The Civic-Minded Graduate Construct in the Context of the Engaged University—A Case Study of a University From Slovakia

Alžbeta Brozmanová Gregorová and Zuzana Heinzová

Abstract

Our exploratory study analyzes the civic-mindedness of university graduates in an engaged university with emphasis on Central and Eastern Europe, particularly Slovakia. The research sample consisted of 452 graduates of the second level of university studies. To map and analyze civic-mindedness, we used the Civic-Minded Graduate Questionnaire (CMG; Steinberg et al., 2011). At the selected university, graduates scored the highest in skills and dispositions and the lowest in behavioral intentions. Furthermore, we found that those graduates who volunteered during their university studies had statistically significant greater development in the areas knowledge, skills, dispositions, and behavioral intentions, as well as in CMG scale overall, than those who did not participate in volunteering. Our study showed that the CMG concept is usable in countries with different contexts of the development of the university environment and the idea of citizenship and can help map the level of civic-mindedness among university graduates.

Keywords: engaged university, civic-mindedness, civic-minded graduate, Slovakia

link a university with the broader society ers, the students' opinions and interests, are not a novelty, community engagement and cross-fertilization between research in higher education is a new way of articu- and education and greater cooperation and lating and structuring how higher educa- internationalization. One of the manifestion interacts with the broader world. The tations of these changes in the university Commission's renewed agenda emphasizes environment is the emphasis on developthat higher education must play its part in ing the civic competencies of university facing up to Europe's social and democratic graduates or the formation of civic-minded challenges and should engage by integrat- graduates. One structure that connects with ing local, regional, and societal issues into this focus is the civic-mindedness construct. curricula, involving the local community Civic-mindedness is distinct from orientain teaching and research projects, provid- tions that emphasize oneself, family, or a ing adult learning, and communicating corporate or profit motive (Steinberg et al., and building links with local communities. 2011). The civic-minded graduate (CMG) As stated in the opinion of the European construct provides a set of common learn-Economic and Social Committee (2015) in ing objectives that can guide the design, Engaged Universities Shaping Europe, the de- implementation, and assessment of curvelopment of universities into knowledge ricular and cocurricular civic engagement hubs in society fuels discussions on the programs (Bringle, Hahn, & Hatcher, 2019).

niversity-community engage- essential characteristics of higher educament has emerged as a priority tion on which day-to-day practices must in the European Commission's be based. A common trend of these discus-(2017) renewed agenda for higher sions seems to be the opening-up of higher education. Although actions that education to public and private stakeholdcivic-mindedness of university graduates in global community to sustain social, ecologi-Central and Eastern Europe (CEE), particularly Slovakia.

University Social Responsibility and the Engaged University

The changes in today's society related to globalization and the growth of the knowledgeable society are reflected in the transformation of organizations and institutions, not excluding universities. As stated in the document Magna Charta Universitatum 2020 (Observatory Magna Charta Universitatum, 2020), the potential for higher education to be a positive agent of change and social transformation endures. Current changes require the global academic community to identify responsibilities and commitments vital to universities worldwide in the 21st century. Universities acknowledge that they are responsible for engaging with and responding to the aspirations and challenges of the world and the communities they serve to benefit humanity and contribute to sustainability.

These considerations of new roles and tasks university emphasizes that the university of universities are reflected in concepts such responds not only to changes in the higher as the third mission, social responsibility, education environment but also through public engagement, civic engagement, com- mutual engagement with different orgamunity engagement, social role/dimension, nizations at different geographical scales innovation, outreach, transfer, and transla- (Goddard & Vallance, 2013). As a common tion. There is no unambiguous agreement denominator of an engaged university, sevin defining individual concepts; it can be eral authors (for example, Bridger & Alter, stated that they are significantly contex- 2007; Holland, 2001; Jongbloed et al., 2008; tually defined. As Vasilescu et al. (2010) Nicotera et al., 2011) have emphasized the stated, social responsibility has become an need for reciprocity, respect, and responsiincreasingly important concept within the bility between the university and the com-European Union. According to the green munity. In this context, Bridger and Alter paper Promoting a European Framework for (2007) distinguished between development Corporate Social Responsibility (European of the community and development in the Commission, 2001), being socially respon- community. According to Holland (2001), sible means not only fulfilling legal expec- the work of the engaged campus is respontations but also going beyond compliance sive to (and respectful of) communityand investing more into human capital, the identified needs, opportunities, and goals in environment, and relations with stakehold- ways appropriate to the campus's mission ers. As stated by Wallace and Resch (2017), and academic strengths. This engagement university social responsibility (USR) itself is not one-way but based on mutually benis still at an early stage of development. The eficial relationships and considering comcritical importance of social responsibility in munity needs (Nicotera et al., 2011). A civic the case of universities stems from the fact university goes beyond teaching, academic that universities represent the centers of in- research, and knowledge. It engages actively telligence, knowledge, and creative activity with the public and the surrounding society and play a key role in society's scientific, at all levels. As stated by Jongbloed et al. cultural, social, and economic development. (2008), this approach makes community Chen et al. (2015) saw USR as the philosophy engagement challenging to separate from of a university to use an ethical approach traditional teaching and research activ-

Our exploratory study aims to analyze the to develop and engage with the local and an engaged university with an emphasis on cal, environmental, technical, and economic development. USR sees universities taking responsibility for the impacts of their decisions and activities on society and the environment through transparent and ethical strategies. They understand such practices should be promoted and encouraged among students and staff in a way that celebrates and promotes the values of justice, equity, participative democracy, social responsibility, and sustainability (Amorim et al., 2015).

> Although USR is a broader concept, activities connected with civic engagement are essential to a USR approach (Wallace & Resch, 2017). Holland (2001) defined the engaged university as an institution committed to direct interaction with external constituencies and communities through the mutually beneficial exchange, exploration, and application of knowledge, expertise, resources, and information. Bridger and Alter (2007) stated that the engaged university works in partnership with local people to facilitate a broad range of community interaction that fosters individual and social well-being. The perspective of an engaged

Engaged universities are primarily expected ingness, the individual must have specific to directly tackle community issues such as abilities for dealing with others: that is, civic poverty, inequality, or health problems and thus are more directly linked to the concepts of civic engagement and social responsibility (Watson et al., 2011).

Civic-Mindedness

value and employability in public policy in many countries, Kreber (2016) stated there is a parallel discourse that highlights not the economic but the social and, more importantly, the public purposes of higher education. The argument underlying this discourse is that higher education plays a crucial role in forming citizens (often conceptualized as global citizens) and, by extension, is a vehicle for creating a more democratic and fair society. In connection with this discourse, we are asking what we should consider a "good" or "ideal" university graduate and, by extension, "ideal professional practice" in society. The concept of civic-mindedness or the civic-minded graduate offers the answer.

Civic-mindedness is a multifaceted and multidimensional concept comprising cognitive, affective, and conative elements. According to Bringle et al. (2011), a civic-minded graduate is comprised of a set of knowledge outcomes (cognitive), dispositions (affective), skills, behavioral intentions, and behaviors. Weber and Weber (2010) presented three dimensions of civicmindedness. The first is self-efficacy to contribute time and service to the public good. The second dimension is civic participation, which can be defined as the desire to support the less fortunate by volunteering time and money to those in need. The third dimension in developing civic-mindedness is the role universities should play in this process. Kober (2003) named three criteria that characterize a civic-minded individual: a sense of belonging to a community (the emotional dimension of civic-mindedness), orientation to the common good (the normative aspect of civic-mindedness; as a normative idea, the common good is tied to values like justice and human dignity), and a willingness to work for the community (the practical dimension of civic-mindedness requires that individuals know how they can get involved and also that they are allowed strategic document in Slovakia before to participate). A willingness to get involved 2014 (Matulayová, 2013). Strategic docuis inherent in civic-mindedness. However, if ments also do not mention the "new mis-

ity—they cannot be put in a separate box. the community is to benefit from this willskills.

Research studies from the North American context (Billig & Good, 2013; Bringle, Hahn, & Hatcher, 2019; Bringle & Steinberg, 2010; Crandall et al., 2013; Palombaro et al., 2017; Pike et al., 2014; Steinberg et al., 2011) con-Despite the strong emphasis on economic nected with the concept of a civic-minded graduate are mostly focused on the impact of service-learning on students and graduates.

> In the European context, we can find a similar concept to civic-mindedness prepared by the Council of Europe (2016) called "competences for democratic culture." This concept provided a model for civic competencies for learners if they are to participate effectively in a culture of democracy and live in culturally diverse democratic societies. The framework consists of 20 competencies for learners focused on values, attitudes, skills, knowledge, and critical understanding and can also be used in designing programs in engaged universities.

Contexts of Engaged University and Civic-Mindedness in Central and Eastern Europe and Slovakia

Although the development of the concepts mentioned above can be considered highly relevant for higher education, the application of these concepts into practice and, subsequently, the development of a civicminded graduate is strongly determined by historical, economic, social, cultural, and political contexts (Aramburuzabala et al., 2019). It seems almost impossible to name common characteristics for European models in this area; we find differences between countries not only of Eastern and Western Europe but also between countries that, at first glance, share a common historical experience of communism and socialism. As several studies have shown (Coffé & Lippe, 2009; Vandor et al., 2017), the ideas of communism and socialism found different forms of application in these countries and took various political and economic structures and social paths of development after the fall of communism.

The term "third mission" or "third task" of universities does not appear in any sion" or civic engagement of universities. tended to dissolve civil society through a Universities tend to focus on industry and diversity of means: state control over any the private sector. Knowledge is considered type of association, including, for example, a commodity, education, and research ser- labor unions, women's associations, and vice. The Slovak Republic has adopted an even cheese clubs; complete control over approach that pushes the economic dimen- media; short and unattractive opening hours sion of higher education to the forefront. for restaurants, pubs, and any other place Academic capitalism is striking in all as- where people could meet and talk; state conpects of government policy affecting higher trol over citizens' time through mandatory. education, science, and research—from unpaid supplementary work (sometimes organization and funding to quality and called voluntary or patriotic) and through evaluation of outcomes for future school de- the obligation to participate in ritual party velopment. A current conceptual document meetings. Public space was perceived as the dealing with the development of higher room of lies, of the official fake reality, with education, which has been in force since subsequent deep consequences including a 2018, is the National Programme for the postcommunist lack of trust in any public Development of Education. This document activity (Voicu & Voicu, 2009). However, supporting quality and accessible education beyond the state's policy on values, a wide for Slovakia (Ministry of Education, Science, variety of unprescribed, practical solidarity Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic, grew among the population. These informal 2018) mentions, among other things, the types of civic-mindedness served mainly to implementation of tools to support the cope with the problems induced by the naimplementation of the third mission of tion's economy of scarcity, and they vanuniversities (p.51). However, this measure ished relatively quickly after the revolution. is not specified in any detail.

support for implementing the above concepts does not mean universities do not carry out any activities in this area. However, able to engender was mainly a matter of it is primarily a bottom-up process, implemented and led by active teachers, without drawing together with one's fellow citizens. systematic institutional support or strategic It originated more from small communiand long-term plans to build partnerships ties opposing the state than from forming with the community. It is not easy for "traditional" higher education institutions to lack of participative values, mistrust in detake on the role of a committed university mocracy and governments, less developed and to promote student participation in civic entrepreneurial values, self-responsibility, engagement and social responsibility. Many autonomy, and individual planning were of them are still not open to cooperation identified as the main discontinuities be– with public and nongovernmental organiza- tween Western capitalism and the Eastern tions in the region where they operate and European cultures (Voicu & Voicu, 2009). do not have sufficiently developed capacities to solve local, regional, or national chal- After the 1990s, national education policy lenges and problems.

experience with communism makes defi- nately not been a priority. Learning about nitions of citizenship in CEE particularly democracy, human rights, political parinteresting. In communism, citizens were ticipation, civic engagement, volunteering, not faced with choices; they were part of a social responsibility, and activism has been mass mobilization demanded by a totalitar- predominantly left to the not-for-profit ian regime that controlled most spheres of organizations' efforts, leaving public edulife and repressed all forms of autonomous cational institutions on the side (Culum Ilic nonstate activity. The tradition of civic ac- et al., 2021). It is therefore no surprise that tivism was forcibly interrupted in individual many EU reports as well as national studies totalitarian regimes, and the activities of all show that political literacy and civic parforms of independent organizations were ticipation in many CEE countries is much purposefully and systematically reduced or lower than in other European countries with subject to strict control. Communist rulers substantial democratic history (see, for ex-

Many people experienced the disappearance of this solidarity as a loss. That these par-The absence of conceptual and legislative ticular forms of civic-mindedness did not survive indicates that whatever solidarity a state-imposed collective orientation was joining forces against the state rather than bonds with other groups (Kober, 2003). The

frameworks that support students' civic engagement and civic-mindedness as part Coffé and Lippe (2009) stated that the of their (higher) education have unfortu2017, 2020).

In addition to participation, civic engagement development is determined by an understanding of citizenship and the citizen's active role in a society with its own specificities in Slovakia. Despite the limitations that the communist regime engendered in all areas of society, and in the field of education and civil society, most people in Slovakia today think that socialism led people to a more moral way of behavior and that people helped each other more; they showed more solidarity with each other and were closer (FOCUS Marketing and Social Research, 2018). According to Strečanský (2020), this favorable view is the result not We conducted our research at a selected only of nostalgic optimism and persistent university as part of the process of institustereotypes passed down from generation tionalizing a service-learning strategy. to generation, but also a lack of explanation in families, the media, and education about the objective reality of the communist regime. Distortion in people's thinking is also reflected in the perception of the role of the welfare state and solidarity, which also influence the perceived position of higher education in society. A significant part of the public in Slovakia still believes in the ability of the state to provide the achieved level of social security and thinks that the state should play an essential role concerning their living conditions. At the same time, many are skeptical of voluntary solidarity and the ability of private providers in the social system (Šimek & Gonda, 2020). In a representative survey of the FOCUS agency (2018), up to 69% of respondents stated that people are unwilling to help themselves in an emergency voluntarily, so the state must take care of them. The prevailing view is that volunteers would not be needed if the state fulfilled its responsibilities. In 1998, this opinion was held by 55% of respondents (Woleková, 2002), and in 2003, 74% (Bútorová, 2004). There is no shift in opinion in the young generation either. In a 2017 survey (Brozmanová Gregorová et al., 2018) conducted among young people aged 15 to 30, up to 54% of respondents agreed We used the Civic-Minded Graduate with this statement.

This context provides a framework for understanding how the CMG concept can be grasped in the university environment in Slovakia. As stated by Steinberg et al. (2011), the domains of the CMG are all rooted in an American understanding of civic learning. The degree to which the generalizability of the CMG model is appropriate or warrants

ample, European Union Open Data Portal, modification when considering educational systems in other countries will need to be conceptually and empirically evaluated. To contribute to the academic discussion and develop a better understanding of the specific aspects of CMG in Slovak conditions, we explore various issues in our empirical study to answer the following research questions:

- What is the level of CMG and its subscales for graduates of a selected Slovak university?
- Are there differences between graduates who have volunteered during their university studies and those who have not volunteered?

Methods

The research sample consisted of 452 graduates of master's studies in the 2018 academic year. A total of 6,951 students studied at the selected university that year. The research sample selection was random; the questionnaire was distributed to all graduates on the first dates of the final exams (N = 773). Its completion was anonymous and voluntary; by completing it, respondents agreed to participate in the research. The research sample was dominated by women (78.5%), and one respondent did not state their gender. Compared to the primary sample, 72.1% of women completed their studies at the university that year. Graduates of all colleges of the university were represented in the research; the percentage of individual colleges was as follows: education (n = 123; 27.2), humanities (*n* = 64; 14.2%), political science and international relations (n = 62; 13.7%), natural sciences (n = 52; 11.5%), economics (n = 115; 25.4%), law (n = 36; 8.0%). More than 50% of graduates from each college participated in the research, and their distribution within individual colleges copies the basic sample.

Questionnaire (Steinberg et al., 2011; Slovak translation Brozmanová & Heinzová, 2018) to map and analyze civic-mindedness. The construct of CMG consists of 10 domains clustered by knowledge, skills, dispositions, and behavioral intentions:

 Knowledge covers understanding ways to contribute to society; understanding how knowledge and

skills in at least one discipline are relevant to society's issues; and understanding of current events and the complexity of modern society's problems locally, nationally, or globally.

- Skills include the ability to communicate (written and oral) with others, listen to divergent points of view, understand the importance of, and work with, others from diverse backgrounds; also, appreciation of and sensitivity to diversity in a pluralistic society, ability to work with others, including those with diverse opinions, and work across differences to come to an agreement or solve a problem.
- Dispositions are about understanding the importance of serving others and being actively involved in communities to address social issues; having a desire to take personal action, with a realistic view that the action will produce the desired results; and feeling a sense of responsibility and commitment to using the knowledge gained in higher education to serve others.
- Behavioral intentions are described as a stated intention to be personally involved in community service in the future. (Steinberg et al., 2011)

The original questionnaire consists of 30 The total CMG score for graduates reached items, and the Slovak version of the questionnaire contained 28 items (two items rated the highest (3.90; SD = 1.02), and the were excluded from the Slovak version, behavioral intentions were the lowest (3.50; as they were semantically the same in the SD = 1.01). Slovak context), which are assessed on a 6-point Likert scale (1 = *strongly disagree* to 6 = *strongly agree*). The items are formulated so that the graduate always comments on whether studying at a particular university has helped him/her with the given knowledge, skills, or disposition. CMG administration takes approximately 7 to 10 minutes. Cronbach's alpha of the CMG scale was .96, indicating good internal consistency across items.

Our study has shown that the CMG concept the CMG questionnaire by means of ANOVA, is also usable in countries with different which confirmed the statistical significance contexts for developing the university en- of the differences between the colleges only vironment and the idea of citizenship. Of in the skills subscales. We verified the difcourse, we are aware of the limitations of ferences between the colleges through the our research related to using a hitherto non- least significant difference procedure, which standardized CMG tool. Therefore, we veri- showed that the statistical significance of

fied the presence of the so-called common method bias using this measurement tool, and we found that the data of our research sample do not skew the results in connection with the use of a nonstandardized questionnaire because the total deviation extracted using Harman's one-factor test is 42.7% and is lower than the recommended limit of 50% (Podsakoff et al., 2003).

To measure the involvement in volunteering, we asked, "During your studies, did you participate in volunteering (unpaid activities for the benefit of other people or nonprofit organizations outside your household that were not part of your studies or practice)?" It could include different types of volunteering involvement—one-time and longterm—but they were not part of their study duties. In the Slovak context this was an important explanation, because many people do not distinguish between volunteering and internship or practice education.

Based on descriptive indicators (coefficients of skewness and sharpness), we did not notice a significant deviation from the normal in the monitored variables of the CMG questionnaire, so we used parametric procedures in the statistical analysis.

Results

We approximate the variables of the CMG questionnaire using descriptive characteristics in Table 1.

an average of 3.73. The skills subscale was

According to the results in Table 2, we can observe that in all subscales of the CMG, as well as in the CMG scale overall, the highest score was achieved in the College of Political Science and International Relations, whereas the lowest score in most CMG indicators was achieved in the College of Law. The last place in the dispositions and behavioral intentions subscales is shared with the College of Humanities. We verified the differences between the colleges in the indicators of

	М	SD	Median	Skewness	Kurtosis	Minimum	Maximum
Knowledge	3.69	.91	3.78	11	31	1.3	6
Skills	3.90	1.02	4.06	40	47	1.2	6
Dispositions	3.84	1.00	3.89	30	51	1.1	6
Behavioral intentions	3.50	1.01	3.67	.02	42	1.0	6
CMG scale overall	3.73	.89	3.78	21	36	1.5	6

Table 1. Descriptive Indicators of the CMG Questionnaire and Its Subscales for Graduates (N = 452)

Table 2. Basic Descriptive Indicators of CMG by College

	CMG scale overall	Knowledges	Skills	Dispositions	Behavioral intentions
	М	Μ	М	м	М
College	SD	SD	SD	SD	SD
Education (n = 123)	3.82	3.77	3.97	3.96	3.57
	.99	.96	1.12	1.08	1.13
Humanities (n = 64)	3.64	3.65	3.84	3.64	3.43
	1.00	1.02	1.08	1.12	1.08
Political science (n = 62)	3.92	3.84	4.14	3.97	3.75
	.86	.97	1.06	.94	1.03
Natural sciences (n = 52)	3.62	3.51	3.76	3.77	3.45
	.89	.91	1.05	.98	1.00
Economics (n = 115)	3.71	3.72	3.92	3.84	3.38
. ,	.75	.77	.84	.92	.84
Law (<i>n</i> = 36)	3.51	3.43	3.47	3.73	3.43
	.77	.80	.87	.90	.85

College of Political Science and International Table 5, we present the percentage of gradu-Law. Specifically, it is a subscale of B.2. shows the results of the statistical compariwell as the ability of graduates to work with that was not. it and be sensitive to diversity. Results of statistical testing with the least significant According to the results of the statistical difference procedure are presented in Table verification of differences between gradu-3 and Table 4.

our research was whether the volunteer significant difference in all subscales as well experience of graduates is related to their as in the CMG scale overall, with a modercivic-mindedness. We divided the research ate to strong material significance. All the sample into two groups—those who had differences were in favor of those who were volunteer experience during their studies (*n* involved in volunteering.

differences in the score achieved in the = 60) and those who did not have such expeskills subscale is between graduates of the rience (n = 312). The remaining 80 graduates College of Law and the College of Education, answered the question "I don't know." In Relations, and College of Economics to the ates' involvement in volunteering according detriment of graduates of the College of to their affiliation with the colleges. Table 6 Skills: Diversity, which maps the under- son using a *t*-test between the group that standing of the importance of diversity, as was involved in volunteering and the group

ates who were involved in volunteering activities during their university studies and The second hypothesis that we verified with those who were not, there is a statistically

-						
	М	SD		М	SD	LSD
Law (<i>n</i> = 36)	3.47	.87	Education (<i>n</i> = 123)	3.97	1.12	50*
			Political Science (<i>n</i> = 62)	4.14	1.06	67*
			Economics (<i>n</i> = 115)	3.92	.84	45*

Table 3. Differences in the Skills Subscale Between Different Graduates

*p < .05.

Table 4. Differences in the Subscale B.2. Skills:Diversity Between Different Graduates

	М	SD		М	SD	LSD
Law (<i>n</i> = 36)	3.24	.92	Education (<i>n</i> = 123)	3.88	1.14	64*
			Political Science (<i>n</i> = 62)	3.96	1.13	72*
			Economics (<i>n</i> = 115)	3.81	.91	57*

*p < .05.

Table 5. Involvement of Graduates in VolunteeringDuring Their Studies by College

College	n	%	Total graduates
Education	24	19.5	123
Humanities	7	10.9	64
Political Science and International Relations	5	8.0	62
Natural Sciences	3	5.8	52
Economics	18	15.6	115
Law	3	8.3	36
Whole university	60	13.3	452

Table 6. Difference in CMG According to Graduates' Involvement in Volunteering

No 3.55 $.87$ Skills Yes 4.36 1.04 3.803 No 3.79 1.03 3.803 Dispositions Yes 4.30 1.07 3.873 Behavioral intentions Yes 4.06 1.07 4.681		Volunteering	Mean	SD	t	d-index	
No 3.55 .87 Skills Yes 4.36 1.04 3.803 No 3.79 1.03 3.803 3.803 3.803 Dispositions Yes 4.30 1.07 3.873 <th< td=""><td></td><td>Yes</td><td>4.29</td><td>1.03</td><td></td><td colspan="2" rowspan="2">.83*</td></th<>		Yes	4.29	1.03		.83*	
Skills No 3.79 1.03 3.803 Dispositions Yes 4.30 1.07 3.873 Dispositions Yes 4.06 1.07 3.873 Behavioral intentions Yes 4.06 1.07 4.681	Knowledge	No	3.55	.87	5.196		
No 3.79 1.03 Dispositions Yes 4.30 1.07 3.873 No 3.73 .98 3.873 3.873 Behavioral intentions Yes 4.06 1.07 4.681	Skills	Yes	4.36	1.04	2 802	E 4*	
Dispositions No 3.73 .98 3.873 Behavioral intentions Yes 4.06 1.07 4.681		No	3.79	1.03	3.803	.54*	
No 3.73 .98 Behavioral intentions Yes 4.06 1.07 Voc 4.35 1.00	Dispositions	Yes	4.30	1.07	2.072	.58*	
Behavioral 4.681 intentions No 3.36 .99		No	3.73	.98	3.873	06.	
Intentions No 3.36 .99 Yoo 4.25 1.00	vioral	Yes	4.06	1.07	4 691	71*	
Yes 4.25 1.00	intentions	No	3.36	.99	4.081	.71*	
	CMG scale overall	Yes	4.25	1.00	4 660	.73*	
overall No 3.61 .87		No	3.61	.87	4.000	.73"	

Discussion

CMG mapping at the selected university showed that graduates achieved a CMG scale overall (3.73; SD = .89) for the entire university. We can compare these data with studies carried out in the American context. In the first study by Bringle, Hahn, and Hatcher (2019) the overall CMG score for students (N = 180) averaged 4.32 (SD = 1.03), whereas, in the second study (N = 250), the average score was 4.15 (SD = 0.92). The measured values in the overall scale are a few points higher than in our case. Graduates scored the highest at the mapped Slovak university in skills (3.90; SD = 1.02) and dispositions (3.84; SD = 1.0) and the lowest in behavioral intentions (3.50; SD = 1.01). We can therefore state that during their studies, they further developed the dimensions of civic-mindedness related to communication and listening, diversity, consensus-building, valuing community engagement, self-efficacy, and social trustee of knowledge.

Within the colleges, the college focused on political science and international relations scored the highest on the CMG overall scale; the highest score achieved at this college was in the subscale skills (4.14; SD = 1.06). It can be stated that the result reflects the specifics of preparation in study programs at this college, which prepares students to work in an international environment full of diversity, where communication skills are essential, as is the ability to work with different people in different settings.

The lowest score on the CMG scale overall was achieved by the College of Law; at the same time, the graduates of the College of Law have a statistically significant lower development of understanding of the importance of and the ability to work with others from diverse backgrounds and appreciation of and sensitivity to diversity in a pluralistic society, in contrast to graduates from the Colleges of Education, Political Science and International Relations, and Economics.

In verifying the relationship between the involvement of graduates in volunteering during their university studies and the results in the CMG, we found that those graduates who participated in volunteering during their university studies have statistically significant greater development in knowledge, skills, dispositions, and behavioral intentions, as well as in CMG scale On the one hand, we can see that the develoverall, than those who did not volunteer. opment of the concept of a committed uni-Our findings are comparable to the findings versity and the emphasis on the formation

of other authors, especially those that do not focus only on verifying the development of the concept of civic-mindedness using the service-learning strategy. Fenzel and Peyrot's (2005) alumni study showed that participation in cocurricular service was positively related with alumni attitudes toward social and personal responsibility as well as alumni involvement in postcollege community service. Bowman et al. (2015) found that participation in ethnic group organizations on campus, which often involves service, was positively associated with civic engagement 6 years later. Vogelgesang and Astin (2000) using data from more than 22,000 students, found that students participating in service only (not connected to a course but assuming some informal reflection was involved) showed learning gains in civic outcomes similar to those who had course-based service-learning when compared with students who did not participate in service at all. However, those who volunteer during college are more likely to continue to do so after graduation than those who do not. Richard et al. (2016) showed the development of professional orientations that integrate civic identity, and work was associated with current civic action. Concerning the nature of the service activities, Bowman's (2011) meta-analysis found that face-to-face interactions with diverse groups resulted in favorable and significant effects on civic attitudes, behavioral intentions, and behaviors compared to classroom-based educational experiences. Similarly, Levine's (2003, in Bringle, Brown, et al., 2019) research found that simply involving students in community-service activities was insufficient for developing civic learning and skills.

As stated by Bringle et al. (2011), the developmental model for the CMG is grounded in the expectation that civic-mindedness can be represented as the integration of (1) the self with both (2) civic activities and (3) student activities. The degree of overlapping of this dimension is indicative of the degree of integration. From the perspective of this model, the task of college and staff is to design and refine interventions that will lead to increasing the intersection of the three dimensions—in other words, to result in greater integration.

Conclusion

is obvious in the European context. On the different possibilities for using CMG, which other hand, the actual application practice in also apply in the Slovak context. different countries can be very different. The relationship between higher education and society can be seriously challenged when a country, like Slovakia, at the national level does not support this relationship intentionally and when citizens have no consensual understanding of what constitutes civic and active citizenship in the democratic context. We agree with Thomson et al. (2010) that different political systems call for other citizenship skills. The design of pedagogies to develop these skills will also need to be tailored to the particular political and social context. Nevertheless, some fundamental values (e.g., reciprocity, mutual benefit, democratic processes, and community voice) may transcend geographical, historical, political, and economic boundaries.

Although the CMG was developed within the (2015) reported, no amount of learning and context of community service-learning pro- thinking about democracy and no amount grams, its implications apply to programs at of activity (e.g., community service) in other institutions of higher education that communities will result in the developintend to contribute to civic growth (Bringle ment of democratic civic skills and civic et al., 2011). CMG is a broader conceptual- identity without democratic partnerships. ization because it includes how educational Boyle-Baise (2002) also pointed out that activities inform and contribute to personal a charitable task will probably not generand civic growth and how education can ate insights for social change. Partnerships provide individuals with a focused sense between students and community members of civic direction and purpose. CMG can be that contain democratic qualities are critical considered as a preferable superordinate and necessary for the full development of construct for civic-engagement outcomes civic lessons about democratic processes and encompassing specific knowledge, skills, for cognitive learning to be clarified (Bringle dispositions, and behavioral intentions in et al., 2015). the civic domain (Bringle, Hahn, & Hatcher,

of civic-mindedness or civic competencies 2019). Bringle and Wall (2020) presented

How to encourage civic growth in students, including those for whom the civic domain is underdeveloped or has little or no integration into their identity, presents an essential educational challenge (Bringle & Wall, 2020). However, the fact that civicmindedness needs to be intentionally developed is not yet sufficiently discussed in the Slovak university environment. Although most universities state in their strategic intentions, among other things, the formation of a community of responsible graduates, many institutions seem to assume that this intention will bear fruit in the university environment somehow automatically, without intentional action. Involvement in volunteering, as evidenced by our results, may be one way, but as Bringle et al.

Funding

The project described was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of Slovak Republic as a part of the project KEGA 039UMB-4/2021.

About the Authors

Alžbeta Brozmanová Gregorová is an associate professor at the Social Work Department of the Faculty of Education at Matej Bel University in Banská Bystrica, Slovakia. She focuses primarily on volunteering, service-learning, the NGO sector, and participatory approaches in social work. She has been actively working in advocacy and development of volunteering and servicelearning since 2002 at the national and European level. She also acts as a trainer, a facilitator, and a supervisor.

Zuzana Heinzová is an assistant professor and director at the Department of Psychology of the Faculty of Education at Matej Bel University in Banská Bystrica, Slovakia. Her research is focused on social and personal responsibility, trait emotional intelligence, emotion regulation, and flexibility.

References

- Amorim, J. P., Arenas, B., Burgos, D., Borcos, A. F., Carrasco, A., deCarvalho, L. X., Coimbra, J. L., Dima, G., Don, R. M., Freires, T., Loja, E., Martin, B., Menezes, I., Negaides, A., López, M. d. C. O., Robles, J. M., & Rodrigues, A. (2015). University social responsibility: A common European reference framework. http://www.eu-usr.eu/?p=607
- Aramburuzabala, P., McIlrath, L., & Opazo, H. (Eds.). (2019). Embedding service learning in European higher education. Routledge.
- Billig, S. H., & Good, B. M. (2013). International Baccalaureate Diploma Programme: Study of North and South American students' civic-mindedness, Phase II. RMC Research Corporation. https://ibo.org/globalassets/new-structure/research/pdfs/civicmindednesssummaryfinal_000.pdf
- Bowman, N. A. (2011). Promoting participation in a diverse democracy: A meta-analysis of college diversity experiences and civic engagement. *Review of Educational Research*, 81(1), 29–68. http://www.jstor.org/stable/23014287
- Bowman, N. A., Park, J. J., & Denson, N. (2015). Student involvement in ethnic student organizations: Examining civic outcomes 6 years after graduation. *Research in Higher Education*, *56*, 127–145. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162–014–9353–8
- Boyle-Baise, M. (2002). Multicultural service learning: Educating teachers in diverse communities. Teachers College Press.
- Bridger, J. C., & Alter, T. R. (2007). The engaged university, community development, and public scholarship. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 11(1), 163–178. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/585/585
- Bringle, R. G., Brown, L., Hahn, T. W., & Studer, M. (2019). Pedagogies and civic programs to develop competencies for democratic culture and civic learning outcomes. *Bordon: Revista de Pedagogia*, 71(3), 27–43. https://doi.org/10.13042/Bordon.2019.72003
- Bringle, R., Clayton, P., & Bringle, K. (2015). From teaching democratic thinking to developing democratic civic identity. Partnerships: A Journal of Service-Learning & Civic Engagement, 6(1), 1–26. https://libjournal.uncg.edu/prt/article/view/1021
- Bringle, R. G., Hahn, T. W., & Hatcher, J. A. (2019). Civic-minded graduate: Additional evidence II. International Journal of Research on Service-Learning and Community Engagement, 7(1), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.37333/001c.11481
- Bringle, R. G., & Steinberg, K. S. (2010). Educating for informed community involvement. American Journal of Community Psychology, 46(3–4), 428–441. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10464-010-9340-y
- Bringle, R. G., Studer, M. H., Wilson, J., Clayton, P. H., & Steinberg, K. (2011). Designing programs with a purpose: To promote civic engagement for life. *Journal of Academic Ethics*, 9(2), 149–164. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-011-9135-2
- Bringle, R. G., & Wall, E. (2020). Civic-minded graduate: Additional evidence. Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning, 26(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3998/mjcsloa.3239521.0026.101
- Brozmanová Gregorová, A., Šolcová, J., & Siekelová, M. (2018). Dobrovoľníctvo mládeže na Slovensku—aktuálny stav a trendy. Platforma dobrovoľníckych centier a organizácií.
- Bútorová, Z. (2004). Mimovládne organizácie a dobročinnosť vo svetle verejnej mienky. In J. Majchrák, B. Strečanský, & M. Bútora (Eds.), *Keď ľahostajnosť nie je odpoveď* (pp. 101–150). Inštitút pre verejné otázky.
- Chen, S.-H., Nasongkhla, J., & Donaldson, S. (2015). University social responsibility (USR): Identifying an ethical foundation within higher education institutions. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 14(4), 165–172. http://www.tojet.net/articles/v14i4/14416.pdf
- Coffé, H., & Lippe, T. (2009). Citizenship norms in Eastern Europe. Social Indicators Research, 96, 479–496. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-009-9488-8
- Council of Europe. (2016). Competences for democratic culture: Living together as equals in culturally diverse democratic societies. Council of Europe Publishing.

- Crandall, C. E., Wiegand, M. R., & Brosky, J. A., Jr. (2013). Examining the role of servicelearning on development of professionalism in doctor of physical therapy students: A case report. *Journal of Applied Health*, 42(1), e25–e32. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/23471290/
- Culum Ilic, B., Brozmanová Gregorová, A., & Rusu, A. S. (2021). Service-learning as a novelty experience at Central and Eastern European Universities: Students' narratives of satisfaction and premises of change. *Frontiers in Education*, 6, Article 606356. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.606356
- European Commission. (2001). Promoting a European framework for corporate social responsibility: Green paper. https://ec.europa.eu/transparency/regdoc/rep/1/2001/EN/1-2001-366-EN-1-0.Pdf
- European Commission. (2017). Communication (COM(2017) 247 final) on a renewed EU agenda for higher education. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52017DC0247
- European Economic and Social Committee. (2015). Engaged universities shaping Europe. https://www.eesc.europa.eu/en/our-work/opinions-information-reports/opinions/ engaged-universities-shaping-europe
- European Union Open Data Portal. (2017). *Standard eurobarometer* 86. Directorate General for Communication. https://data.europa.eu
- European Union Open Data Portal. (2020). Flash eurobarometer 455: European youth. Directorate General for Communication. https://data.europa.eu
- Fenzel, L. M., & Peyrot, M. (2005). Comparing college community participation and future service behaviors and attitudes. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 12(1), 23–31. http://hdl.handle.net/2027/sp0.3239521.0012.102
- FOCUS Marketing and Social Research. (2018). Prieskum verejnej mienky zameraný na vnímanie socializmu: Záverečná správa z kvantitatívneho prieskumu verejnej mienky. https:// konzervativizmus.sk/prieskum-verejnej-mienky-zamerany-na-vnimanie-socializmu/
- Goddard, J., & Vallance, P. (2013). *The university and the city*. Routledge.
- Holland, B. A. (2001). Toward a definition and characterization of the engaged campus: Six cases. *Metropolitan Universities*, 12(3), 20–29. https://journals.iupui.edu/index. php/muj/article/view/19903
- Jongbloed, B., Enders, J., & Salerno, C. (2008). Higher education and its communities: Interconnections, interdependencies and a research agenda. *Higher Education*, 56(3), 303–324. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734–008–9128–2
- Kober, U. (2003). *Civic-mindedness: Participation in modern society*. Bertelsmann Foundation Publishers.
- Kreber, C. (2016). Educating for civic-mindedness: Nurturing authentic professional identities through transformative higher education. Routledge. https://doi. org/10.4324/9781315559872
- Matulayová, T. (2013). The context of changes in Slovak tertiary schools providing education in social work. In T. Matulayová & L. Musil (Eds.), Social work, education and postmodernity: Theory and studies in selected Czech, Slovak and Polish issues (pp. 111–122). Technical University of Liberec.
- Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic (2018). Národný program rozvoja výchovy a vzdelávania. [National Program of Education Development]. https://www.minedu.sk/data/att/13450.pdf
- Nicotera, N., Cutforth, N., Fretz, E., & Thompson, S. S. (2011). Dedication to community engagement: A higher education conundrum? *Journal of Community Engagement and Scholarship*, 4(1), 37–49. https://jces.ua.edu/articles/10.54656/SPEA6513
- Observatory Magna Charta Universitatum. (2020). *Magna charta universitatum 2020*. https://www.magna-charta.org/magna-charta-universitatum/mcu2020
- Palombaro, K. M., Black, J. D., Dole, R. L., Pierce, J. L., Santiago, M. R., & Sabara, E. J. (2017). Assessing the development of civic mindedness in a cohort of physical therapy

students. Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 17(4), 31–43. https://doi.org/10.14434/josotl.v17i4.21763

- Pike, G. R., Bringle, R. G., & Hatcher, J. A. (2014). Assessing civic engagement at Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis. New Directions for Institutional Research, 2014(162), 87–97. https://doi.org/10.1002/ir.20081
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioural research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879–903. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021– 9010.88.5.879
- Richard, D., Keen, C., Hatcher, J. A., & Pease, H. A. (2016). Pathways to adult civic engagement: Benefits of reflection and dialogue across difference in higher education service-learning programs. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 23(1), 60–74. https://doi.org/10.3998/mjcsloa.3239521.0023.105
- Steinberg, K. S., Hatcher, J. A., & Bringle, R. G. (2011). Civic–minded graduate: A north star. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 18(1), 19–33. http://hdl.handle. net/2027/spo.3239521.0018.102
- Strečanský, B. (2020). Občianska spoločnosť. In P. Gonda (Ed.), Sociálny štát: Realita namiesto mýtov (pp. 93–100). Konzervatívny inštitút Milana Rastislava Štefánika.
- Šimek, J. & Gonda, P. (2020). Sociálny štát a sociálne zabezpečenie. In P. Gonda (Ed.), Sociálny štát: Realita namiesto mýtov (pp. 47–61). Konzervatívny inštitút Milana Rastislava Štefánika.
- Thomson, A. R., Smith–Tolken, A. R., Naidoo, A. V., & Bringle, R. G. (2010). Service learning and community engagement: A comparison of three national contexts. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 22(2), 214–237. https:// hdl.handle.net/1805/4594
- Vandor, P., Traxler, N., Millner, R., & Meyer, M. (Eds.). (2017). Civil society in Central and Eastern Europe: Challenges and opportunities. Erste Foundation.
- Vasilescu, R., Barna, C., Epure, M., & Baicu, C. (2010). Developing university social responsibility: A model for the challenges of the new civil society. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2(2), 4177–4182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.03.660
- Voicu, B., & Voicu, M. (2009). Volunteers and volunteering in Central and Eastern Europe. Sociológia, 41(6), 539–563. https://www.sav.sk/journals/uploads/07211230Voicu2%20 AF%200K.pdf
- Vogelgesang, L. J., & Astin, A. W. (2000). Comparing the effects of community service and service-learning. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 7(1), 25–34. http:// hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.3239521.0007.103
- Wallace, M., & Resch, K. (Eds.). (2017). Guidelines for universities engaging in social responsibility. University Meets Social Responsibility (UNIBILITY)—2015–2017. https://www.iau-esd.net/sites/default/files/documents/io8_guidelines_final_version_2017-09-12_print.pdf
- Watson, D., Hollister, R., Stroud, S. E., & Babcock, E. (2011). The engaged university: International perspectives on civic engagement. Routledge.
- Weber, J. E., & Weber, P. S. (2010). An empirical analysis of the impact of service learning on civic mindedness. *Journal of Business, Society and Government*, 2(2), 79–94.
- Woleková, H. (2002). Dobrovoľníctvo vo svetle výskumu. SPACE.