"Plan for the Worst, Hope for the Best, but Realistically, Expect a Combination of Both": **Lessons and Best Practices Emerging** From Community-Engaged Teaching **During a Health Crisis**

Angie Mejia

Abstract

This article outlines a framework that I implemented when delivering a community-engaged course during the earlier days of COVID-19. I argue that these guiding principles—centering the community partners' needs, assessing and remaining flexible to students' circumstances, and cautiously mapping and selectively using institutional resources to deliver the course—allowed me to provide a community-engaged experience to undergraduate students despite pandemic restrictions. At the same time, I ensured that the intersectional feminist and critical ethos of the class was not compromised and that the commitment to the community partners' sustainability was not cast aside. Additionally, I share two detailed exemplars of community-based learning projects highlighting the possibilities, challenges, and limitations when applying this framework. I close this piece with several points of departure to stimulate future conversation among educators, researchers, and practitioners on the role of community-based service-learning during times of societal crisis.

Keywords: critical community-engaged learning, centering the partnerships, student-centered, pandemic teaching

This article's title was inspired the stay-at-home order." by a phrase I used as a sign-off for emails to colleagues teach-

Like me, my colleagues expressed frustraing community-engaged classes tion about the lack of direction and support like the one I teach. These amid what appeared to be a sizable number emails, housed on a subfolder aptly named of resources from our institutions and or-"Pandemic Teaching," were answered at a ganizational bodies dedicated to supporting particularly unproductive and uncreative community-engaged pedagogy (broadly time of the workweek. We, the privileged defined to include out-of-class community few with time to organize our files, might activities encompassing service-learning also have had the time to answer such advocacy and social justice offerings). I took crisis emails from other colleagues teach- this a step further and decided to write a ing community-engaged courses. "What do venting letter to myself. First, airing out you think of . . . ?" "So, when the IRB took frustrations was my way to cope; later, too long to respond, what did you . . . " "My it became a way to connect with others dean wants to know if my class . . . " "My in a similar situation. I eventually toned students are ghosting me . . ." "I'm losing down the letter and published it as an army mind trying to figure out how the stu-ticle titled "Community-Engaged Learning dents will complete . . . " "My community in Times of COVID-19, or, Why I'm Not agency partnership has not responded since Prepared to Transition My Class Into an

Online Environment" (Mejia, 2020). These Showing the cracks made it much easier to

The following sections outline practices and lessons learned via two exemplars implemented to restructure a communitybased learning undergraduate course at the for the community' while enhancing their a health and medical sciences campus, in dent as 'server' and community recipient as response to the COVID-19 stay-at-home mandate. In addition to the support of facclass that supported 10 community-partand persistent efforts to assess and remain metrical power dynamics. attuned closely to our students' needs during the first weeks of the pandemic. Finally, these first two elements meant having to map and selectively and strategically choose which existing institutional resources were needed to support the delivery of a communityengaged course while simultaneously creatively using the supports and strategies of noninstitutional sources. These approaches went against what I felt was higher education's need to "meet learning objectives" of our community-based learning initiatives without regard to the partners and organizational bodies facilitating this experience. Reflecting on this framework and the two exemplars that follow it shows the possibilities, challenges, and limitations of offering critical community-engaged courses in light of COVID-19 and similar sociopolitical

Challenges were encountered when delivering this course and supporting community-based projects that comprised the bulk of the partnership connected to a small campus within a more extensive university system. COVID-19 made the adverse effects of higher education's institutionalization of community-engaged learning more visible. UMR is the smallest of a group of campuses

emails and conversations with readers of create workarounds and deliver my class the earlier article inspired me to document without compromising its intersectional how I restructured a community-engaged feminist praxis. My campus and the state course, which is intentional in its intersec- university system it belongs to, like many of tional feminist pedagogy and antioppressive those U.S. institutions of higher education praxis, in response to pandemic difficulties. that engage in service to the community, was and continues to operate under the influence of what Verjee (2010) called the "status-quo paradigm," a model in which "students . . . help people 'in need,' and 'do University of Minnesota Rochester (UMR), own learning, with an emphasis on the stu-'served'" (p. 7). Practices under this paradigm are not only responsible for causing ulty and staff, I owe my ability to deliver a "a drain on community agencies' limited resources" (Blouin & Perry, 2009, p. 127) in nered projects and over 50 students to fol- that the labor of community partnerships lowing three guiding and connected prin- tends to benefit students and the university ciples: centering, assessing, and mapping. more than the members they serve. More At the start of the pandemic, our immediate often than not, they cause further harm response as instructors was to center the and solidify the inequities they seek to adneeds of our community partners and the com- dress. Faculty and other groups engaged in munity members they serve—what Grenier community-based learning offerings that et al. (2020) referred to as "anchoring the are antioppressive, social-justice-based, partnership" (p. 4)—instead of finding and critically transformative are forced to ways to meet the learning objectives of the navigate against institutional constraints class. This act of anchoring the community to nurture and maintain relationships with partnerships was followed by coordinated communities that are not based on asym-

> After introducing the campus, my course's learning objectives, and community-based research projects connected to my class, I expand on how the above-noted framework guided my revision and delivery of a connected set of practices that educators could replicate in a similar moment of crisis. The Exemplars section focuses on exemplars of two community-engaged activities that I developed for my students during the pandemic, one of which worked well and, at the time of writing, continues to operate as described. Another may have initially appeared to work but, despite the efforts, does not appear to be sustainable. Following the Exemplars section, I engage in a reflective detour geared to BIPOC (Black, Indigenous, and people of color) scholars working on community-engaged learning initiatives before closing with some thoughts on how academics, practitioners, and advocates can move forward with community-engaged learning efforts that uplift as well as empower communities.

Context

public university system. Serving around ing one to two partners. 600 students, UMR is known for providing a curriculum focused on the health and medical sciences, its connection to the Mayo Clinic, and faculty members' approach As Flores et al. (2020) reflected on their to innovative pedagogies. As an assistant professor of community engagement, I am responsible for developing, advising others on, and delivering community-based service-learning courses that pair groups of students with community partners implementing projects that range from directservice engagement on site to research conducted on behalf of a community agency.

This text focuses on lessons learned from the rapid pandemic restructuring of one of these courses, Community Collaborative, geared to undergraduates. Community Collaborative is intentional in its intersectional feminist and critical approach to community engagement. It challenges students to critically engage with individuals and groups to understand, map out, and target unjust conditions that have disallowed communities from sustaining healthy and just futures. The critical feminist pedagogical moves (Costa & Leong, 2012; Diaz, 2016; Solorzano & Yosso, 2001) that I have integrated into the curriculum include citational practices that center material authored by women of color scholars (around 80%) and three full sessions dedicated to intersectional theory (Crenshaw, 1991) and intersectional analysis (Collins, 2002) as they pertain to issues students are encountering in the community.

The class is delivered with six other instructors who guide groups of five to eight Center the Partnership and the students, coordinate community projects, assess student progress throughout the semester, and liaise directly with community vice-learning practices (Flores et al., 2020) partner representatives. Community partners often meet with us to see how students can help deliver projects or engage immediate and continuous response to in activities that meet their clients' needs. partnership needs—avoiding delays and Community partners also assess student waiting periods to initiate partner contact progress by supervising on-site activities might prevent disparities in communities and evaluating final presentations; their and ensure commitment to the partnertotal contribution nets around 20% of the ship. This response is vital, as organizations final grade. At one time, the course part- might view community-campus learning nered with 11 agencies and had seven faculty initiatives as "an imposition and insensitive members in charge of a total of 50 students. to community needs" (Verjee, 2010, p. 8). In fall 2020, we had seven projects, five fac- In addition, meeting "the short and longerulty members, and 40 students. In spring term needs of the host community should be 2021, we have 50 students supporting five the first and most important consideration" community partners and two in-house (Beaman & Davidson, 2020, p. 3607) when projects. The class is also offered during seeking to deliver a community-engaged

that make up the University of Minnesota the summer, with fewer students support-

Three Steps to Pandemic Teaching

transitioning of a community-immersed class during the earlier days of the pandemic, "Abandoning . . . partners at the onset of a public health emergency would have been antithetical to the core values promulgated by the course" (p. 47). My experience and insights from many conversations with educators and practitioners delivering community-engaged learning indicate that the resources and strategies made available via our universities and institutional bodies that support experiential, service-learning, and other community-based learning revolved around that transactional paradigm of the university student as someone who expects that this opportunity serving the community should meet their needs as an educational consumer. Being asked to focus on the learning outcomes of a class without community centering, and in doing so, leaving community needs as an afterthought, not only shows how we are being asked to abandon our partners in a time of crisis but also how we had to pressure them to come up with ways to help us deliver this experiential aspect of our class. Thus, the three guiding principles (and the exemplars) outlined in the following sections should be taken as one way some faculty and practitioners might respond and resist the neoliberalization (Clifford, 2017) of campus-community-based learning.

Communities Partners Serve

Lessons learned from health education serand community-engaged research (Wieland et al., 2020) during COVID-19 suggest that

curriculum during times of rapid change. honest with my partners about some not on reciprocity and mutual respect.

My ability to quickly assess community partners' needs was aided by the strength of the relationships forged between them and the past and current instructors teaching COVID-19. this course. Thus, most of the partnering with assistance from coinstructors, I understood what to do with each of the students' groups in light of experiential activities.

Assess Students' Needs

As Veyvoda and Van Cleave (2020) indicated, "the most pressing concerns related My coinstructors and I also became acutely to teaching and learning during the pan- aware of the students' material and emodemic involv[e] basic needs" (p. 1544) of tional needs as they navigated this transistudents as well as of staff. Knowing that tion. A small percentage, especially those some students had a few weeks to move with complicated home lives, might have out of student housing and try to take care been going back to an unsafe place, which

Thus, my initial energies went toward a being able to continue working with their rapid assessment of where my partners agencies. However, knowing that many of were at and how I could be of assistance, my students do entry-level healthcare work even if my help meant asking students to or are in health-related internships, I also cease any off-site project-based tasks, such informed the partner that those staying and as telephone surveys, or if it meant getting still willing to do community work would my students and myself out of the com- need to coordinate changing schedules. The munity partners' hair altogether. Flores students would also face increased hours et al.'s (2020) recollections of teaching a at their respective workplaces, making it community-engaged course highlighted more challenging to complete the partner's how faculty members' ability to "freely assigned duties and project tasks. I also verbalize . . . mutual feelings of uncertainty was upfront about the risks involved if we and vulnerability about current events" (p. could get the necessary permissions from 48) with community partners showed the my university to maintain students workstrength of ongoing relationships founded ing on-site. Since our undergraduates are being trained as healthcare professionals, they understand the ins and outs of disease transmission. However, their employment situations as healthcare workers would still make them more susceptible to contracting

organizations were not shy about saying The pandemic's effect on students' lives that my students and I would be more of should be immediately addressed by ina burden than a boon. In sum, my emails structors and integrated into the curand calls to each partner were more along riculum. Flores et al. (2020), for example, the lines of asking what I could do, not as a related course concepts like social deterfaculty member with students that needed minants of health to students' current something to do, but as someone connected difficulties as well as emphasizing "how to a university system with different forms these same issues may be manifesting for of intellectual and organizational capital. the most vulnerable members in their home During these conversations with partners, communities" (p. 49). Other educators see I quickly learned whether they were going the incorporation of COVID-19 on reflective on furlough status—temporarily ceasing exercises and assignments as a pedagogical on-site operations—ceasing operations move that helps students create new links altogether, or shifting agency operations to to the material while addressing their wellanswer critical needs arising from COVID- being during rapid change (Christian et al., 19. One of our campus's partners, a social 2020). Part of taking the pulse of students' service agency serving older adults, had needs was to have conversations about how to move from its usual operations like the pandemic affected their academic lives. a senior health fair, social outings, and Some of these conversations were conducted hosting bingo nights to emergency-based with an eye to what was needed for graduservices, including delivery of groceries for ation for those nearing it. Is a grade in a homebound seniors and similar immediate non-STEM (science, technology, engineerneeds. After contacting each partner, often ing, and mathematics) course like this one necessary for your postbaccalaureate goals, or could a pass/no pass substitution suffice? If this class was a way to gain professional experience, for example, would a withdrawal grade satisfy if the students continued working with the partner as a volunteer?

of other needs beyond academics, I was could remove them from the right "head-

space" for engaging with the community riential learning at the systemwide univerto heavy dependence on learning management systems (LMS) for classes might further disadvantage those likely to struggle in foundations of my curriculum. an online learning environment.

Finally, the pandemic has affected students' overall engagement with my class's partnered projects as of writing this article. Centering the partnership's needs may have the unintended consequence of removing students from projects needed to meet various academic requirements, such as on-site research experiences, or programs that they found engaging, meaningful, or relevant to their future professional trajectories. Some of the community-partnered projects in my fall 2020 classes had to shift once again because of organizational changes related to COVID-19 issues.

Cautiously Strategize the Use of **Institutional Resources**

Talmage et al. (2020) suggested that rapid changes to community-engaged learning projects need not rely on resources outside Help From Displaced Staff Members the campus or focus on large-scale, nonlocal alternatives to be successful. My initial scramble highlighted how the resources and strategies curated by regional and national higher education associations and servicelearning networks would not assist me in anchoring the partnership or staying in line with the critical frameworks and antioppressive praxis during the rapid pivot of a community-engaged course.

tion when I realized that my faculty part- sors could meet individually with students ners and I, at least on our campus, were to learn about their specific situations. If the only ones actively trying to find ways I had been without their support, I would to assist struggling community partners most likely have turned to my university's during the earlier weeks of the pandemic. systemwide Talent Share program. It tem-Administration and staff might have been porarily matches staff members across the too busy, as my emails came back with only university who are experiencing a decrease vague statements of support. And from my in workload due to the pandemic with vantage point, the office and staff that ad- other divisions and campuses experiencing ministers community-engaged and expe- increased workloads for the same reason.

partner. Besides, even in emotionally safe sity level were likely already overwhelmed environments, students' new living situa- by faculty requests from other campuses tions may make it difficult for them to com- needing resources to shift to online-based plete some service-learning tasks. Students service-learning courses. Without denying with, say, spotty internet service, would not that these institutional supports might have be able to perform some of the engagement been helpful to some, I found that finding tasks required by the partner. For those ways to "keep teaching" and meeting the collecting data, living with family members learning objectives without practical and may hamper their ability to conduct inter- actionable solutions or readily available views and surveys with assured participant resources to help our community partners confidentiality. Taking technological issues felt one-sided. Besides, it felt antithetical into account, I also knew that the rapid shift to the maintenance of reciprocal and transformative relationships between community and campus and the intersectional feminist

> After a day spent in utter frustration, I sought ways to maintain my class's critical stance by creatively using resources from the margins: for example, tapping into my activist networks for ideas and plans to deliver portions of my class online; changing some of the reading materials to more manageable formats such as blogs, podcasts, or social media focusing on COVID-19; and centering the needs of the partners while strategizing ways to use some institutional resources that were not directly connected to my course's learning outcomes. Below, I sketch out some of these strategically cautious uses of institutional resources to show how instructors might provide a community-engaged class without decentering the community partner's needs or failing to meet learning objectives.

Within the University

I was lucky that my class is structured to be a collaborative endeavor. Each group of students has an individual faculty advisor to oversee the work and coordinate projects for one of the 10 community partners. This support gave me a bit of a breather, as I was able to assess all of my community partners' organizational capacities and willingness to continue to support Maybe I was naïve, but I felt slight irrita- students. At the same time, faculty adviable to help with logistical support of on- online. going projects.

Tapping Into COVID-19-Specific Responses by the University

Are there COVID-19-specific responses that could be leveraged to anchor the partnership or meet students' needs? The 2nd week of the pandemic, I was connected to the university system's U-CAN COVID-19 network, a self-described collective of faculty, staff, and students tasked with figuring out how to support state, regional, and citywide efforts around the pandemic. Although the resources provided by U-CAN would not have helped me determine how to deliver the community-engaged portion of my course, they answered my community partners' immediate needs for volunteers with specialized skills such as grant writing and emergency fundraising. For example, and faculty/staff involved. the group connected one of my partners, a community garden serving refugee and minority growers, with two doctoral candidates to coordinate a long-term strategy for specialized skills and training.

Seeking In-House Projects

Most of the community partners could not disconnected group vulnerable to further continue the project at all, either because isolation. After discussing it via email, the they furloughed all of their staff or did not community partner asked that the students have the organizational capacity to support move to telephone conversations (twice a student engagement at a distance. Are there week for at least an hour) to retain relacolleagues, departments, units, groups, and tionships with their matches. Although the like doing work that aligns with your the agency's clients had no problem with community-engaged class's intellectual the calls, students were anxious about the

Supporting and overseeing my students as Prejudice project, a digital humanities prothey conducted online-based tasks for a gram at one of the campuses within my partner, for instance, would have been very university's system, would have been on well supported by other staff members— my list of possible in-house partners. This even other undergraduates—displaced from program uses crowdsourced volunteers to their usual duties. Uprooted graduate stu- transcribe restrictive racial housing propdents, for example, could have used their erty covenants in Minneapolis and could library skills to supervise student groups have provided my students with the type of helping partners applying for emergency community-engaged learning opportunity grants. Laboratory staff could have been that met the objectives of my course while

Exemplars

Considering the way my class was set up, the context, the levels of support, and the time available, I offer two vignettes showing both successful and not-so-successful outcomes of using this framework to substitute the original community work. These exemplars apply not only to project substitutions that occurred at the start of the pandemic but also current—as of writing this article—insights from my coteaching colleagues and reflections from the students. Centering the partnership, assessing students' needs, and cautiously mapping institutional resources, in some cases, might allow community-engaged learning initiatives to remain useful, meaningful, and relevant to the communities, students,

"Using the Telephone Is Going to Make Me Anxious"

the increase of people seeking community One group of students assigned to a social garden plots during the crisis. The network service agency was originally scheduled to also offered to connect another of my part- conduct individual weekly companionship ners with volunteers well experienced in visits with homebound older adults. They coordinating fast turnaround/large-scale also planned to help with social group acemergency fundraising initiatives of in- tivities (such as game nights, community kind and monetary donations. Organizing breakfasts, and student-led beauty and an appeal of that scope was outside my and wellness spa days) for seniors who vismy faculty partners' experience; my stu- ited the agency's day site. Our university's dents would not have been able to undertake request to cease all experiential learning it without the direction of someone with activities (or substitute them with virtual tasks) placed this agency in a difficult position. Their older clients' health could be compromised if student visits continued, but stopping could leave an already socially and political foundations? The Mapping change. Students feared that this would

overseeing this group and I met with each away? student to understand their needs due to the pandemic, we felt (even if they initially disagreed) that they were ready to begin phone calls. Three weeks into the distanced visits and agency-directed tasks, most students reported that relationships with their matches remained as strong as before and did not show signs of losing interest or becoming disengaged.

tained and that preparing the oral history with their work. proposal further enriched their learning. As of now, any student activities connected to "Just Get Them to Zoom and [Snaps this agency (which involve telephone conversations with seniors and research-based tasks) will continue to adhere to social distancing protocols for the protection of the immunosuppressed clientele. Furthermore, the groundwork has been laid so that future students can conduct the oral history interviews the agency has wanted. Tentatively titled Past as Praxis, the project will frame older adults' recollections around previous public health crises as lessons for medical providers envisioning better healthcare futures in light of present uncertainties.

ner's back-burner projects, such as creating the service-learning.

not have the same impact as face-to-face websites, brochures, or informational bindencounters, and the rapport they had es- ers. Running around looking for busywork tablished would suffer. Their anxieties were would have made more chores for us and messaged to me via private Zoom chat, brought a dynamic that disengaged the expressing that members of their genera- students. For example, how do I track and tion "tend not to answer when cell phones assess student work on an e-volunteering ring" and hoping they did not "mess up project? What do I know about their technithe relationship" they had built with their cal skills or their new living situations? And older adult match. After the faculty member how do the students feel about being pulled

The success of this partnered project rested on centering the partners' needs while working with the students to understand if they could continue with their adult matches under shifting circumstances (some had moved back home and found themselves with additional responsibilities) and with different technological set-ups (many faced internet connectivity issues, but cell phone In addition, their reflective journals sug- access was a given). The reworked activities gested a sense of shared purpose brought on remained relevant to the course objectives by a global health crisis. Since the agency and written work. I also kept myself from had once requested help designing a more asking to integrate students into emercommunity-based project to further incor- gency volunteer needs: Even with a volporate their clients' voices, students began unteer coordinator working full time, the consulting with a colleague who performs agency might not be ready to train them. archival research. They started to plan for My faculty colleague and I worked with the next semester's class to conduct oral history partner separately, providing other forms interviews with the older adults. Despite the of support (such as finding specialized volproject's shift to online, students agreed unteers, emergency sources of donations, or that the course's meaningfulness was re- university-based resources) to assist them

Fingers] Done"

Some colleagues indicated that their universities provided ideas and resources to shift face-to-face service-learning activities into online ones. The literature suggests that institutional resources have been creatively leveraged to assist community partnerships. For example, institutions have allowed community partners to tap into technological resources (Opara et al., 2020), such as institutional Zoom accounts with extended session times and other benefits. However, I did not learn about this prospect Interestingly, none of the resources that I until months into the pandemic, and my sought could help me deliver the commu- university's communication and approval nity-engaged portion that met the social processes would have been too difficult to needs of vulnerable individuals in the way navigate for some of my community partthat the partner wanted. I found lists upon ners. Even if I had known about this earlier, lists of e-volunteering sites providing some it would have taken too long to set up and form of Zoom-based contact with nonlo- implement. In-kind institutional responses cal members. Other suggestions included and resources are helpful only if needed to crowdsourced volunteering opportunities conduct all of the partner's operational or having the students engage in the part- needs and not just the work connected to

continued coordinating Zoom-facilitated direct service efforts with members of the communities they serve.

One of my partners, an after-school youth organization, did not want to "overpromise and underdeliver" an experience with their clients. They indicated that, although my students' backgrounds in health sciences and STEM would have helped pre-COVID tutoring set-ups, many of their clients were not Zooming in to their e-activities. This partner felt that many of their clients were not attending because parents, already overworked trying to homeschool, may not have had the capacity to coordinate and oversee their children logging in. One of the coordinators, who had conducted telephone calls with the parents and guardians who had taken part in the socially distanced activities during the summer, shared these clients' feelings of stress that too many people were seeing "their messy homes" and lamenting a loss of privacy.

After a long conversation in which a director of an established nonprofit kept on expressing relief that I was not pressuring them to keep my students "doing some busywork or other," they became even more candid about their views on technology. They shared that "there is no manual, no training, no website" on how to manage volunteers over Zoom. They also expressed worries about how video chatting prevents people (both my students became aware that the needs volunteers and coordinators) from reading of many clients served by agencies providthose important facial and bodily cues while ing educational support could only be met working face-to-face. Further, they indi- by systematic infrastructural changes (Kim cated that those other ways of communicating with coworkers and trainees could not White families, do not just require internet be transferred into the Zoom environment. and access to technology; they also need the They also learned that their communities material, emotional, and political conditions had little interest in attending online ver- in place to weather this pandemic at home. sions of pre-COVID services and activities.

Even though some of my partners may it work. For one of the partnered projects, have had the set-up to connect with their which set students to engage in direct serclients online (and, in some cases, clients vice activities with multicultural families could be connected using iPads provided by and youth via various organizations, contheir public schools as they shifted to online necting online has not been a smooth ride. learning), "just use Zoom" was not as suc- In this quote, one of the students speaks cessful even with several contingencies in to the difficulty of providing tutoring via place. Out of the six partnerships with the Zoom, even when she chose this engageability to facilitate Zoom access for students ment project because she likes teaching and to engage in some form of service-learning often tutors sophomores at our campus: activity, only two of them did. Only one has "Subjects like Math and English can be especially challenging because [young students use] worksheets and printed packets and it can be hard to see the papers through the cameras."

> Another student, supported by both staff at my campus and a dedicated coordinator paid by a multiagency initiative to help deliver tutoring online, reflected on the difficulties of getting through one session:

There is still the issue that it is sometimes hard to tutor students over video chat because students and tutors are still trying to get used to the format of the teaching sessions. It is also difficult because sometimes parents don't know how to use the technology to help students access the tutoring session. With the first two weeks of tutoring, some of my students struggled to log on to [the session], so [the agency's coordinator] and [the IT department support staff at the campus] changed the session to only include one Zoom meeting for everyone to join, and then we go into breakout rooms.

The digital divide in underserved communities has been augmented by the pandemic (Seymour et al., 2020). In later reflections, & Padilla, 2020). Families, especially non-

Even with the difficulties, this group and In addition to these issues, there have been other students indicated they were "getting unexpected problems in two of the service- used to it" and powering through their anxlearning opportunities, even with the capacities and Zoom fatigue from online classes, ity, technological support, and dedication in order to deliver tutoring services. All of of both agency staff and learners to make them, as well as those students working one related, "What we ended up doing was partnership relationships with higher eduand help them answer it."

This experience with online tutoring counters not only some of the carefully curated "delivering a community engagement course during COVID" lists of resources and advice made available to educators by many nonprofit organizational bodies but also, broadly speaking, the literature around online learning. I wrote more critically about the former's efforts in an earlier publication about teaching during a pandemic (Mejia, 2020). For the latter, however, those of us on the ground (and most likely writing on, speaking of, and sharing with others about our attempts to use these technologies) are noticing how much is missed and how much more there is to learn about these new pedagogical spaces and the practices we are forced to engage in at a time of societal change.

As of the date that this report was written, final analyses of this situation have been perceived as positive by several people. After a student presentation of how they, as tutors, learned about themselves and the structural challenges affecting their tutees, many people congratulated us. I was praised for the activity, as it was suggested that the experience allowed students to "really operationalize class concepts." The students, in turn, were congratulated for "doing an excellent job despite it all." In my responses, I have reframed how their engagement with the partner was difficult and complex, emphasized that the setting and context was not ideal for everyone involved, and stated that I feared, even if I anchored the partnership's wishes, that the educational gains of tutees might have been minimal, as there is no way to measure and assess impact. Additionally, spring 2021 students have noticed a sharp decline in attendance to Zoom tutoring meetings due to changing school schedules and, most likely, family members' fatigue of having to coordinate when their children can attend.

In this particular vignette, we can see how critical approaches like the one I advocate for—anchoring the partnership, dedicating more time, and providing additional support to students conducting online service-

for other partners, showed us that, despite learning activities in the community while the difficulties, they were enthusiastically remaining openly critical of the conditionready to do what they could to give the ing that dictates how such activities ought community the best experience possible. As to be conducted—can still risk community having the kids hold up their assignment to cation bodies remaining "transactional" the camera so we could read the questions (Stewart & Alrutz, 2012) and never becoming transformative. In this particular case, constant communication with the agency means that I will make sure that they do not feel obligated to provide the experience if it is not going to be helpful to them.

Operating at the Margins While Speaking From the Margins: Some Words Geared to Historically Marginalized Scholars Teaching During the Plague

"The only thing that's good where you at is Prince. And he's dead."

My sister and I just argued about the person I am now that I live in the Midwest. The conversation was tense as I cannot travel to the Pacific Northwest, and she is unwilling to come here. "You're such a chipster," I said as I ended the call. A chipster, a portmanteau of hipster and Chicana, is what I have been using when referring to my younger sister because I was a bit envious of her. The White nonsense she needs to deal with where she lives in Portland is different from what I have to deal with in Minnesota. But all I want to tell her is that I am tired. I am anxious. I am also overwhelmed. And that I am, well, really, there are so many I am's that I must contend with lately. I am the only faculty member that has been specifically hired to design and direct community-based learning initiatives on my campus. I am one of the few women of color instructors, one of three, and the only one on the tenure track at the moment. I am also someone who embodies various sociopolitically devalued identities in a place where Whiteness has a unique way of affecting those who do not fit in. (Oh, so nicely!) And at the time of drafting this article, I am a faculty woman of color observing others on my campus and others that comprise the university system that cuts my check, coming to terms that Minnesota Nice ain't going to cut it this time.

Teaching on a campus located 80 miles from the site where a White police officer asphyxiated a Black man with his knee, at a time where science denialism is on the political menu, has made my labors and responsibilities to my family, my students, and those that get paid more than me, feel infinite. It has meant that I had to figure out how to deliver the learning objectives of my community-engaged class in a way that does not go against my training as an intersectional feminist and my values of being raised in family settings where social justice was a significant part of how the grown-ups in my life lived theirs. And I had to do it while the majority of those around me were undergoing some form of racial awakening. I am . . . yes, I am navigating a pandemic spring and summer filled with the exhaustion of figuring out class schedules, community logistics, shifting reading timelines, and modified reflection assignments while also dealing with requests to talk, meet, discuss, facilitate, dialogue, and whatever other verbs I can add, from those who have now discovered that systemic racism is real and now want to talk to someone who is not White. And I am tired.

Faculty of color are more likely to be overrepresented in the design and delivery of community-based learning curriculum and coursework (Baez, 2000). I am a faculty member embodying various sociopolitically devalued identities, including a racializedgendered one. This meant that centering the needs of my community partner, remaining aware of the multiplicity of students' issues, and strategically implementing existing institutional and other resources to deliver my course, made me likely to engage in counternormative practices with possible nega-

of the guiding principles I shared earlier in this article.

Removing readings, assignments, and activities and replacing them with others that conformed to my vision of Community Collaborative was already a risky move. As a great Italian American diva once described the relationship between moves and motion as "causing a commotion" (Madonna, 1987), my curricular choices appeared to be causing a commotion of sorts. (In light of Midwestern White people's sensitivities.) According to my ex-PhD advisor-now friend, my syllabus was "tame" compared to what she has seen me introduce in the past. "I thought you would be adding more," she said as she looked at my reading list. We had met for one last lunch rendezvous in the city before completing my move to Rochester, Minnesota. I reminded her this is a STEM campus, and my class is the everyone-has-to-take-it-to-graduateoften-said-with-a-groan class. Later on, students of my spring 2020 semester had already learned from others that the class "was not as easy" as when so-and-so took it and that it had "too much reading." Seeing that service-learning and Whiteness (Bocci, 2015; Green, 2001; Mitchell et al., 2012) have an interesting history that I will not focus on in this text, I made several changes to the curriculum. I intentionally replaced all of the usual readings with works written by BIPOC scholars. Not only was creating a syllabus-with-too-manyarticles-to-read-for-STEM-students-ina-place-where-a-smile-might-not-meana-smile my response to the "invisibility and normative privileges of Whiteness [that] shape . . . and are reinforced by servicelearning" (Mitchell et al., 2012, p. 615), it was my way of practicing the citational justice praxis advocated by Sara Ahmed and other women of color. Causing a commotion, by Minnesota standards, and making sure more than 80% of the readings were from subaltern authors, was my way to "acknowledge our debt to those who came before; those who helped us find our way when the way was obscured because we deviated from the paths we were told to follow" (Ahmed, 2016, pp. 15-16).

tive reverberations. Below, I reflect on sev- In addition to the curriculum, the way that eral of these counternormative choices as a I would engage with new and existing comway to share possible challenges that Black, munity partnerships needed to embody a Indigenous, and people of color (BIPOC) fac- similar spirit of antioppressive theory and ulty teaching community-engaged courses praxis. Six of the nine partnerships were may encounter when implementing some headed by people who did not fit into the

imagine how I would react to the institu- "You?" After attending three of these weexperiences.

But the curriculum was the least of my about causing a commotion.)

During the earlier days of COVID-19, most of my time and energy had to be devoted to my partners and the communities they serve, as well as my students. This situation also meant that an excess of labor for any existing service obligations, committees, and the like would push me to work on weekends. This impossible number of ob- I penned this section somewhat candidly as ligations during the pandemic has been the a way to highlight the possible implications norm for women faculty (Dingel et al., 2021; of conducting community-engaged learn-Minello, 2020). It also meant that I would ing from the margins. In contrast to others, not enter into any new obligations connect- those who operate from the margins, or in ed to COVID-19 and campus-community ways that go against the usual practices, desires to help, most of them coming from find themselves delivering an educational outside or from systemwide. I had no time. experience that does not engage the com-And, in much honesty, attending webinars munity as cocreators of knowledge and as about teaching during COVID-19, especially instructional partners. If "positionality may

region's standard—five of them were led the ones geared to community-engaged by BIPOC individuals. One of those agencies teaching, ended up fueling my desire to was led by a person who sat on my hiring write why these and other institutional recommittee. All of them knew where I stood sources were not helpful. Hence this article. and that I understood that they were not The times that I did attend, I was forced to only providing our students with unique listen to 30 minutes of whoever repeating opportunities but that they were doing this the same things that did nothing to help knowing full well that the campus and the me or help my community partners and university system needed them more than 15 minutes in Zoom breakout rooms to jot the other way around. Three of the six coin- down ideas on what we were already doing. structors in this class were present during And unsurprisingly, those breakout rooms my job talk months before; one of them had were a mix of people asking, "Does anyone a say on my hiring. Meaning, most people have anything?" while another responds, "I knew who and what they were getting into came because I wanted to see where you when they got me. And they could at least were all at." And another attendee asking, tional processes that shape how universities binars, I felt that my time could be spent in the United States enter and attempt to elsewhere. And when George Floyd was maintain ties to the communities that help murdered, there were more seminars with deliver community-engaged educational even more things that did not help me but did add to a persistent sense of emotional exhaustion.

worries here. Most students enrolled in fall The problem here was not the lack of re-2019 were okay with it. Yes, the usual out-sources, but that my absence, as one of lier reacted less than positively to exploring two people engaged in community-based White supremacy in a reflection assignment initiatives in my campus (the other one or two. And the majority of students of color being our director of experiential learnfelt the class was one they wished they had ing), was hypervisible. At one point, I was taken earlier in their college trajectory. sure that I would not be missed because Whether it happens during a pandemic or the meetings, the workshops, and the talks in times of relative normality, centering the were attended by so many people across partnership and community needs appears campuses. However, I eventually learned to be more detrimental to the BIPOC scholar that there was a noticeable absence when than choosing to deliver a curriculum based someone outside my campus cautioned that on subaltern knowledge. In my case, cen- "not being present" could be detrimental in tering these needs meant that I was decen- the near future. My decision to carve time tering the "musts" imposed on minoritized and find ways to be present during these faculty who engage in community-based many system-level gatherings, including learning, teaching, and research by all of answering emails connected to whatever those who manage the institutionalization asks were attached to them, could also be of community-campus initiatives. (Talk a detriment to someone from the margins, operating from the margins, and attempting to stay in the margins. In a way, this was saying something to those that operate from the center. As I finish this reflective detour, I have yet to understand the implications of my absence, which would not be perceived negatively nor affect me in negative ways if I were a White faculty member.

it is yet to be seen what the afterlives of my professional futures. decisions look like postpandemic.

Discussion

Service-learning activities pivoted due to COVID-19 have been shown to be successful when centering the community partnerships' needs (Flores et al., 2020; Talmage et al., 2020). Gresh et al.'s (2020) servicelearning class geared to nursing students exemplified this approach. The authors attributed the success of their communitypartnered course to focusing on the needs of the partner and their clientele while staying loyal to practices and processes of reciprocity, faculty engagement, critical written reflective work for students, creative use of existing resources, and remaining informed and inspired by a critical analysis of power. In this text, I outlined a model that advocates centering the needs of community partners with a prompt assessment of demands on their operational capacity due to COVID-19 while simultaneously and carefully shifting parts of the curriculum to match students' evolving needs and working from the margins via cautious/strategic use of institutional resources.

As noted by my exemplars and other published work on community-engaged learning during this crisis, there are certain limitations when choosing to center the partnership, remaining open and flexible to students' needs, and choosing to limit one's use of existing resources and strategies. There are stressors to the faculty and staff members delivering the curriculum online and preserving the critical praxis

determine the extent to which we can suc- that shapes it. Student disengagement from cessfully implement" (Latta et al., 2018, p. the community-partnered projects might 48) critical approaches to community-en- remain despite our efforts to be flexible. For gaged teaching and learning, then how does many of us, the scramble to provide comit shape the spaces that we can operate from munity-engaged courses left no time to imto transform them? Knowing that position- plement assessment of student learning and alities made vulnerable by axes of difference community impact. Sociostructural issues, and power shape those spaces, places, and such as the unequal access to technology in practices to imagine transformative ways historically disadvantaged and underserved of engaging in community with our com- communities, might affect projects that a munities, then how do moments of crisis community partner and the community figure into this dynamic? As can be deduced itself had planned to deliver with student from this section, a pandemic, in addition help and willingness, faculty guidance, to embodying intersectional differences in and technological support. Finally, faculty a place where such distinctions are highly and practitioners of community-engaged noticeable, forced me to figure out how to service-learning who are also members deliver a community-engaged curriculum of minoritized groups might end up putthat stayed true to its intellectual and polit- ting themselves against more mainstream ical, as well as educational, objectives while approaches to campus-community-based not decentering the community. However, learning, thereby further jeopardizing their

> The framework I outlined in this article was not only inspired by what others have noted to be the institutionalization and neoliberalization of community-engaged learning but was shaped by many community members' critiques of partnerships between themselves and the university as asymmetrical, unequal, and disempowering, a sentiment captured by Stoecker and Tryon's (2009) question, "Who is served by service-learning?" With this sentiment in mind, I end this piece on a few points of departure to explore community engagement learning, research, and practice in light of a postpandemic moment.

- Learning: What practices, if any, have worked in delivering a community-engaged educational online experience that does not create burdens for those it seeks to serve and transform? How have these rapid shifts to online delivery of community-engaged courses shifted students' attitudes toward community engagement?
- · Research: How do we examine the way relationships between community groups and our respective universities operate? How do we measure, with an eye toward reparation and accountability, a campus's impact on communities? Seeing that COVID-19 has made visible these fractures and shown the inconsistencies between the ethos of a university in the service of the community and the reality of

community-based learning as institutionalized and shaped to meet neoliberal demands of the student as a consumer, how do we begin collecting and amplifying community members' narratives of the value of campus-community learning initiatives during COVID-19?

 Practice: How do we implement practices and assess community impact in ways that center community voices and empower stakeholders while keeping higher education accountable? Finally, and this comes from my conversations with many community partners along the lines of "you [the university in general, and faculty in particular] need us [community partners] more than we need you," how do we measure community resilience and transformation in the absence of reciprocal and transformative relationships with higher education and in response to the current transactional nature of these relationships?

Conclusion

My goal with this article was to share a set of guidelines, including best practices and those that are definitely not the best, for educators positioned to deliver communityengaged university-level coursework during times of societal crisis like a pandemic. The rapidly developed workarounds that make up this framework—centering the partnership, assessing students' needs, and cautiously and strategically implementing existing institutional resources—emerged from moments that I called "crisis teaching." Said moments have positioned many educators and practitioners like me to interrogate, collude with, and navigate institutional processes that counter the intellectual foundations of our courses and the commu-

nity-based knowledge(s) and practices that strengthen the scholarship we cocreated with various communities that welcome us in the spirit of collective betterment.

Throughout this text, my tone urges others to critically examine how departments, campuses, organizational bodies, and coalitions working on behalf of higher education's community-campus initiatives may or may not be prepared to provide this support during disruptive social moments. Portending that those of us in higher education will once again experience rapid transition due to societal crises, I argue that the effects of this pandemic have made more visible, and possibly easier to shift and transform, critical concerns in how universities continue to engage with the communities they claim to serve. As others have demonstrated (Blouin & Perry, 2009; Brackmann, 2015; Costa & Leong, 2012; D'Arcangelis & Sarathy, 2015; Stoecker & Tryon, 2009), practices of a neoliberal university, which in part have capitalized on the service to the community element, are antithetical to reciprocity and reproduce community-campus connections, obligations, and responsibilities that are hierarchical and detrimental and, at times, exploitative. Knowing this, what should those of us who choose to teach, research, and practice community engagement in higher education take into consideration as we continue to perform this work? I say this knowing that many of us choose to remain on this path despite the detrimental effects on our professional lives. The pandemic has made it clear that many of us will not tolerate the way it has always been and are willing to imagine something better. Perhaps the work should be that of continuing to advocate for and establish the conditions that position communities to thrive while simultaneously challenging those that prevent them from doing so.



Acknowledgments or Notes

I would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their suggestions and comments. Thanks also to the University of Minnesota Rochester Community Collaborative faculty team for their efforts and talents in supporting community partners in the area.

About the Author

Angie Mejia, PhD is an assistant professor and civic engagement scholar at the Center for Learning Innovation at the University of Minnesota Rochester.

References

- Ahmed, S. (2016). Living a feminist life. Duke University Press.
- Baez, B. (2000). Race-related service and faculty of color: Conceptualizing critical agency in academe. *Higher Education*, 39(3), 363–391. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003972214943
- Beaman, A., & Davidson, P. M. (2020). Global service-learning and COVID-19—What the future might look like? *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 29(19–20), 3607–3608. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15369
- Blouin, D. D., & Perry, E. M. (2009). Whom does service learning really serve? Community-based organizations' perspectives on service learning. *Teaching Sociology*, 37(2), 120–135. https://doi.org/10.1177/0092055X0903700201
- Bocci, M. (2015). Service-learning and White normativity: Racial representation in service-learning's historical narrative. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 22(1), 5–17. http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.0022.101
- Brackmann, S. M. (2015). Community engagement in a neoliberal paradigm. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 19(4), 115–146. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/1235
- Christian, D. D., McCarty, D. L., & Brown, C. L. (2020). Experiential education during the COVID-19 pandemic: A reflective process. *Journal of Constructivist Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1080/10720537.2020.1813666
- Clifford, J. (2017). Talking about service-learning: Product or process? Reciprocity or solidarity? *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 21(4), 1–13. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/1357
- Collins, P. H. (2002). Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment. Routledge.
- Costa, L. M., & Leong, K. J. (2012). Introduction: Critical community engagement: Feminist pedagogy meets civic engagement. Feminist Teacher, 22(3), 171–180. https://doi.org/10.5406/femteacher.22.3.0171
- Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43(6), 1241–1299. https://doi.org/10.2307/1229039
- D'Arcangelis, G., & Sarathy, B. (2015). Enacting environmental justice through the undergraduate classroom: The transformative potential of community engaged partnerships. *Journal of Community Engagement and Scholarship*, 8(2), Article 10. https://digitalcommons.northgeorgia.edu/jces/vol8/iss2/10
- Diaz, S. P. (2016). A map for feminist solidarity: How to teach about women of color and reproductive justice in Jesuit WGS classrooms. *Feminist Teacher*, 27(1), 24–46. https://doi.org/10.5406/femteacher.27.1.0024
- Dingel, M., Nichols, M., Mejia, A., & Osiecki, K. (2021). Service, self-care, and sacrifice: A qualitative exploration of the pandemic university as a greedy institution. *The ADVANCE Journal*, 2(3). https://doi.org/10.5399/osu/ADVJRNL.2.3.2
- Flores, D. D., Bocage, C., Devlin, S., Miller, M., Savarino, A., & Lipman, T. H. (2020). When community immersion becomes distance learning: Lessons learned from a disrupted semester. *Pedagogy in Health Promotion*, 7(1), 46-50. https://doi.org/10.1177/2373379920963596
- Green, A. E. (2001). "But you aren't White": Racial perceptions and service-learning. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 8(1), 18–26. http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.0008.102
- Grenier, L., Robinson, E., & Harkins, D. A. (2020). Service-learning in the COVID19 era: Learning in the midst of crisis. *Pedagogy and the Human Sciences*, 7(1), Article 5. https://scholarworks.merrimack.edu/phs/vol7/iss1/5
- Gresh, A., LaFave, S., Thamilselvan, V., Batchelder, A., Mermer, J., Jacques, K., Greensfelder, A., Buckley, M., Cohen, Z., Coy, A., & Warren, N. (2020). Service learning in public health nursing education: How COVID-19 accelerated community-academic

- partnership. Public Health Nursing, 38(2), 248-257. https://doi.org/10.1111/phn.12796
- Kim, C. J. H., & Padilla, A. M. (2020). Technology for educational purposes among lowincome Latino children living in a mobile park in Silicon Valley: A case study before and during COVID-19. Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences, 42(4), 497-514. https:// doi.org/10.1177/0739986320959764
- Latta, M., Kruger, T. M., Payne, L., Weaver, L., & VanSickle, J. L. (2018). Approaching critical service-learning: A model for reflection on positionality and possibility. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 22(2), 31–55. https://openjournals. libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/1386
- Madonna. (1987). Causing a commotion [Song]. On Who's that qirl. Sire Records.
- Mejia, A. (2020). Community-engaged learning in times of COVID-19, or, Why I'm not prepared to transition my class into an online environment. Public Philosophy Journal, 3(1). https://doi.org/10.25335/ppj.3.1-3
- Minello, A. (2020, April 17). The pandemic and the female academic. *Nature*. https://doi. org/10.1038/d41586-020-01135-9
- Mitchell, T. D., Donahue, D. M., & Young-Law, C. (2012). Service learning as a pedagogy of Whiteness. Equity & Excellence in Education, 45(4), 612-629. https://doi.org/10.108 0/10665684.2012.715534
- Opara, I., Chandler, C. J., Alcena-Stiner, D. C., Nnawulezi, N. A., & Kershaw, T. S. (2020). When pandemics call: Community-based research considerations for HIV scholars. AIDS and Behavior, 24(8), 2265-2267. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-020-02878-2
- Seymour, K., Skattebol, J., & Pook, B. (2020). Compounding education disengagement: COVID-19 lockdown, the digital divide and wrap-around services. Journal of Children's Services, 15(4), 243-251. https://doi.org/10.1108/JCS-08-2020-0049
- Solorzano, D. G., & Yosso, T. J. (2001). Critical race and LatCrit theory and method: Counter-storytelling. International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education, 14(4), 471-495. https://doi.org/10.1080/09518390110063365
- Stewart, T., & Alrutz, M. (2012). Meaningful relationships: Cruxes of university-community partnerships for sustainable and happy engagement. Journal of Community Engagement and Scholarship, 5(1), Article 6. https://digitalcommons.northgeorgia.edu/ jces/vol5/iss1/6/
- Stoecker, R., & Tryon, E. (2009). Unheard voices: Community organizations and service learning. In R. Stoecker, E. A. Tryon, & A. Hilgendorf (Eds.), The unheard voices: Community organizations and service learning (pp. 1–18). Temple University Press.
- Talmage, C. A., Annear, C., Equinozzi, K., Flowers, K., Hammett, G., Jackson, A., Kingery, J. N., Lewis, R., Makker, K., Platt, A., Schneider, T., & Turino, C. (2020). Rapid community innovation: A small urban liberal arts community response to COVID-19. International Journal of Community Well-Being. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42413-020-00074-7
- Verjee, B. (2010). Service-learning: Charity-based or transformative. Transformative Dialogues: Teaching & Learning Journal, 4(2), 1–13. https://journals.kpu.ca/index.php/ td/article/view/1173
- Veyvoda, M. A., & Van Cleave, T. J. (2020). Re-imagining community-engaged learning: Service-learning in communication sciences and disorders courses during and after COVID-19. Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups, 5(6), 1542–1551. https://doi. org/10.1044/2020 PERSP-20-00146
- Wieland, M. L., Doubeni, C. A., & Sia, I. G. (2020). Community engagement with vulnerable populations. Mayo Clinic Procedures, 95(9), S60-S62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. mayocp.2020.05.041