# Community Engagement on the Mexico–U.S. Border: Nepantla Identity as Justice-Oriented Citizenship

Naomi Fertman and Sarah De Los Santos Upton

## Abstract

Previous research has highlighted best practices for community engagement, problematized server/served approaches to communities, and identified both barriers and benefits for students engaged in this coursework. What is lacking, however, is a deeper examination of students who participate in community engagement in their own home communities. The purpose of our study is to better understand the impact and outcomes of community-engaged coursework through the lens of our students' intersectional identities. We argue that their unique social positions as both students and community members on the Mexico-U.S. border offer a window into understanding how students may participate in community-engaged coursework differently when they are members of the communities they are engaging with.

Keywords: Mexico/U.S. border, nepantla identity, community engagement pedagogy, justice-oriented citizenship, Hispanic Serving Institution

ability to apply course concepts to gain a studies indicate that barriers to successdeeper understanding of complex social fully completing community-engaged issues (Novak et al., 2007). Much of the coursework include time, money, family existing literature focuses on identifying obligations, anxiety, fear of being unpreand disseminating best practices (Evans, pared, procrastination, and workload (Burke 2018; Núñez & Gonzalez, 2018). Critiques & Bush, 2012; Gillis & Mac Lellan, 2010). surrounding community engagement often center on the ethics of sending students from privileged backgrounds into underprivileged communities they are not a part of (Risch, 2012). Eby (1998) argued that the dark underbelly of community engagement is that the institution and the coursework time, finances, or job future" (p. 482). are centralized in the experience, frequently at the expense of the community that is If measures are taken to alleviate some of being served. This thinking and the harmful the barriers discussed above, communityimpacts it engenders are reinforced when engaged learning has many benefits, includstudents come from privileged communities ing the potential to impact students' lives in to complete service experiences with people significant ways. In a 13-year longitudinal from marginalized identity groups, often study, Bowman et al. (2010) found that creating a server/served binary that positions community-engaged learning continued to university students as privileged servers and have a positive impact on students' wellcommunity members as underprivileged being after graduation and into adulthood recipients (Dacheux, 2005; Henry, 2005).

nterdisciplinary scholarship has The critiques above demonstrate how touted the benefits of community community-engaged learning can be probengagement, such as an improved lematic for community partners if it is not understanding of course material, approached with care, and the same can be the development of skills, and the said for the student experience. Previous Butin (2006) argued that most approaches to community-engaged learning assume that students are enrolled full-time, single, free of debt, and childless, when the reality is that it "may be a luxury that many students cannot afford, whether in terms of

in the form of personal growth, life purpose,

tion. Previous research has demonstrated borderlands are unique, in-between spaces, that a student's intersectional identities and there is much to be learned from living impact the ways they may perceive said in the in-between and navigating these benefits and barriers to their community spaces on a daily basis. For example, people engagement experiences. Female students living in the borderlands often develop have been noted to be more highly impacted what Anzaldúa calls a "tolerance for amby community engagement and perceive biguity," which stems from their continual fewer barriers to engagement than their navigation and negotiation of borders, bimale counterparts (Xavier & Jones, 2021). naries, and boundaries. To make sense of The development of empathy, as an out- this experience, she offered the concept come of community-engaged coursework, of nepantla, a framework for understandhas been noted as one such benefit (Wilson, ing the borderlands where "identities are 2011). Our own practice as educators has laid questioned, broken down, and rebuilt" (De the foundation of our understanding of the Los Santos Upton, 2019, p. 136). Anzaldúa potential of community engagement for our (2015) ultimately argued that nepantleras, students, and we have been guided by the or those who live in a state of nepantla, are idea that community engagement "provides uniquely positioned to engage in activism a platform that will empower students to because of their abilities to think beyond gain self-awareness, radical empathy, binaries and build alliances across multiple, and compassion, and learn strategies to intersectional movements and identities. We identify solutions to social injustice issues therefore argue that nepantleras are uniquely through critical reflection, advocacy, and positioned for community engagement. action" (Reddix, 2020, p. 8). Communityengaged learning also has the power to Previous studies have highlighted best be transformative in nature. Westheimer practices for community engagement. and Kahne (2004) explained that educa- problematized server/served approaches tion programs have the potential to create to communities, and identified both barthree different types of citizens, (1) person- riers and benefits for students engaged in ally responsible, (2) participatory, and (3) this coursework. In addition, much of the justice-oriented. Weiner (2015) explained research in the field focuses on "real or that from a community-engaged learning imagined situations in which students are perspective, personally responsible citizens visitors to either the campus community or operate from a charity model that encour- to the site where they offer service" (Risch, ages students to take individual action and 2012, p. 210). We argue that there is a need improve moral character through volun- for deeper examination of students who parteerism without connecting their service ticipate in community engagement in their to course content or engaging in reflection own home communities. In her research afterward. Participatory citizens participate on community engagement at UTEP, Risch within existing systems and community (2012) explained that because students are programs as part of coursework. Finally, most often members of the El Paso/Juárez justice-oriented citizens "attempt to ad- region, they are using their "knowledge in dress social inequalities through service- order to make effective and long-lasting learning" (Weiner, 2015, p. 328), reflecting change in their families, neighborhoods, on power and privilege and questioning city, community, and region-regardless of what they can do to change oppressive sys- whether the boundaries of those institutions tems. Westheimer and Kahne (2004) offered match up with those of one nation state or the following example to contextualize these culture" (p. 202). three types of citizens: "If participatory citizens are organizing the food drive and personally responsible citizens are donating food, justice-oriented citizens are asking why people are hungry and acting on what they discover" (p. 242)

gagement in our study all had one key tity, emotional investment, and responsibilcomponent in common: All were residents ity heavily influenced their research process, of El Paso, Texas and/or Ciudad Juárez, as well as a deep mutual love: "I think as Chihuahua, cities located on the Mexico- researchers we engage in community-based

environmental mastery, and life satisfac- U.S. border. As Anzaldúa (2007) explained,

The purpose of our study is to better understand the impact and outcomes of community-engaged coursework through the lens of our students' intersectional identities. Anderson and Cidro (2020) found that for Indigenous women performing community-Those students accessing community en- based participatory health research, idenwork both because we love our communities, Community engagement at UTEP is supand because they love us" (p. 3). What could ported university-wide by its Center for this identification, emotional investment, Community Engagement (CCE). The center responsibility, and mutual love look like for has been pivotal in implementing best undergraduate students doing community- practices in community engagement across engaged coursework in their own home the university and has been recognized for communities? As both students and community members on the Mexico–U.S. border, we argue that their unique social positions offer a window into understanding how students may participate in communityengaged coursework differently when they are members of the communities they are engaging with. By understanding the ways that different students are impacted, faculty will have the potential to develop more pedagogically sound community-engaged courses so that all students feel competent in registering for and completing courses utilizing this evidence-based best practice.

The University of Texas at El Paso (UTEP) is an R1 institution (top-tier public research institution as classified by the Carnegie Foundation) located 1.5 miles from the U.S.–Mexico border in the city of El Paso, Texas, directly across the Rio Grande from Ciudad Juárez, Chihuahua. El Paso has a population of 884,432 residents, 19.3% of Both researchers in this project are faculty in whom live in poverty. The median household income in the city is \$55,919 (United States Census Bureau, n.d.). There are and working in the community for 15 years, 23,880 students enrolled at UTEP (under- and Sarah is a third-generation Chicana graduate through doctoral-level studies), who was born and raised in El Paso and at-48% of whom self-identify as first-generation college students. UTEP is a commuter campus with limited on-campus implementing community engagement in housing and most students living at home with family. The university is classified as a Hispanic Serving Institution (HSI), with 83% of the student body identifying as Hispanic, Latinx, or Chicanx. UTEP is an open access institution, meaning that all students who apply as undergraduates are accepted into the university. UTEP has dedicated itself to practices of inclusive excellence, and prides itself on its open access policy within all undergraduate programs. This practice is a demonstration of the school's clear commitment to social mobilization for its student population. In fact, UTEP has been ranked first in the United States for achieving both competitive research and student social mobility, and this focus on social mobility has helped of accessing community-engaged learngraduates move from family incomes in the ing, but also to understand the impact of bottom 20% to the top 20% (University of community-engaged learning with our Texas at El Paso, n.d.).

its excellence by receiving the Carnegie **Classification for Community Engagement** in both 2010 and 2020. Only 368 campuses across the country have received this classification; of those campuses receiving the classification, 89 are minority serving institutions and 53 of those are classified as Hispanic Serving Institutions (American Council on Education, 2024). The center plays a pivotal role in uplifting and supporting students' dual roles as both students and community members. The center uses the language of community engagement rather than service-learning to highlight the mutually beneficial outcomes of student involvement in community. The term "service-learning" implies that students will learn from the communities they are working in, whereas "community engagement" allows for mutually beneficial growth from all parties involved in working and learning together.

the College of Liberal Arts. Naomi is a transplant to El Paso/Juárez and has been living tended UTEP as an undergraduate. Together, we have significant combined experience in our undergraduate courses and in performing community-engaged research at both the undergraduate and graduate level. We have witnessed student success and failure within community-engaged courses that we have taught. Both successes and failures can be attributed to class pedagogy (good and bad), student barriers (again both restrictive and inspiring), instructor errors and moments of ingenuity, and relationships with community partners. Over the years we have questioned why things are so wonderful when they are wonderful (when student learning far exceeds our original expectations) and why things are so challenging when course goals and student learning fall short. This project aims not only to gain a clearer understanding of the challenges unique student population with the inhercommunity-engaged learning implementa- roles as caretakers with their families, fition in future courses across our university nancial aid eligibility, and whether they and other HSIs.

The long-term goal of this study is to maximize the impact of community engagement for all students. We argue, along with Risch (2012) and Garcia-Guevara and Vivoni (2023), that structural adaptations are needed to make community engagement accessible for all students. To realize this goal, we sought to best understand students' expectations and experiences, students' hopes and fears, and the benefits and barriers that students face when entering into of students responding to and discussand completing our community-engaged ing nine prompts that focused on students courses. We also needed to understand how understanding the challenges they encounstudents' unique intersectional identities tered, the ways that engagement expanded shaped those markers and subsequently their academic understanding, and the ways shaped their overall experience with com- that engagement helped them to develop munity engagement.

#### Methodology

Our initial research implementation was with the community-engaged face-toface courses that we were teaching, which included two sections of Introduction to Women's Studies and one section of Chicana Identity Formation. These courses attract students majoring and minoring in Women's and Gender Studies, Chicano Studies, and Communication Studies. We used a grounded theory (Charmaz, 2006) These courses also fulfill elective credits framework to analyze the data generated for students in other majors and minors, from our pre and post survey tools and faincluding some non-majors and minors. cilitated reflection session. We began with The data presented in this essay addresses line-by-line coding to identify themes that our initial understanding of the impact of emerged within and across the courses, community engagement on students by then collapsed our codes into categories helping us to distinguish between positive and subcategories, which we labeled with and negative outcomes and student learn- the words of our participants to ensure ings. As educators, both authors understand the data remained grounded in their lived that listening to our students is key to un- experiences. Through our analysis, we derstanding what has worked and what still identified four major themes: connecting needs refinement. We sought and received to engagement, self-described benefits, institutional review board approval so that ways of being, and process of engagement. we could safely engage with our students Within the theme "connecting to engageto best understand their experiences. We ment," students described the ways they captured data about student experiences in connected to their community engagement two different ways, one being a survey we sites, including their previous levels of exdesigned ourselves and the other a reflection perience and examples of the organizations activity that was designed and facilitated by and populations they collaborated with at the Center for Community Engagement. We their sites. Under the theme "self-described developed a 19-question pre- and posten- benefits," we identified three subthemes in gagement survey tool. The survey tool spe- student responses: developing professional cifically focused on capturing information skills, building connections, and expanding in two unique areas. The survey captured knowledge. The theme "ways of being" redemographic information specific to best lated to students' navigation of the self and understanding students' intersectional their relationship to community. Subthemes

ent interest in developing a framework for identities, including their employment, were the first in their families to attend college. The second set of questions specifically asks about the student's barriers to accessing academic-based community engagement and perceived benefits from community engagement. The survey tools we created were intentionally designed with open-ended questions to maximize the opportunity for students to share their experience and knowledge.

> The facilitated reflection session consisted empathy. During the first week of class, students completed the preengagement survey, over the next 14 weeks they completed their community engagement work, and during the final week of class they completed the postengagement survey and facilitated reflection. This pilot study occurred in fall 2022, with 77 undergraduate students participating.

#### Analysis

included intersectional identities and com- tribution sites. Students had also spent time munity embeddedness. Our final theme, at our local child crisis center, orphanages, "process of engagement," highlighted in- and other organizations that respond to dividual growth, changes in perspective, and the emerging needs of youth. In addition to solidarity-building as described by our stu- these two themes, students engaged with a dents; subthemes included personal growth number of advocacy organizations working and development, "gaining perspective on social justice issues such as voting rights, changing as a person," and "with commu- environmental justice, housing insecurity, nity instead of for." In the following section gender violence, and LGBTQ rights. In stuwe describe these themes and subthemes in dents' previous engagement experiences, detail and offer examples of each from our student surveys and facilitated reflection.

#### **Connecting to Engagement: Previous Experiences and Site Placements**

Students entered their community engagement sites with varying levels of experience, ranging from no experience through brief experience to multiple previous experiences. Some 62% of our students reported registration. Additionally, students had no previous experience with community participated in both in-person engagement those students with previous experiences, content for organizations' social media their community engagement was often fainstitutions. Faith-based opportunities were facilitated by churches and included serving as youth pastors and participating in service through youth groups. Both high schools and universities were educational institutions that facilitated previous community engagement. High school groups that facilitated engagement opportunities included band, student council, and National Junior Honor Society. For students who had encountered community engagement in the university setting, many identified cocurricular activities such as sororities and student organizations as facilitating entities. A subset of these students had previously ronments with a variety of opportunities, enrolled in college courses that included community engagement experiences. Other students began their community engagement as peer leaders at the university, or ing more fully. through internships with community organizations. One student indicated that they had previously connected to service experiences through Americorps programming. Although students came into their community-engaged courses with limited experience, gaining experience, in particular firsthand experience, was a driving force in what they hoped to gain from their community-engaged courses.

Two major social service themes emerged in local LGBTQ resource center and advocacy students' previous engagement experiences, program, a resource center for new and food scarcity and children's issues. Within growing families, community development these categories, students had worked with organizations, and organizations working a variety of food banks and local food dis- toward improving access to healthy food in

they had completed a varied number of tasks and activities that directly connected with the sites they had worked with. Tasks ranged from simple low-skill activities such as cleaning, and sorting and organizing donated goods, to more complex tasks such as teaching, farmwork, and fixing computers. Students had also engaged in civic action through door knocking, outreach, and voter engagement at the onset of the courses. For and virtual engagement through creating platforms. Finally, students cited artistic cilitated by faith-based and/or educational endeavors such as performances of events as examples of their previous engagement.

> Building from previous levels of experience, several students described their expectations for what they hoped community engagement would be like in our courses. Students with limited or no previous experience had no real expectations for what a community-engaged course would be like. Some students identified previous bad experiences that shaped their expectations for what might be to come in these courses. Overall, students were hopeful for a lot of engagement, to experience different enviand to try and to experience new things. One student expressed their hope to "live the college experience" through participat-

> Through the community-engaged courses, students had various opportunities to work in the community with different populations at different sites. Students partnered with organizations and populations connected to course content; some had the freedom to choose their sites, and some students were assigned to specific sites and projects. Students engaged with migrant shelters and border-specific education, a

the border region. They interacted with a Building Connections variety of populations ranging from youth to elders. Students described learning new skills and building knowledge through their community engagement sites, both specific to their unique sites and connected to larger systemic issues. Students reported learning gardening skills, teaching children, learning about breastfeeding, and gaining an their engagement. Some of these benefits understanding of resources that organizations provide to the community. They also nections, such as meeting new people and reported learning the privilege of voting, developing friendships. Some students saw about motherhood, and furthering their their work in community engagement as understanding of in-class concepts.

### Self-Described Benefits

### Developing Professional Skills

Faculty and institutions traditionally focus on developing professional skills when highlighting why community engagement should be included as a high-impact practice of choice in higher education classrooms. Our students echoed some of this area of interest in their preengagement surveys. They highlighted an interest in gaining new experiences, making job connections, team building, unlocking and sharpening their hidden skills and talents, applying field knowledge, time management, leadership development, networking, and developing relationships for references as well as how community engagement could lead to jobs, internships, and other opportunities. They made direct connections in their responses to how community engagement could benefit their future careers through hands-on work. Students identified that community engagement gives them a direct view into Students were able to understand that comunderstanding how organizations function munity engagement had the potential to be and how nonprofits work. Students ultimately expressed their desire to develop ing them to learn. Multiple students identiprofessional skills by gaining firsthand experience and knowledge and applying what they were learning in their classrooms to real-world settings. In their post surveys, students described meeting the professional development goals they set in the pre survey by acquiring new leadership and communication skills. They also reported learning valuable lessons, some positive and some negative, about the inner workings of organizations and their communities. One student reported their significant their roles as students. Students saw comlearning was "how not to run a nonprofit"; munity engagement as a tool for "enhancanother reported "help is needed" as their ing their own different lenses." Participants learning.

In addition to the professional development skills that students both sought and experienced at their sites, in their pre survey they also identified many potential benefits that moved beyond the realm of professional development. One student expressed just hoping to have fun while completing highlighted their interests in building conoutward and community focused, describing their potential benefits with phrases such as "helping others," "cheering up," and "supporting and improving community." Ultimately, these benefits were steeped in social and emotional learning, and, as one student described, could potentially move beyond the tangible to more embodied feelings, such as the potential of community engagement to be "grounding."

### **Expanding Knowledge**

Students categorized "learning as a benefit" as an overarching category in their pre surveys. Learning is a complex topic that they understood in distinct and poignant ways. Many students identified expanding their knowledge about community needs and how society works as the foundational benefits. They described this experience as becoming more aware of what is happening, developing a nuanced understanding of issues and struggles the community faces, as well as learning about the work that needs to be done and the resources available in the community.

a tool in their learning process by encouragfied community engagement as enabling a deeper way to learn.

As was said previously, some student responses moved from the direct and tangible to more embodied understanding of the ways that they individually exist in communities through their personal responsibility and a deeper understanding of how the "world is different." Students also shared how community engagement gives them a pathway to contribute to society within also expressed their desire to learn about actually has on communities. One student never worked with a community based orexpressed hope that community engage- ganization, yet, I feel excited to participate ment would be a bridge to deeper under- and engage with further communities." standing by helping them to conceptualize Students also stressed the importance of what inspires them.

#### Ways of Being

#### Intersectional Identities

Students involved in this study were enrolled in courses on identity, sexuality, women and gender studies, and borderlands, and based on the nature of these courses and the content studied, identities were front expressed their desire to arrive in this place. and center in the selection and experience of engagement sites. For example, when describing their previous experiences with engagement, many students relied on the identities of those present at their sites to contextualize the work they had performed, such as different age groups, or organizations centered on identity markers such as ethnicity, sexuality, ability, housing, or military status. When describing the engagement work that they hoped to accomplish throughout the semester, students again returned to identity to not only select the issues they hoped to focus on, but also to situate themselves within communities. Students centered the importance of identity, a topic they saw as being relatable to course materials, and expressed their desire to learn more about issues facing women, LGBTQ+ communities, and people who have been displaced, and some students specifically cited their desire to approach these topics from an intersectional perspective. They also hoped to become more comfortable with their own identities, and learn about the cultural backgrounds of others. For example, one student shared their desire to "find a sense of Chicano identity by connecting with my community at El Paso." Ultimately, they sought to become involved in the creation of spaces where people with different identities could thrive. The combination of community engagement and classroom materials created a unique space where students were safe to reflect on their own identities and the ways those identities may shift over time. Some students began publicly using different identity markers by the close of the semester.

#### Community Embeddedness

Although community engagement was new ticipate in aid through their work. As part to most students who participated in these of their community-building goals, stuclasses, students entered the experience dents centered relating to others through

the impact that community engagement with excitement. One explained, "I have entering their engagement with open minds: "To have an open mindset, learn more about how the community works"; "I am going in with no expectations and open mind." Although they knew there would be some challenges, such as balancing work schedules, they expressed a general willingness to try. They also entered into their sites from a place of community-mindedness, or at least

> Students who participated in these classes expressed a geographic connection to their cities El Paso and Ciudad Juárez and to the overarching borderlands community that connects the two sister cities. It was clear to us that "my community" meant different things to different students, and that personal understanding was one piece of their framework of understanding for community engagement: "I don't know what to expect from the community engagement, but I want to learn more about my community." Many students initially approached the community engagement assignment through a traditional lens of "community service," which they defined as "helping people," "giving back," and "mak[ing] a change."

> Other students understood community engagement as a process in which both the community and the individual working "in community" grow and learn together. Students viewing community engagement through this lens identified "being in," "engaging with," "connecting with," "appreciating," "contributing to," and "better shaping" community as clear outcomes of their engagement experiences. Students also identified wanting to understand their communities better, noting that identifying community concerns, seeing and understanding problems, and understanding how communities work were goals of their engagement. Students also sought to build community, including their own social networks, aiming to "help others join" as part of their community engagement. Students were hopeful that their contributions would lead to positive outcomes, noting that they hoped to better shape community, "make others comfortable," and actively par-

intentional communication to "facilitate as "very moving and useful information." conversations," honor "different perspec- Many students had glowing reports of tives," and "respect others' opinions," and how their community engagement experienter into their engagement opportuni- ences transpired: "so cool," "gratifying," ties by "listening" with the mindset that they had "amazing opportunities," and "everyone thinks differently."

#### **Process of Engagement**

#### Personal Growth and Development

Students in their pre surveys shared the overarching goals of being involved and learned how to "relate with people" more being of service during the upcoming se- deeply and "impact people's lives." Others mester. They saw this opportunity as a shared their excitement about "learning chance to either develop themselves or new things" more generally, including to develop in service to their communities. Students viewed this concept of "in service to community" through two different lenses. Some students expressed reflected that as a result of their engagean understanding of a more surface-level view of change, as seen in one student's hoping to "make a difference." Other students saw that community engagement had the potential to create "lasting changes" and to allow for opportunities to "take These learnings led to their desire to "conup space" in the world in ways that traditional classrooms are not able to fulfill. Beyond making a surface-level difference, students described their hope that engagement could lead them to making changes in their own lives that led them to "become useful to my community." They hoped to Students also reported that their community become more "well-rounded" and "to have a more humbled perspective on daily life, not materialistic." One student described them to "care about" issues, and helping their desire to learn "how to take up space them to build "empathy" and to be "kind." in a comfortable environment & get more Community engagement was a tool in helpengaged in events/things I care about."

students, and community partners, not all students in the classes were able to was an opportunity "to understand others, complete their engagement hours, sharing and be touched by other stories." that personal issues impacted their ability to complete the work: for example, "due Students reflected that community ento health [did] not go to events." Those gagement led to a process of self-discovery students who were able to complete their service shared that they had varied experiences accessing their engagement experiences, ranging from "very easy" to "hard." Students shared that their own commitment to and consistency at their sites impacted their overall learning from the experience.

The ways students entered their sites resulted in learning outcomes that expanded lege." Students recognized their personal beyond what we would expect and highlighted the ways that positive educational them feel "independent," "outgoing," and experiences can be transformative for students. One student described their learning "comfortable being uncomfortable." This

"useful." Beyond their initial excitement, many students emphasized their learning was "active" and led to learning more about the people around them. They explained that they learned more about "new people," "new skills," and "people from El Paso" and "I want to learn more about everything. Today's world is so different from back than [*sic*], things have changed." Students ment experience they became "engaged and knowledgeable," learning about problems they were previously unfamiliar with, what people "go through" and "how they affect them" and "learning what people need." tinue engagement" and to become more involved once they realized that "getting" involved isn't as scary and complicated as I think it is."

# "Gaining Perspective Changing as a Person"

engagement impacted their personal development by "enhancing lenses," challenging ing them to more deeply understand, engage with, and appreciate the communities and Even with the best intentions of faculty, cultures they both live in and worked with over the semester. Ultimately, engagement

> involving learning about the self through interactions with others and ultimately gaining perspective about the interconnectedness of people regardless of their intersectional identities and life experiences. One student explained that their engagement led them to "embrace and learn about [their] heritage," and another described how they learned to "appreciate [their] own privigrowth, explaining that participation helped "outspoken," and taught them to become

intertwined with their interactions and into two groups, fixers and learners. The relationships with people at their sites. fixers responded as outsiders stepping in Many students reflected on the importance with their help to solve a problem. They saw of holding space for "other people's per- communities as being "in need" and wanted spectives" and acknowledging that "people to "help people," "help out," "help make have influence on each other." One student change," and to "serve" the "underpriviexplained that their work with children leged." Their wording identified that they "gives me faith in the following genera- saw distance between themselves and the tions." Another described their realization people they were serving and placed them that by helping themselves they are better as temporary one-dimensional outside positioned to help others, a realization that "helpers" in these spaces. places emphasis on collective growth rather than paternalistic approaches to community service. Along this line, another student engagement classes with less of an outsider described that rather than being positioned looking in mentality and already connected to advocate for others, they felt community engagement had instead taught them to help create spaces where others can advocate for themselves. Finally, several community-building and connections as students described goodness as an overarching, big-picture takeaway, which one ment. They emphasized their desire to student put into words beautifully in their reflection, explaining they learned "how to sense of community," emphasizing that enbe good to people no matter what. You never know what someone else is going through."

Community engagement gave the students more in-depth perspectives into the worlds that they had been living in and exposed them to problems and difficulties that others in society encountered that some students had been otherwise unaware of. This exposure showed them that progress is needed and that there are concerns that others face that are "typically unheard." These learnings, whether internal or external, helped the students to see the value in the work they had completed during the semester as well as the value of being engaged throughout their lives. They reflected on the Whether they came in as fixers or learners, importance of engagement because of the moving through 15 weeks of community-"impact engagement makes." Although engaged learning deepened their connecthe act of being engaged may have seemed tion and commitment to community. As daunting 15 weeks previously, at the end of the semester they saw that "simple actions" make an impact" and "small steps go a long munity engage[ment] is crucial." Students way." They also left their semesters seeing left the semester believing that "knowthemselves as being capable of helping and ing" and "learning from" community are understanding the importance of helping. critical. Their experiences showed them Their responses captured how these experiences had marked the ways they would "how underserved the community is." live their lives moving forward, sharing that they were "grateful" and needed to be more "mindful" and to take "time to slow down."

#### "With Community Instead of For"

Being involved in community engagement teer work [should be done] depending on fundamentally changed the ways that some needs." Students also learned that being students saw their roles in change making. in solidarity with communities empowers

process of self-discovery was intimately In pre surveys students self-categorized

The learners arrived at their community to facets of solidarity as the tenets of what they hoped to gain from their experiences. These students used language that placed desired outcomes of community engage-"create connections", and develop a "literal gagement could offer possibilities for community-building: "Community engagement in courses is giving back to a community, being in that community and helping others to join it." They hoped to become more "familiar with [their] community" and gain "a deeper understanding of those around you and their cultures" and "an increased appreciation for the community around vou." Their roles were not to fix problems but to gain "knowledge about what and who your community is, and how you can best help improve it," with some identifying specific issues facing the border community, such as "migration" and "human rights."

one student explained: "I think learning and understanding the importance of comthe "problems communities have" and These needs then became the jumping-off point for how students believed that responses should be constructed. Students identified that "needs of the community vary" and that subsequently the "volun-

them as changemakers, not only to change community engagement and transformaself-change in the process. For example, originally understood them to be. one student explained that as they learned more about cultural backgrounds within Nepantla Identity as Justice-Oriented their community, it led to an exploration Citizenship of their own cultural backgrounds and increased self-knowledge. Another described how learning about their community allowed them to better understand their place in it: "I learned to not advocate for underrepresented communities instead, cally these facets of our students' identities spaces must be created to allow these communities to advocate for themselves." It also helped them to see themselves as allies isting community engagement literature. and advocates, finding new spaces to use As two faculty members living and working their voices: "It has taught me to go out and know your community also to be outspoken to the ways in which nepantlisma impacts about issues." Finding themselves deeply our students and informs their learning and embedded in community, "with community engagement. For these students, instead of for" led to their positionalities as changemakers from within.

Our students ultimately demonstrated their understanding of the societal expectation that being "in need" is an individual deficit that stems from individual failure. They were able to articulate that this need comes from inequity in society that trickles down to individual experiences within communities. This learning involved a shift that places blame on structures of power and systemic inequality for creating the circumstances that lead to populations who are underserved.

#### Conclusion

Our study focused on the learning and experiences of UTEP undergraduate students. UTEP is a proud border institution, and its location on the Mexico–U.S. border informs its investment in the binational and bicultural identity of its student body. UTEP students, the majority of whom commute the mid-Atlantic developed an increased to school on a daily basis, live and work in "intolerance of ambiguity" as a result of their community and share a unique duality as both border community members students entered their community-engaged and students. Students who participated in coursework with a tolerance for ambiguity this study were entering into their community engagement coursework from a we argue ultimately served not only them, position that moves beyond traditional but their community partners as well. Our understandings of community engagement students understood systemic problems in from the literature. Early stage data showed deeper ways from their embeddedness and us that community engagement embedded lived experiences in border communities. As in coursework was more transformational was noted by Anderson and Cidro (2020) in for our student population than we had their research on Indigenous identities in imagined and that our student popula- community-based participatory research, tion was significantly more invested in embeddedness in community has the

their communities but also to be open to tional and systemic change than we had

Although students with intersectional identities are often viewed as deficient in traditional university settings due to language, class status, family status, citizenship, and other factors, we argue that is it specifithat position them to excel in communityengaged coursework and move beyond exon the Mexico–U.S. border, we are witness

Anzaldúa's concepts are more than just words on a page. These Nepantleras enter classrooms and show up in our communities in ways that embody conocimiento, a transformative mode of thinking that draws on la facultad, a quick perception much like a sixth sense, and mestiza consciousness, a consciousness which emerges from navigating the in-betweenness of the Borderlands. (De Los Santos Upton, 2019, p. 136)

Although students' nepantla identities often leave others to classify them as being successful "in spite of" those identity markers, our findings support the reality that it is "because of" their positionality and identities that they surpassed traditional expectations of community engagement. For example, Whitfield and Ball (2022) explained that students in their study in their community-engaged coursework. Our inherent to their nepantla identities, which

power to deepen one's relationship with based on their out-of-school expectations community-engaged work (p. 13). Because (work and caregiving) and access to transrather than passive witnesses, community an open access community-engaged library students to see themselves as changemak- open education resource librarian at UTEP), professional experience, and post survey supplemental readings on justice-oriented responses indicated that this was achieved. citizenship and nepantla identity formation. Post surveys also clearly indicated that professional experience was just one piece As is the case with much grounded theory offered opportunities for transformational learning, with an emphasis on better unless of their previous exposure to community engagement, experienced a shift from Our focus on identity highlighted the expeviewing community engagement as "fixing" problems to "learning" deeply about these who remain largely invisible on campus. problems, then engaging as changemakers to work in community with others toward solutions. This shift of focus into in-depth comprehension of systemic issues demonstrates their movement into the realm of justice-oriented citizenship as explained by Westheimer and Kahne (2004).

#### Next Steps

After analyzing our pilot data, we understand that increasing access to community-engaged coursework is of utmost importance. Looking into the future, we see two unique directions for how results from this study can benefit future students and research.

As a direct result of this research, Naomi garnered support to develop a place-based guide for implementing community engagement courses with students at UTEP with the support of the UTEP Center for Community Engagement. This course development guide, intended for faculty implementing community-engaged learning in their academic classes at all levels, or for faculty interested in making their community-engaged learning more accessible and equitable research project will involve student research for all student participants, was built with assistants completing in-depth interviews the guidance of the findings collected in with other caretaking students to better this initial study. This guide helps faculty capture the realized barriers and benefits of to consider ways that students working in caretaking students that we may have oththeir own communities may benefit from erwise overlooked or not understood. As this project-based community engagement, with project continues to unfold, we will remain a focus on an accomplishable task rather responsive to the needs of our students as than completing a certain number of hours they emerge to ensure access and equity in that may be unattainable for some students community-engaged learning.

they were members of their communities, portation. In addition, this guide includes engagement created opportunities for our repository (created with the support of the ers from within. Students entered their with resources on not only transformative coursework indicating that they wanted educational pedagogies, but also including

of what they gained, and their experiences research, "our work suggests pursuing more than one analytic direction" (Charmaz, 2006, p. 10), in addition to the development derstanding the systemic problems within of a place-based guide that met our original their own communities. Students, regard- research goal of maximizing the impact of community engagement for all students. riences of some unique student populations

> It was clear from pilot data that students who identify as parents or caregivers face challenges in accessing these transformational opportunities. Existing research on single mothers and higher education demonstrates that while balancing coursework, household duties, and child care, caregivers are often navigating obstacles such as rigid institutional expectations, financial strain, and a lack of career guidance (Freeman, 2020; Forste & Jacobsen, 2013). Beyond the individual barriers that caretakers face, they are also frequently overlooked and undervalued by institutional policies and instructors (Ajayi et al., 2022). We believe that more needs to be done to understand caregivers as a student population and to ensure that these students have access and support to participate in community-engaged coursework. In working toward this research and pedagogical goal, we plan to partner with Moms N' Majors, an on-campus affinity group for student parents/caretakers. We are seeking funds to hire these students as research assistants, and the next steps of this



# About the Authors

**Naomi Fertman** is an assistant professor of instruction in the Women's and Gender Studies program and the community outreach specialist for the Center for Community Engagement at the University of Texas at El Paso. Her research interests include reproductive justice, community engagement, and education equity, with a focus on the ways that student parents access higher education. She received her master's in social work and her master's in public health from New Mexico State University.

**Sarah De Los Santos Upton** is an associate professor in the Department of Communication at the University of Texas at El Paso. Her research interests include Chicana feminism, border studies, community engagement, and reproductive justice. She received her PhD in communication from the University of New Mexico.

#### References

- Ajayi, K. V., Odonkor, G., Panjwani, S., Aremu, O., Garney, W., & McKyer, L. E. (2022). Socio-ecological barriers to student-parents academic success: A systematic review. Journal of Further and Higher Education, 46(9), 1257–1274. https://doi.org/10.1080/030 9877X.2022.2065629
- American Council on Education. (2024, April 8). Charting the path forward: Insights from the Carnegie Staff on the Revised 2026 Carnegie Community Engagement Application [PowerPoint presentation]. https://carnegieclassifications.acenet.edu/wp-content/ uploads/2024/04/Campus-Compact-2024.pdf
- Anderson, K., & Cidro, J. (2020). Because we love our communities: Indigenous women talk about their experiences as community-based health researchers. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 24(2), 3–17. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/ jheoe/article/view/2071
- Anzaldúa, G. (2007). Borderlands/La frontera: The new mestiza (3rd ed.). Aunt Lute Books.
- Anzaldúa, G. (2015). Light in the dark/Luz en lo oscuro: Rewriting identity, spirituality, reality (A. Keating, Ed.). Duke University Press.
- Bowman, N., Brandenberger, J., Lapsley, D., Hill, P., & Quaranto, J. (2010). Serving in college, flourishing in adulthood: Does community engagement during the college years predict adult well-being? *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*, 2(1), 14–34. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-0854.2009.01020.x
- Burke, A. S., & Bush, M. D. (2012). Service learning and criminal justice: An exploratory study of student perceptions. *Educational Review*, 65(1), 56–69. https://doi.org/10.10 80/00131911.2011.638138
- Butin, D. W. (2006). The limits of service-learning in higher education. *The Review of Higher Education*, 29(4), 473–498. https://doi.org/10.1353/rhe.2006.0025
- Charmaz, K. (2006). Constructing grounded theory: A practical guide through qualitative analysis. Sage.
- Dacheux, T. (2005). Beyond a world of binaries: My views on service-learning. In D. Butin (Ed.), Service-learning in higher education: Critical issues and directions (pp. 67–70). Palgrave Macmillan US. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781403981042\_4
- De Los Santos Upton, S. (2019). Nepantla activism and coalition building: Locating identity and resistance in the cracks between worlds. *Women's Studies in Communication*, 42(2), 135–139. https://doi.org/10.1080/07491409.2019.1605232
- Eby, J. (1998). Why service-learning is bad. Duke Service-Learning. https://servicelearning.duke.edu/sites/servicelearning.duke.edu/files/documents/whyslbad.original.pdf
- Evans, H. K. (Ed.). (2018). Community engagement best practices across the disciplines. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Freeman, A. (2020). The winding path to degree: Obstacles to higher education for low-income single mothers. Journal of Women and Gender in Higher Education, 13(3), 268–287. https://doi.org/10.1080/26379112.2020.1840384
- Forste, R., & Jacobsen, W. C. (2013). Divorced mothers and higher education in Utah. *Marriage* & *Family Review*, 49(4), 330–348. https://doi.org/10.1080/01494929.2012.762448
- Garcia-Guevara, A., & Vivoni, F. (2023). The class feels like it should be illegal: Latinx experiences and the promise of anti-racist pedagogies. In C. Santana, R. Risam, A. Garcia-Guevara, J. Krupczynski, C. Lynch, J. Reiff, C. Vincent, & E. Ward (Eds.), Anti-racist community engagement: Principles and practices. Campus Compact.
- Gillis, A., & Mac Lellan, M. (2010). Service learning with vulnerable populations: Review of the literature. *International Journal of Nursing Education Scholarship*, 7(1), Article 41. https://doi.org/10.2202/1548-923X.2041
- Henry, S. E. (2005). "I can never turn my back on that": Liminality and the impact of class on service-learning experience. In D. Butin (Ed.), Service-learning in higher education: Critical issues and directions (pp. 45–66). Palgrave Macmillan US. https:// doi.org/10.1057/9781403981042\_3

- Novak, J. M., Markey, V., & Allen, M. (2007). Evaluating cognitive outcomes of service learning in higher education: A meta-analysis. *Communication Research Reports*, 24(2), 149–157. https://doi.org/10.1080/08824090701304881
- Núñez M., G., & Gonzalez, A. L. (Eds.). (2018). Community engagement and high impact practices in higher education. Kendall Hunt.
- Reddix, R. (2020). A new era for social change and community engagement in higher education. *Journal of Community Engagement and Scholarship*, 12(3), 8–9. https://doi.org/10.54656/IKTA8275
- Risch, B. A. (2012). Fostering feminist civic engagement through borderlands theories. *Feminist Teacher*, 22(3), 197–213. https://doi.org/10.5406/femteacher.22.3.0197
- United States Census Bureau. (n.d.). *QuickFacts: El Paso city, Texas; El Paso County, Texas.* Retrieved April 1, 2023, from https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/elpasoc itytexas,elpasocountytexas/BZA115221
- University of Texas at El Paso. (n.d.). UTEP at a glance. https://www.utep.edu/initiatives/ at-a-glance/
- Weiner, D. (2015). Across boundaries of privilege: Service-learning for social justice. In V.
  M. Jagla, A. Furco, & J. R. Strait (Eds.), Service-learning pedagogy: How does it measure up? (pp. 323–339). Information Age Publishing.
- Westheimer, J., & Kahne, J. (2004). What kind of citizen? The politics of educating for democracy. American Educational Research Journal, 41(2), 237–269. https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312041002237
- Wilson, J. C. (2011). Service-learning and the development of empathy in US college students. *Education* + *Training*, 53(2), 207–217. https://doi.org/10.1108/0040091111115735
- Whitfield, T. S., & Ball, T. C. (2022). Assessing tolerance of ambiguity and locus of control in a service-learning course. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 26(3), 21–38. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/2626
- Xavier, N. A., & Jones, P. A. (2021). Students' perceptions of the barriers to and benefits from service-learning. *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice*, 21(8), 195–205. https://doi.org/10.33423/jhetp.v21i8.4514