratory to Jacksonville, Florida, to recognize and work. The presence of community partners preserve the degree to which Gullah culture improved aspects of the project's data orgawas an important part of the coast. In this nization, management, and analysis, sparkfast-growing region of the country, envi- ing innovation in processes and approaches ronmental degradation and change repre- as well as further cementing the project's sent threats to Gullah/Geechee livelihoods, orientation toward collaborative research. health, and well-being. The Gullah/Geechee For example, in interview data referred to Sustainability Think Tank was founded by during the sprint, residents often referred Queen Quet, the chieftess and head of state to numerous people and places by colloquial of the Gullah/Geechee Nation, as a way to names that were unfamiliar to researchcoordinate academic research that would ers. Having a community member present support Gullah/Geechees in their efforts during the data analysis proved invaluable to promote cultural and environmental in addressing this issue, and allowed for sustainability. Recently, Simmons Jenkins, a method of data generation and analysis Derickson, and undergraduate students that would not have otherwise been posfrom the University of Minnesota have sible. After the interviews were transcribed, begun to collaborate on a project to discern Simmons Jenkins and her fellow community how infrastructure planning and devel- member, who were more familiar with the opment is impacting Gullah/Geechees in local place names, used the county website North Florida. Through that collaboration, to annotate the interviews with parcel ID Derickson and Simmons Jenkins identified numbers. This process substantially enstormwater retention ponds as a form of hanced the value and accuracy of the data infrastructure development that appeared to collected during the field visit. be increasing land takings through eminent domain and creating potential problems for Gullah/Geechees in adjacent communities.

Derickson and her students traveled to the straightforward, but the librarians on the Gullah/Geechee Nation in 2018 to conduct team worked to address these challenges. interviews, engage with residents, and see Drawing on their experience serving unafthe changing landscape for themselves. filiated patrons from the university's neigh-Upon returning to campus, much work re- boring communities, librarians were able to mained to translate the research and obser- anticipate and address issues the visiting

scholarly research. The Grand Challenges Research Initiative provided funding to advance this work (and more) and to formalize it as a core activity of the CREATE project. As part of that funding package, the University of Minnesota Libraries extended its research sprint opportunity.

expertise to work together on foundational aspects of the CREATE project. Although previous iterations of the Libraries' research sprints did not include community partners, in keeping with Derickson's commitment to the coproduction of knowledge, Simmons Jenkins and another community member were invited to join the sprint.

Integrating community members who lack significant firsthand experience with scholarly research into such a process is not research sprint might face. Weeks before the of subject communities. sprint, librarians reached out to the campus Research Computing group to arrange for touchscreen monitors and computers loaded with ESRI's ArcGIS software to facilitate a Libraries are the hub of research activities Gullah/Geechee community. Additionally, communities, these partnerships will natumundane technological needs such as wifi experience in the research sprint, we identiinterest to community members, including a center for community partnership in reof faculty expertise, librarians connected their facility with and knowledge of colstormwater management and stormwater for research, and (4) their extensive, crossretention ponds.

format of the sprint enhanced and cemented the fields of library science and community the ethos of collaborative research through engagement, we explore these four sites in the facilitation of community participation which academic libraries can strengthen in the research process. Although the research sprint was a project of exceptional duration and intensity, the support that librarians provide to research teams in these ies is to advance inquiry skills at all levels of sprints—building research inquiry skills, research from training first-year students selecting effective models for research col- on the principles of information literacy laborations, offering access to space and to supporting the most complex reference research equipment, and connecting researchers and research support staff across of College & Research Libraries, 2015). Such the university—is no different from the sort research inquiry skills were until recently of support they provide every day. For community participants, these direct interactions with librarians, faculty, and students of information via the internet, "the boundallowed each to become a resource for the ary between university [researchers] and other, leading to a mutually beneficial information exchange that also became an incubator for generating ideas. Completing this collaborative exercise in real time and in person, as opposed to across email or teaching information literacy and research social media, eliminated the delay that can come with distance.

able reorientation of the research process require the ability to critically and effifor all three parties involved. With com- ciently research and evaluate information munity members present, contributing to (Thull, 2008). Now more than ever, both and driving the research process, there was university affiliates and community mema constant reminder for researchers and li- bers need access to up-to-date information braries that the data does not exist exclusive literacy training in order to navigate knowlof the people it has affected. It illustrated edge systems and claims of expertise. Since how valuable community-based knowledge academic librarians have long supported is to the scholarly research process and to training all levels of researchers, libraries the community collaborators themselves, an can help community partners of academic

community members participating in the opportunity not often afforded to members

#### Why Libraries

process of collaboratively annotating street- on college and university campuses, so if level views of water infrastructure in the researchers are increasingly partnering with the librarians preemptively addressed more rally intersect with libraries. Through our access, guest logins, and shared file storage. fied four features of academic libraries that They were also able to curate resources of make them uniquely well suited to become access to experts on campus. In this case, search: (1) their skill in teaching research utilizing their campus-spanning knowledge inquiry and information literacy skills, (2) the community members with a professor laborative work throughout the research of bioproducts and biosystems engineering process, (3) their access to the university's who possessed considerable expertise in physical space and other material resources disciplinary knowledge of the university's research environment and research-support Perhaps equally valuable was the way the networks. Drawing on the literature from community-faculty partnerships.

One of the core missions of academic librarquestions from senior faculty (Association part of the specialized training of postsecondary education, but with the abundance the general public is being blurred" (Hang Tat Leong, 2013, p. 220). And, as James Thull argues, some academic libraries such as those at tribal colleges have long been inquiry skills to a diverse set of patrons, making little distinction between unaffiliated community members, students, and This research sprint also provided a valu- faculty—a recognition that all populations sensitive to multifarious methods of inquiry they help craft. across their broad user base. Some academic libraries (such as ours at Minnesota) actutake into account the needs and practices of community members in the design of the libraries' physical and virtual environments.

Any meaningful partnership with commu- academics require "joint resourcing" from nity members transforms academic research each partner and should even afford one from an individual or small-group effort partner the ability to draw on the material into a collaborative one. Collaboration has resources of the other equitably but directly, long been a concern of engaged scholarship meaning that both "surrende[r] a degree of literature, with many in the field theoriz- resource control" (Tett, 2005, p. 4). Among ing, modeling, and testing collaborative the administrative structures within colconfigurations and processes in order to leges and universities, libraries act largely refine and make more equitable dynamics as a commons through which patrons can between academic researchers and commu- directly draw upon the resources of space, nity partners (Fletcher et al., 2016; Messer technology, and expertise. Libraries' physi-& Kecskes, 2008; Williamson et al., 2016). cal environments provide researchers with Here too, libraries are poised to contribute. open or freely reservable space to work. As librarians Janice Jaguszewski and Karen Increasingly, that space is now no longer Williams have noted, the role of academic individual and quiet, but rather is collablibrarians is transforming, so that "estab- orative and encourages active conversation. lishing collaborative partnerships within These spaces are often rich in technology and across institutions" is now a critical and commonly provide public access to function of the job (Jaguszewski & Williams, computers with projectors or large moni-2013, p. 4). With collaboration comes com- tors, as well as advanced hardware like virplexity, and librarianship is adapting with tual reality systems and software licenses a focus on project management and team for needs as diverse as graphic design or dynamics. "Increasingly, librarians are em- statistical analysis. Moreover, users of aca-

researchers weigh the reliability of open bracing project management to guide their information such as that available on the work," write Theresa Burress and Chelcie public internet as well as act as the initial Juliet Rowell, and "project management access point for community partners' intro- skills are now essential for professional duction into the limited- or closed-access librarians" (Burress & Rowell, 2017, p. research ecosystem of academic journals, 301). Having embraced team-based strucscholarly monographs, and physical ar- tures and researched their effectiveness chives. In this latter space, librarians can for decades now, librarians are equipped provide community members with specific to offer guidance on how to coordinate methods to access existing research about complex projects of large, interdisciplinor with relevance to their community or ary, and/or community-partnered research project. And the broad information literacy teams (Association of Research Libraries, curriculum that libraries already teach can 1998; Baughman, 2008; Katopol, 2013). offer community members tools to critically Furthermore, because they often hold facevaluate esoteric scholarship or opaque re- ulty status themselves, academic librarians cords. But training community members to understand the pressure of the tenure-andnavigate and evaluate research material is promotion clock as well as the unpredictonly a small portion of any research part- able pace of research. Given this similarity, nership. In fact, researchers should not try they are well positioned to introduce helpful to mold community partners into academics structure into research projects without unthemselves, but rather should respect the necessarily bureaucratic steps or an inflexways of knowing that community members ible approach. That is important not only to bring to the research process. Since librar- academic researchers, but also to commuies must serve a population as diverse as nity partners whose partnership is usually the students, staff, and faculty of an entire uncompensated and often strained by the academic institution, they already cannot competing responsibilities of their other espouse a uniform "right way" to research. work and homelife, considerations that li-They instead take a patron-focused ap- brarians can help to build into any project proach and work to offer access that is management or team dynamics structures

Partnerships with community members ally provide access to the public and already cannot thrive on goodwill alone. They require material resources in order to function (MacKinnon & Derickson, 2012). As community education scholar Lyn Tett suggests, collaborations between communities and able to draw upon the expertise of service- With their high degree of connectivity and a oriented library staff in order to learn mission that centers on making information how to best utilize such technologies and "discoverable," academic librarians have spaces. However, the material resources found themselves with an unrivaled underthat libraries can provide—space, tech- standing of their institutions while fulfilling nology, and proximity to assistance from the role of curator of the resources—both knowledgeable librarians—are seldom material and human—within them. This freely available to community members. ability of librarians is critical to fostering Most of these resources are open only to community member-researcher partnercommunity members already in partner- ships since research support services are ship with an academic researcher, and not the exclusive domain of libraries but are this usually requires the institutionally af- instead scattered throughout the institution filiated partner to mediate access to these and since faculty often lack exposure to resources. Although academic libraries are (and the time to learn about) the full range well positioned to help jointly resource of resources at their college or university. community partnerships with tangible For partnerships with community members assets, in order to unlock the potential of to flourish, faculty need to marshal the exlibraries' resources, parent institutions tensive but often hidden research support need to work to make campuses more accessible. For some communities, campuses serve their needs. Just as academic projare physical signifiers of histories of oppression. At large research institutions, the dependent on technologists, administraneoclassical architecture of campus malls tive staff, grant writers, compliance offimay act as reminders of the days when an cials, and others who form the personnel institution performed risky experiments on infrastructure of research activities on a subjects drawn from communities of color, campus, so too (and perhaps even more so) and the latest and greatest buildings on the are projects that cocreate their work with campus periphery may be viewed as yet another wave of a university's gentrification of nearby neighborhoods. Smaller colleges may present different but even more challenging barriers, such as a lack of public transit to a bucolic but rural campus. And for a person community members alike. of color like African American congressperson John Lewis—who was denied a library Given that academic libraries' central posicard as a child at his hometown library in tion and commitment to equitable support Troy, Alabama—libraries can even bring of all research endeavors position them well back memories of Jim Crow segregation to welcome and advance the research needs in which the "access" mission of libraries of community partners, academic librarians meant access for Whites only (Lewis, 1998). and faculty should begin such endeavors To overcome these barriers, universities and colleges need to prioritize physical Based on our experience and other academic and virtual library access in their broader collaborations, Simmons Jenkins suggests community engagement plans and find a set of best practices and considerations ways to introduce libraries as a welcoming that faculty, librarians, students, and other front door of the institution for community research staff can use as a framework before members.

Although making the physical structure of campuses more welcoming will take generations, libraries are already breaking down the invisible but pernicious bureaucracy that silos the intellectual resources of campus. Libraries are curatorial by nature. They collect, organize, distribute, and display information of all sorts. They are also central. And through the liaison system that underlies the structure of their organization, they maintain direct lines of access to

demic library resources are almost always each academic department at an institution. staff of institutions of higher learning to ects without community engagement are communities. With their extensive connectivity across the institution, librarians are poised to open up access to any given higher education institution's network of research support expertise for both researchers and

> with the needs of the community in mind. undertaking collaborative research and revisit as a project unfolds:

 Have a cultural sensitivity to the community they are partnering with, asking about and understanding what values and traditions are important or sacred and what rituals or cultural practices they may be asked to observe or participate in. In other words, learn how to show community partners respect and deference.

- Connect with community partners who have the ethics, integrity, aptitude, and skill to represent their communities and to contribute to academic processes. This requires community partners who do not simply align with the perspective of the researcher and who also understand the extent of the commitment being asked of them and their communities.
- Work with community partners to develop expectations about what sort of content will result from their collaboration and how any product that results from the partnership will be designed, edited, distributed, and owned. In this process, both an initial consensus on and regular reconsenting of this agreement are critical.
- Initially and regularly discuss and agree to the collaboration's deliverables and deadlines as well as how these parts of the whole work toward tangible and intangible, mutually beneficial outcomes.
- Understand to what extent the community partner is and is not equipped to act as a liaison with their community—identifying the topics, people, institutions, and other aspects of the community they are comfortable and qualified to engage with or not.
- Recognize the direct costs and opportunity costs of community partner participation and strive to directly cover expenses (rather than reimburse) and fairly compensate effort where possible. Academic research partners should not overlook the incidental expenses related to travel in particular, since some community partners may not have finances for even the smallest expenses incurred while traveling in aid of research.

• Remember that each partner in research claims some "ownership" or investment in its outcomes and should have equal input in how the process proceeds and how research products are delivered.

## The Marathon

As our example is meant to illustrate, community-based participation in an explicitly collaborative research process can facilitate improved trust between communities and university-based researchers. Such partnerships can bring about innovations in research questions, methods, and approaches to analysis. They can even open up the resources of universities to broader publics in accordance with their stated missions.

Substantial barriers to developing and sustaining these relationships remain. Libraries, however, are well positioned to address both the development and sustainability of partnerships with communities. Although "research sprints" represent a novel, compressed approach to providing support for faculty-community partner teams, the activities of the sprints are unexceptional—that is, they represent the scholarly support academic libraries provide regularly. Libraries can act as centers for community partners that go beyond their relationship with individual researchers. They can teach research inquiry skills while respecting and learning from the inquiry practices of communities. They can facilitate collaboration by introducing and integrating community members into the research process and by sustaining that support throughout the project. They can provide other material resources for research. And, perhaps most significantly, libraries can provide imperative connections to the expertise networks of colleges and universities' research-support personnel, marshalling these intellectual resources for both researchers and community partners.

# About the Authors

**Benjamin A. Wiggins** is the director of the Digital Arts, Sciences, & Humanities Program in University Libraries at the University of Minnesota, where he also serves as an affiliate assistant professor of history. His research focuses on the history of insurance, race in the United States, and historical research methodology. He received his PhD in American studies from the University of Minnesota.

**Kate Derickson** directs the Praxis Lab and is the codirector of the CREATE Initiative. She is an associate professor in Geography, Environment and Society at the University of Minnesota. Her scholarly work is aimed at understanding how to ethically and effectively collaborate with communities that have not historically shaped the practices of research universities. She received her PhD in geography and women's studies from the Pennsylvania State University.

**Glenda Simmons Jenkins** represents Florida on the Assembly of Representatives for the Gullah/ Geechee Nation and is a member of the Gullah/Geechee Sustainability Think Tank. In 2019 the CREATE Initiative named her a fellow and community-based practitioner for the initiative's Public Policy Think Tank. She holds a bachelor of science degree in journalism from the University of Florida in Gainesville.

#### References

- American Library Association. (2015). Access to library resources and services. http://www. ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/access
- Association of College & Research Libraries. (2015). Framework for information literacy for higher education. American Library Association.
- Association of Research Libraries. (1998). *The use of teams in ARL libraries* (Systems and Procedures Exchange Center Flyer No. 232). https://www.arl.org/resources/spec-kit-232-use-of-teams-in-arl-libraries-july-1998/
- Baughman, M. S. (2008). Assessment of teams and teamwork in the University of Maryland Libraries. *portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 8(3), 293–312.
- Burress, T., & Rowell, C. J. (2017). Project management for digital projects with collaborators beyond the library. College & Undergraduate Libraries, 24(2–4), 300–321. https:// doi.org/10.1080/10691316.2017.1336954
- Fletcher, M., Young, S., & Dimitratos, P. (2016). Making research more policy relevant: A longitudinal case study of engaged scholarship. In H. Tüselmann, S. Buzdugan, Q. Cao, D. Freund, & S. Golesorkhi (Eds.), *Impact of international business* (pp. 201–219). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hang Tat Leong, J. (2013). Community engagement—Building bridges between university and community by academic libraries in the 21st century. *Libri*, 63(3), 220–231. https://doi.org/10.1515/libri-2013-0017
- Harris, V., & Weller, A. (2012). Use of special collections as an opportunity for outreach in the academic library. *Journal of Library Administration*, 52(3–4), 294–303. https:// doi.org/10.1080/01930826.2012.684508
- Israel, B., Schulz, A., Parker, E., & Becker, A. (2001). Community-based participatory research: Policy recommendations for promoting a partnership approach in health research. *Education for Health*, 14(2), 182–197.
- Jaguszewski, J. M., & Williams, K. (2013). New roles for new times: Transforming liaison roles in research libraries. Association of Research Libraries.
- Katopol, P. (2013). The librarian designer: Working with science teams. *Library Leadership* & Management, 28(1), 1–8.
- Lewis, J., with D'Orso, M. (1998). Walking with the wind: A memoir of the movement. Simon & Schuster.
- Louderback, P. (2013). Turn your focus outward. Information Outlook, 17(4), 20-22.
- MacKinnon, D., & Derickson, K. (2012). From resilience to resourcefulness: A critique of resilience policy and activism. Annals of the Association of American Geographers, 37(2), 253–270. https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132512454775
- McBurney, J., Hunt, S. L., Gyendina, M., Brown, S. J., Wiggins, B., & Nackerud, S. (2020). Library research sprints as a tool to engage faculty and promote collaboration. *portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 20(2), 305–338.
- Messer, B. W., & Kecskes, K. (2008). An anatomy of a community–university partnership: The structure of community collaboration. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 12(3), 191–204. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/505
- Rowland, N. J., & Knapp, J. A. (2015). Engaged scholarship and embedded librarianship. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 19(2), 15–34. https://openjournals. libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/1200
- Taylor, M., Pratt, M., & Fabes, R. (2019). Public libraries as a context for the study of learning and development. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 23(2), 51–62. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/1450
- Tett, L. (2005). Partnerships, community groups and social inclusion. *Studies in Continuing Education*, 27(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/01580370500056364
- Thull, J. (2008). No library is an island. Tribal College: Journal of American Indian Higher Education, 20(1), 28–29.

- Wallerstein, N., & Duran, B. (2010). Community-based participatory research contributions to intervention research: The intersection of science and practice to improve health equity. American Journal of Public Health, 100(S1), S40–S46. https://doi. org/10.2105/ajph.2009.184036
- Wiggins, B., Hunt, S. L., McBurney, J., Younger, K., Peper, M., Brown, S., Albin, T., & Orozco, R. (2019). Research sprints: A new model of support. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 45(4), 420–422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2019.01.008
- Williamson, H., Young, B. R., Murray, N., Burton, D., Levin, B. L., Massey, O. T., & Baldwin, J. (2016). Community–university partnerships for research and practice: Application of an interactive and contextual model of collaboration. *Journal of Higher* Education Outreach and Engagement, 20(2), 55–84. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/ jheoe/article/view/1278