Dede, C. J., & Richards, J. (Eds.). (2020). The 60-Year Curriculum: New Models for Lifelong Learning in the Digital Economy. Routledge. 182 pp.

Review by Amy Claire Heitzman

Year Curriculum: New Models for Lifelong Learning in the Digital Economy, is a comprehensive exploration of models and strategies designed to address the changing role of higher education and lifelong learning amid massive technological advances, increased human longevity, and the future of work. As faculty in the Harvard Graduate School of Education and well-known scholars in the field of educational technologies, Dede and Richards are well positioned to guide readers through the historical context of a 60-year curriculum and to synthesize a series of case studies designed to illustrate challenges and opportunities for postsecondary education in this heady time.

In the introductory chapter, Dede describes the term "60-year curriculum" (60YC), including its origins in university continuing education divisions, and argues that it "focuses on a transformational evolution of higher education toward novel strategies to enable adults to add skills . . . as their occupational and personal context evolves and shifts" over the life span (p. 1). From this, Dede outlines factors that undergird the need for learning to evolve toward longterm capacity building, which will enable learners to develop skills for inevitable career growth and change resulting from the emergence of longer life spans, massive advances in technology, and a changing political and climate landscape. Through a become adaptable to new circumstances lethoughtful review of historical and current veraging competencies earned and blended adult learning frameworks, Dede recognizes over time with past experiences. In reinchallenges of the emerging economic con- venting the latter, postsecondary education text, arguing that although "human talent is called to build models wherein learners will become the most important factor" (p. move in and out of higher education, not 10), technology-driven change will fun- only as needed or desired, but across their damentally alter the ways learning must lifetimes. Servoz concludes the chapter with pivot to meet these new needs. From this an exploration of emergent models, both analysis, the author suggests that the 60YC individual and collective, for financing the provides a way for higher education to ar- myriad transitions learners will undoubt-

hristopher J. Dede and John ticulate a "pathway to a secure and satisfy-Richards's recent work, The 60- ing future for our students" (p. 20).

> The next three chapters explore challenges and opportunities for stakeholders, beginning with "Education, Age, and the Machine," in which Andrew Scott outlines the merging lines of technological change and increased longevity, suggesting economic challenges that will have considerable consequences for education. Among these is workers' need to reskill to utilize new technologies, coupled with an extension of career length needed to support an increased life expectancy. Amid these influences, Scott also identifies questions around ownership of learning in this new setting-who provides education, when, and where (and in what modality), as well as emergent demands for flexible, transparent, often stackable credentials, the nature of which are increasingly fluid amid continuous demands for upskilling.

In the next chapter, "Are We Ready for the Jobs That the Digital Economy Will Offer to Us?," Michel Servoz outlines the major areas in which the adoption of a 60YC must be manifested in order to address the disruption caused by digital innovations in youth, or foundational, education, and in a revision of postsecondary or adult education. Paramount in reconceptualizing the latter is the shift toward a "focus on . . . skills that are transferrable across jobs and will not be subject to automation" (p. 44). Such skills include digital literacy and learning to edly need over a longer career.

In "Employing the 60-Year Curriculum as a Strategic Approach," Ann M. Brewer examines the strategic value for educational institutions of pivoting to learner-centric foci, using the 60YC as a framework. She begins by arguing for the adoption of design thinking as a foundation for learning opportunities, and for institutions to embrace cocurricular design, wherein they would "engage . . . adult learners, employers, and others within a collaborative design In "Known for Whom We Include," Punya process," with the result of meeting the Mishra and Jacqueline Smith outline how needs of adult learners in active, authentic, the current model of linear educational and connected ways, recognizing the shift design is inefficient in the context of the in learner agency within their own career 60YC and illustrate how Arizona State paths (p. 61). Such a learner-centric focus University (ASU) has pioneered "iterative emphasizes strong institutional relation- learning cycles [that] will empower the ships with diverse categories of students, learner to evolve . . . and enable the univerunderstanding their needs and striving to sity to respond in turn" (p. 102). Focusing meet them throughout their career trajec- on the importance of narrative identity, tories. Following a case study highlighting which recognizes and prioritizes the importhe use of strategic student relationship tance of learners' varied and rich life expemanagement (SSRM), Brewer aligns the riences, the authors describe institutional 60YC with such an approach, concluding efforts to innovate educational design at that when institutions codesign learning scale, including an evolving suite of E-to-B processes, they help ensure that "innova- (education to business) options designed tions are actionable and scalable" (p. 69), to address the upskilling needs of adult addressing learners' needs throughout their learners. The authors examine other areas adult lives.

The next five chapters outline institutionspecific models and strategies of the 60YC, beginning with Stephen W. Harmon and Nelson C. Baker's chapter "Creating the Next in Higher Education at Georgia Tech," in which the authors contextualize factors driving change in higher education and one In "Market-Driven Education: The institution's response to these changes. Imperative for Responsive Design and Drawing on a case study of the innova- Application," Jason Wingard and Christine tive online master of science in computer Farrugia describe the widening gap between science (OMS CS), which pioneered new the skills employers need in an increasingly levels of intentionality of learning design evolving workplace and those possessed by and significant increases in program scale, graduates, and the implications of this trend Harmon and Baker illustrate how that pro- for colleges and universities. The authors gram's success prompted Georgia Tech to cite "weak employer engagement by higher consider change much more broadly, and education" as the principal culprit, noting in ways similar to institutions adopting a that employers are often absent from cur-60YC approach. Rapid changes in technol- riculum development, as well as what is deogy, increasing life span, and shifting de- scribed as static curricula, in which courses mands for workplace skills all "combine to of study cannot flex or adapt to market put increasing pressure on models of higher changes and lack work-based or real-world education that have gone largely unchanged learning contexts (p. 105). In response, the for hundreds of years" (p. 75). These real- authors outline a framework of employer izations prompted Georgia Tech to convene engagement deployed at the Columbia a commission charged with recommending University School of Professional Studies, in how the institution will serve the learn- which employer perspectives are included ers of this future. Among myriad recom- in the classroom via a scholar-practitioner mendations, two major themes emerged— faculty model, industry input is embedded

"deliberate innovation," an internal set of processes designed to leverage new areas of exploration for the institution, and "lifetime education," a recognition of the context of today's learner, which drives institutional responses to education needs. The authors next describe the institution-specific initiatives resulting from these recommendations, as well as the emergence of a forecast model to help guide the institution through these initiatives.

of institutional progress undergirded by a narrative identity framework, notably tools designed to help learners explore career goals and trajectories, the establishment of flexible entry points and pathways toward a credential, and the creation of continuous learning opportunities for graduates.

In "The Role and Potential of University- Overall, The 6o-Year Curriculum provides a Based Executive Education and Professional comprehensive exploration of challenges Development Programs in the 60-Year faced by higher education, synthesizing Curriculum: A Case Example of an Intensive the confluence of increased human longev-Residential Program for Higher Education ity with massive technological advances, Leaders," James P. Honan describes key describing in both expansive and specific challenges and opportunities associated detail opportunities for institutional change. with effectively meeting the needs of learn- Through historical context and case study, ers in the later stages of the 60YC contin- the authors have compiled a thoughtful uum. By illustrating a range of intentional compilation of frameworks, models, and learning considerations, from curriculum next steps that will quickly become required and faculty development to a broad range of reading for faculty and postsecondary adpedagogical opportunities, Honan examines ministrators eager to help their institutions future considerations and insights that this pivot to these new realities. Although sevestablished program can contribute to the eral recent works call for a reconceptual-60YC movement. Among these are strate- ization of higher education (Craig, 2018; gic questions around optimizing learning Gavazzi & Gee, 2018 among them), this outcomes, leveraging technology-mediated work provides a broad, inclusive approach, teaching and learning, creating program including balancing content from a variety design in collaboration with executive of institutions, as well as a call for faculty as education stakeholders, and addressing the agents in this change, which is a welcomed challenges of scale such programs bring.

In "Implementing 60-Year Curriculum Two modest observations about what this Learning at the Harvard Division of work might have also included would Continuing Education," Huntington D. entail the role of the employer in the 60YC Lambert and Henry H. Leitner explore the movement and the depth of demographical context and trajectory of infrastructure variances in today's learners. Considering changes required to transition from "lecture employer perspectives, either via formal pedagogy and administration-oriented pro- outcomes (hiring, promotion, etc.) or inforcesses to online and hybrid pedagogies, and mally (the influence of a particular credenlearner- and faculty-centric processes" (p. tial), would have been a welcome addition 134). The authors recount unit-level pivots to this work. So too would have been some around educational technology, hybrid attention to the rise of noninstitutional online and residential learning experiences, (i.e., third party) credential providers, parfaculty-driven curriculum development, ticularly salient to the discussion of lifelong and learner-controlled, competency-based learning. Similarly, the increasingly varied credentials replete with interoperability undergraduate student body, separate from across an institution.

The concluding chapter by John Richards, "Assessment and Current State of the 60-Year Curriculum and Research Agenda for the Future," offers a distillation of the book's themes and implications and outlines two particular dimensions of research. Richards first calls for inquiry into how postsecondary education can pivot toward what he calls an "andragogical approach In conclusion, this work is a timely piece across the university," wherein learners are that smartly conceptualizes impending increasingly at the helm of their courses of urgent challenges to the ways humans study, and learning is dynamic and centered live and work, and that offers critically on transferrable competencies rather than examined solutions to the challenges and discrete skills (p. 154). The second research opportunities presented by longevity and dimension he suggests involves addressing advanced technology.

mizing the employability of the School's time of engagement with learners to meet a lifetime of careers, not a lifetime career.

opportunity.

adults seeking to return to school, often referred to as "Gen Z," is markedly different from the preceding generation (millennials) in how they approach and move through education, their interest in career development, their tolerance for risk and debt, and their plans for their own futures. It bears noting that higher education is entirely not ready for most of these new demands.



About the Reviewer

Amy Claire Heitzman, Ph.D., is deputy CEO and chief learning officer for UPCEA, the leading association for professional, continuing, and online education.

References

Craig, R. (2018). A new U: Faster + cheaper alternatives to college. BenBella Books. Gavazzi, S. M., & Gee, E. G. (2018). Land-grant universities for the future: Higher education

for the public good. Johns Hopkins University Press.